

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_86891
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Triaxial and CBR Scrutiny on Engineering strength of Rice husk blend geopolymer, and its behavior at various proportions.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This papere deals with improving of soil properties by adding rice husk ash (RHA) and Ordinary Portland cement (OPC). Therefore, the title of paper can be changed to [improving the soil properties by adding rice husk ash (RHA) and Ordinary Portland cement (OPC):Experimental Analysis] 2. percentages (via weight of dry soil) at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10% for all stabilizing agents: according which study or requirements were selected? 3. The presentation of results in abstract not clear. 4. Abstract must contain on objectives, methodology, results, and recommendations. Therefore, abstract must rewrite. 5. Introduction so weak, need to rewrite. 6. Need to add literature review. 7. Need to experimental works such as prepare the samples and tests, with pictures. 8. Conclusion not clear. 9. The last reference has not number 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Fadhil Naser
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq