

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_84070
Title of the Manuscript:	Examination of the Causes and Frequency of fire hazard in Communities housing Refinery Flammable Facilities: A Case Study of PHRC
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Comments MANDATORY REVISION	This manuscript is viewed from the aspect of scientific studies and has met the requirements - The discussion material is structured and easy to understand. - The analytical instrument is clear and understandable	
Minor REVISION	- The data collection technique is easy to understand, it's just that the level of data validation is still low (85.7%) - The description of the location that is not clear, including the number of residents around the Port Harcourt Refinery Company (PHRC) object, is not clear. So it is not clear the comparison of the number of eligible respondents with the population represented - The definition around the object is not measured, so the area that is easy to cause fire in the object (PHRC) is not measured, the safe distance and the distance prone to fire is not clear. This is because in Indonesia such objects are areas free from settlements between 500 meters to 1000 meters	
Optional/General	It is necessary to clarify what the comments above are, so that readers understand the context under study, and increase the level of field research validation so that readers have confidence in this manuscript.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaenal Siradjuddin
Department, University & Country	University Of Tadulako, Indonesia