

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_78637
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Entrepreneurship & Western Balkans in the Post-Pandemic World: Sustainable, Green, and Scalable Investments in the post COVID-19 Era
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Conventionally, Abstract should be a synopsis pointing out what has been done in past tense and the finding clearly defined. This was not done in this case.</p> <p>The researcher should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Present the abstract in past tense</li> <li>2. Present clearly the objectives of the study</li> <li>3. Describe how review was done</li> <li>4. State the methodology used</li> <li>5. Method of data analysis if any</li> <li>6. Major finding and recommendations</li> </ol> <p>NOTE: This current abstract was presented as if its work in progress. Therefore, the researcher should address this using the above suggested guidelines.</p> <p>Furthermore, the in text citation, are grossly inadequate. This should be address to improve the quality of the work.</p> <p>Also, Methodology used should be described after literature (Material and Method).</p> <p>Finally, there is inconsistency in referencing style. Depending on the journal format, the references should at least comply with APA Style, 6<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup> edition.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major REVISION Required	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Sunday Alewo Omale</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Federal University Gashua, Nigeria</i></b>