



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_57777
Title of the Manuscript:	FOOD SECURITY AND SAFETY: AFRICAN PERSPECTIVES
Type of the Article	Review?

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Resume should contain better explanation about the study - review. Like the abstract, the text needs to be better organized, both in the division of paragraphs and in the organization of the information transmitted. This information is relevant and brings a sense of the panorama of food insecurity in Africa, a focus for planting and producing food, however the text needs to be revised, so that its wording and organization is more concise and arouses the attention of the reader. In its current format, I see this text more as a book chapter than a scientific article, even for its structure, there is no specification of the methods used for reviewing the bibliography and writing the text. Also, the references need to be updated, there is a lot of information being published recently about food insecurity and that can be used as a basis for the text.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amanda Forster Lopes
Department, University & Country	Health and Biotecnologu Institute, Federal University of Amazonas, Brazil