

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78256
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON CONGESTIVE CARDIAC FAILURE WITH PNEUMONIA WITH BRONCHIAL ASTHMA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I would like to appreciate that the authors have bought out this important issue which is useful In clinical management. 2. The combination is not a new entity and is very often found in clinical practice. What makes it unique for a presentation ...This has to be clearly defined by the authors. 3. Clinical: Patient is having chest pain along with breathlessness.: How did the authors differentiate it with Left ventricular failure and pulmonary oedema? 4. Breathlessness would occur because of chest pain left ventricular failure also. 5. What was the finding on ECG, Echo and Chest skiagram 6. A holosystolic murmur also signifies that the Left ventricle is compromised and dilated which has led to MR in absence of pathological mitral valve disease. Or if the authors suggest that there was aortic stenosis as they had mentioned then this is another pathophysiological cycle. Hence the Electrocardiogram and the Echocardiographic findings are essential. 7. The investigation findings supportive to the case report can be incorporated in the text as a tabular form and write up and discussion could be in the manuscript. 8. The discussion for bronchial asthma has been done well. What is the importance of the ABG and would Pulmonary function test be more appropriate, 9. The discussion and management protocol has to be changed. 10. In the end a take home message for the readers should be there, which would bring out the importance of the case report. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abha Chandra
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateswara Institute Of Medical Sciences, India