

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_86605
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SAWMILL AND OTHER ASSOCIATED WASTES ON THE WATER QUALITY OF ILO-ABUCHI CREEK, RIVERS STATE, NIGER DELTA
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please check Table 1. The value of turbidity is higher than the WHO parameter. Elaborate in the discussion part if the turbidity is high owing to, based on the statement of the authors, the turbidity is a key test of water quality as high turbidity in water may indicate ineffectiveness in the filtration. Explain if the value of turbidity will disturb the outcome of your study and support with the references. Please check Table 2, and rearrange the table. The words are not tabular. Discussion part. Please compare this study to other studies in order to show the advantage of the study. The manuscript has mentioned about the physicochemical parameters analysis, nevertheless, there are no data of the analysis except the table. The data analysis must be provided in this manuscript. What about the WHO parameter of salinity? There are several grammatical errors. Please check the space, punctuations and tenses. The authors may use the Grammarly application in order to revise the errors. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Abdurrahman Munir
Department, University & Country	Alma Ata University, Indonesia