

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_76843</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mathematical Modelling of the Drying Characteristics of Milled Sorghum Residue</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research</b>
Date received	<b>November 15, 2021</b>
Date due	<b>November 22, 2021</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is serious and contemporary The author(s) offer a well-prepared work</p> <p>Abstract is good, it represents the paper as a whole</p> <p>Introduction and background literature review are appropriate, clear, streamlined, and supported with relevant citations. Needs minor proofreading [see suggestions in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Methodology is clear and the mathematical details are clear as well supported with relevant design parts and with relevant citation. Though proofreading and certain corrections are needed as suggested in corrected Manuscript</p> <p>Results are clear and well presented in tables and figures appropriate to support the discussion. Minor Proofreading needed</p> <p>Discussion is structured and organized with a clear and streamlined flow of representation of mathematical arguments [see details for minor corrections] Results represented clearly and supported scientifically</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are adequate</p> <p>Review carefully the write up of the references for consistency and matching the Journal's requirements</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marwan Noori Ramadhan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>