

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_76458
Title of the Manuscript:	A study of various constraints regarding credit utilization in Jaunpur district of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>Abstract is good, it represents the paper as a whole</p> <p>Introduction and background is fair, clear, streamlined, though is needed to be supported with more relevant citations. Needs some proofreading [see suggestions in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Need to readjust all your references to match the Journal style.... Instead of citations with last names and date use numbers... The ref list is reported by numbers as they appear first in the paper, not alphabetically!!!!</p> <p>Methodology is clear and the design details are clear as well supported with relevant design parts. Data analysis was moved from results to methodology [see suggestion]</p> <p>Discussion needs proofreading and adjustments to become clearer and streamlined. Suggestions are made [see details in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Results represented in one Figure and one Table are adequate</p> <p>Conclusion is adequate Authors need to add recommendations and implications!!!</p> <p>Review write up of references carefully for consistency INTRODUCE THE REQUIRED EXTRA REFERENCES BEFORE NUMBERING THE INTEXT CITATIONS, THEN MAKE SURE ALL THE REFERENCES IN THIS LIST APPEAR IN THE TEXT, REMOVE ANY EXTRAS, THEN NUMBER YOUR CITATIONS AND REPORT THEM IN THE LIST AS THEY APPEAR IN THE TEXT. MAKE SURE YOU REVIEW THE JOURNAL REQUIREMENTS AS PER THE AUTHOR GUIDANCE</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon