

NEMATODES, A PROBLEM FOR SOYBEAN CROPS IN THE BRAZILIAN CERRADO

Abstract

Nematodes can damage and cause significant suppression in soybean productivity (*Glycine max*) directly because of their migration and feeding activities, or indirectly as partners in disease complexes with other organisms. Several cultural practices have been investigated as possible management strategies for nematodes, including manipulation of planting season, soil preparation, and cultivars with higher resistance levels than currently available. This literature review shows that gall nematodes (*Meloidogyne spp.*) significantly reduce soybean productivity [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] in the Brazilian cerrado. The most important pathogenic nematodes of soybean, worldwide, are the soybean cyst nematode, *Heterodera glycines*, and some of the gall nematodes, *Meloidogyne spp.* Currently, different management methods are necessary, being chemical, biological, and cultural to obtain maximum efficiency in the control of this pest.

Keywords: *Meloidogyne spp.*, *Heterodera spp.*, Control, Cerrado, Brazil

Introduction

Soybean is among the crops of greatest economic relevance to agriculture, is among the four main grains produced in Brazil, and is considered an essential grain in the feeding of animals and humans. Brazil in the 2020/2021 harvest remained the largest soybean producer in the world, surpassing the production of the 2019/2020 crop with an increase of one million tons, thus again achieving a record harvest with a total production of 134 million tons (1)

This growth is due to the implementation of new technologies, which help plants in their development, however, there are some limiting factors, such as the incidence of pests and diseases, among these are diseases caused by nematodes, which are standing out for the severity of the damage caused in crops (2).

In a study carried out in the Cerrado of Tocantins, the soybean x Mombasa and soybean x *ruziziensis* consortium showed beneficial results for biomass production, soybean grain development, and production (3). Given this, it is evident that the conservation of the straws produced by fodder and the SPD in the productivity of the main crop is evident since the entry and conservation of the straw increase the carbon and CTC rate of the cerrado soils.

However, despite the numerous benefits for current agriculture, the SPD provides ideal conditions for the development and reproduction of polyphagous phytomatoids, such as galls (*Meloidogyne incognita* and *Meloidogyne javanica*) that find ideal temperature and humidity conditions for reproduction during the period of susceptible culture. Thus, eradication becomes very difficult and control requires appropriate management strategies (4).

The nematodes of the *genus Meloidogyne* can cause levels of economic damage in various cultures around the world, especially in tropical and subtropical climate regions (5). The release of esophageal secretions in the vegetable promotes hypertrophy in the roots, reaching a diameter equivalent to twice or triple the normal (6), impairing the transport of nutrients, leaf yellowing, and plant development(7).

Naturally, if the reproduction factor of nematodes in the plant is high, the populations of these parasites tend to increase, reaching such high densities as to hinder control and harm following crops. Second (8) the crop rotation system is efficient when the previous crop controls the population increase of nematodes, preventing potential damage to the next crop.

Being able to assist with the collection of information and thus indicate effective planning for their control, which is by crop rotation, chemical or biological, the main point that this study is to be able to benefit the search for information on adequate management to improve soybean production systems.

Methodology

The present work used the method based on the article Experimental Planning Factorial: A brief review (9), published in the journal International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS).

To identify articles that talk about climate change in the incidence of malaria from the uncontrolled reproduction of *the propagation vector Anopheles Darling*, searches were performed in the Databases PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scielo, Google Scholar, Dialnet, WorldWideScience.org, Tandfonline, Dialnet, Microsoft Academic, DataSus and the Ministry of Agriculture (MAPA).

In the present study, the research strategy on the subject consisted of the use of the keywords in English: 1. *Meloidogyne ssp.* in Brazil; 2. Nematode control systems; 3. Impacts of nematodes on soybean crop; 4. Sensitive socioeconomic factors. The following filters have been added to the search in ScienceDirect: only journals; title, abstract; Keywords.

After consulting all the databases and using the search strategy adopted, repeated articles were identified between the different data sources. The criteria and filters for the inclusion of the articles in this work were: Original research articles that conceptualize the relationship between the effects of climate change on the incidence of *malaria through the vector Anopheles Darling*, in different types of research fields, covering research completed in the present languages: Portuguese, English, and Spanish.

The studies taken from the study were grouped in the following order: repeated, irrelevant, other publication formats (*edict, short communications, perspectives, letters*), and other languages that were not well understood. In addition, manual searches were performed in bibliographic references of the review articles found with the previously predetermined keywords.


Results and discussion

Further studies are needed to identify the endemic direction and malaria morbidity in the population. Therefore, given the different searches in the databases, 50 articles were found that cover the theme addressed in this study. After the removal of the duplicate articles, the exclusion criteria were applied, as shown in Figure 2. Of the total number of articles studied, 19 of them were removed according to the adopted criteria. Nine duplicate articles were excluded and 3 original research articles were retrieved. Finally, 2 more articles were retrieved through manual search (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Flowchart of identification and selection of articles prepared by the authors, 2022.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

REVIEW



Source: Prepared by the author (2022)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Nematodes and soybean crop

Nematodes are organisms widely distributed in the soil, evidencing great taxonomic diversity and eating habits (10). Its shape is a worm and often attacks the roots of plants, and this generates great damage to the root system, in its attacks occurs injection of toxins in the root system deforming them and affecting their development leaving them unable to perform their physiological functions, reducing or supizing the absorption of water and nutrients, which are fundamental for the continuity of the life cycle of the crop (11). Gall nematodes *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica* are the most important species for soybean cultivation in Brazil. *M. javanica* has widespread occurrence, while *M. incognita* predominates in areas previously cultivated with coffee or cotton (12).

In soybean crops attacked by gall nematodes, stains are usually observed in groups, where the plants become small and yellowish. The leaves of the affected plants sometimes present chlorotic spots or necrosis between the ribs, characterizing the leaf "carijó" (Table 1). There may not be a reduction in plant size, but at the time of flowering, there is an intense miscarriage of pods and premature ripening of plants (13).

Table 1. Characteristics of the main phytomatoides in soybean culture and symptomatology in plants attacked in roots or shoots.

Nematode	Morphology	Symptomatology
Gall nematode (<i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Females with endoparasite behavior and sedentary at the root, globose, milky color. The shape infectious is the 2nd stage juvenile (J2). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The roots produce galls due to the expansion of cells of the vascular cylinder. The leaves present yellowing and necrosis between the ribs.
Cyst nematode (<i>Heterodera glycines</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Endoparasite and sedentary females, however, it may be observed a part of his body out of the Roots. The cyst is the female who after completing her cycle (death), departs from the roots and stays in the soil, containing eggs inside, which remain viable for several years. The infective form is the 2nd stadium (J2). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Groups of atrophied (nanism) and chlorotic plants, and maybe confused by nutritional deficiencies.
Nematode-reniform (<i>Rotylenchulus reniformis</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Semi-endoparasite and sedentary females increase in size and acquire the shape of a kidney. The infectious form is the female adult. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Occurs in groups, where we notice yellowing of leaves, reduction in the size of plants, and production decrease.
Injury nematode (<i>Pratylenchus</i> spp.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Endoparasite and migratory individuals. The body is fusiform. The infective form corresponds to all individuals after hatching (2nd, 3rd, and 4th stages and adults). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Necrosis and rot in the root system, favoring the entry of fungi and bacteria. In the aerial part, severe reduction of gait or

		death is observed.
Nematode <i>Scutellonema brachyurus</i>	• They are considered root ectoparasites, although there are many reports such as endoparasites in the deepest cortical layers.	• Roots have darkened lesions the roots, similar to those caused by the lesion nematode.
Spiral nematode (<i>Helicotylenchus dihystrera</i>)	• They are considered as root ectoparasites, although there are many reports such as semi endoparasites and even endoparasites mig	• Darkened lesions on the roots, similar to those caused by injury nematodes

Source: (6,14–18).

Nematode management

Due to the specific characteristics of nematodes, such as their resistance structures and the fact that they are a soil inhabitant, they make efficient control methods impossible for their eradication. However, the use of appropriate measures, as well as the internalization of different forms of control, allows the farmer to reduce the population or even control the nematode at population levels that allow coexistence, phytoparasitism, and host, without loss of productivity (18). Therefore, preventive control is the most important and most efficient method to be used by the farmer, once the presence of nematodes, as well as the impact, the producer will have to live with phytoparasitism in the area.

Chemical Control

Chemical control is one of the most used control measures for reducing the nematode population due to the contact effect, preventive action (systemic effect) that gives the plant tolerance to fitonematoid attack, and also the practicality of applying 5 phytosanitary products (seed treatment or planting groove) (19). At the moment there are ten registered nematicides and three insecticides with a recommendation in the package leaflet for the control of nematodes in the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply, whose active ingredients are abamectin, dazomete, fluensulfona, metam-sodium, terbuphos, thiodicarbe, imidacloprid + thiodicarbe, fluopyram, and cadusaphos (20).

The treatment of seeds with chemistry for nematode control was evaluated by (21) for the initial population of 1,000 and 6,000 specimens. The result was a reduction in the number of nematodes per gram of root by about 41% and 65%, respectively. In the work of (22), a significant reduction in the nematode population was also observed with the use of abamectin and imidacloprid + thiodicarbe applied in the treatment of seeds.

Nematicides have a wide spectrum of action, and are extremely toxic and persistent, being a great risk to the environment and the applicator. The application concentrated in the treatment

of seeds represents a reduction in this risk, however, there is still the possibility of resistance of phytomatoides to products that restricts the use of this control method (23, 24). Nevertheless, chemical control remains a viable resource to the farmer as long as it is applied correctly and recommended in situations that justify use. This is because the use of this type of control causes population reduction in a sufficient period of time for the occurrence of good initial crop development and consequently higher productivity (25).

Biological Control

The use of living organisms as bioproducts is gaining increasing prominence in world agriculture, and these represent a range of biological-based substances that act in the control of pests and diseases, through different mechanisms of action (26).

The microorganisms that have been standing out in the research on the control of nematodes are *Trichoderma asperellum*, *T. harzianum*, *Bacillus methylotrophicus*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. subtilis*, *Purpureocillium lilacinus*, *T. harzianum*, *Pochonia chlamydosporia*, *Beauveria bassiana*, and *Metarhizium anisopliae*. In Brazil, to date, there are 14 microbiological nematicides recorded in mapa (20).

Some characteristics are essential in the choice of these microorganisms as control agents of phytopathogens, among them: not being pathogenic to plants, humans, and other animals; ability to reduce the high density of nematodes; survive on the ground in extreme conditions, even without the presence of the host; parasitizing several species of phytomatoides; high dissemination capacity in the soil; ease of production and economically viable; compatible with fertilizers, pesticides, and other cultural practices; remain infectious over storage time (27).

Cultural Control

Cultural control is based on a series of practices that aim to keep the nematode population below the economic damage threshold. Within cultural control, crop rotation is cited as one of the main activities, which consists of alternating different plant species (preferably from several botanical families) in the same area and the same growing season (28).

Although this is the practice that guarantees the best results for the management of nematodes, it is not always feasible for the producer who opts for the succession system. Even without conferring the same results, the rotation of the crop of succession to soybean, that is, of

the second crop, is a practice that allows the producer to live with nematodes, especially if allied to other management strategies (28).

In the rotation and/or succession system, antagonistic plants, non-host plants or bad hostesses can be adopted, also called unfavorable hostesses, one of the main crops indicated for the control of nematodes is *Crotalaria* and, within the genus, the most used species are *C. spectabilis*, *C. ochroleuca*, *C. juncea* and *C. breviflora*. The species *C. spectabilis* is characterized by antagonism to the main nematodes of the soybean crop, thus being one of the most planted (28).

Conclusion

The phytoparasitic nematodes most often associated with soybean cultivation are *Pratylenchus Brachyurus*, *Meloidogyne arenaria*, *M. javanica*, *M. incognita*, and *Heterodera glycines*.

There is no single solution or a single control for this pest, making it necessary to join different methods, being chemical, biological, and cultural, thus being able to obtain maximum efficiency in the control of this pest.

REFERENCES

1. CONAB. Logistical Bulletin - Freight Market and Export Situation. 2021.
2. Person M of M. Population survey of phytonematodes in soybean in the municipality of Montividiu. Monography. Federal Institute of Goiás. Rio Verde-GO Campus; 2019
3. Andrade CAO. Overseeding of forage species in soybean for the viability of no-tillage and crop-livestock integration in Tocantins. Dissertation. Federal University of Tocantins. Postgraduate Program in Plant Production; 2015.
4. Inomoto MM, Machado ACZ, Antedomenico SR. Reaction of *Brachiaria* spp. and *Panicum maximum* to *Pratylenchus brachyurus*. *Phytopathol Bras.* 2007;32(4):341–4.
5. Sikora RA, Fernández E. Nematode parasites of vegetables. In: *Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Subtropical and Tropical Agriculture: Second Edition*. CABI Publishing; 2005. p. 319–92.
6. Ferraz LCCB. Parasite-host relationships in soybean meloidogynoses. Parasite-host relationships in soybean meloidogynoses. Embrapa Soy; 2001. p. 15–38.
7. Henning AA, Almeida AMR, Godoy CV, Seixas CDS, Yorinori JT, Costamilan LM, et al. *Soybean Disease Identification Manual*. Embrapa. 2014;5th edition:78.
8. Johnson AW. Specific crop rotation practices combined with cultural practices and nematocides an advanced treatise on meloidogyne. *Biol Control.* 1985;1(1):283–301.
9. Oliveira M de, Lima VM, Yamashita SMA, Alves PS, Portella AC. Factorial Experimental Planning: A brief Review. *Int J Adv Eng Res Sci.* 2018;5(6):166–77.
10. Goulart AMC. Data Analysis in Nematode Diversity Studies Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply. Planaltina-DF: Embrapa Cerrados; 2009. 1–43 p.
11. Dinardo-Miranda LL. Sugarcane nematodes and pests. In: *Agronomic Information Insert*. Ribeirão Preto-SP; 2015. p. 1–8.
12. Dias WP, Garcia A, Silva JFV, Carneiro GE of S. Nematodes in Soybean: Identification and Control. In: Embrapa. 2010. p. 1–8.

13. Grigolli JFJ, Asmus GL. Management of Nematodes in Soybean Culture. In: Soybean nematodes. 2014. p. 194–203.
14. Ritzinger CHSP, Alves EJ. Nematodes. In: Cultivation of Terra type banana. 1st ed. Embrapa; 2001. p. 117–22.
15. Goulart AMC. General aspects of root lesion nematodes (genus *Pratylenchus*). Planaltina-DF: Embrapa Cerrados; 2008. 1–30 p.
16. Maceda A, Silva JF, Dias WP, Santos JM, Soares P.LM, Marcondes MC, et al. Occurrence of *Scutellonema brachyurus* Andrassy on soybean in Brazil. In: International Congress of Tropical Nematology. 2009. p. 1–105.
17. Furlanetto C, Seifert KE, Fensterseifer CE, Page EC, Davi JJS, Grabowski MMS. Development of soybean, corn and wheat cultivated in areas infested with the nematode *Tubixaba tuxaua* in western Paraná. *Trop Plant Pathol*. 2010;35:295–302.
18. Meyer MC, Favoreto L, Klepker D, Marcelino-Guimarães FC. Soybean green stem and foliar retention syndrome caused by *Aphelenchoides besseyi*. *Trop Plant Pathol* 2017 425. 2017 Jun 29;42(5):403–9.
19. Pereira BVB. Efficiency of chemical nematicides, bionematicides and plant extracts in the control of *Pratylenchus brachyurus* in soybean. Dissertation. Federal Institute of Goiás. Postgraduate Program in Plant Protection; 2020
20. AGROFIT. Phytosanitary Pesticides System [Internet]. Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply. 2019 [cited 2022 Apr 28]. P. 1–10. Available from: <https://www.gov.br/agricultura/pt-br/aceso-a-informacao/acoes-e-programas/cartas-de-servico/defesa-agropecuaria-agrotoxicos/agrotoxicos-registrados-no-agrofit>
21. Lopes APM, Cardoso MR, Puerari HH, Ferreira JCA, Dias-Arieira e. CR Management of *pratylenchus brachyurus* in soybean using seed treatment and resistance inducer. *nematropic*. 2017;47(1):1–7.
22. Bortolini GL, Araújo DV de, Zavislak FD, Junior JR, Krause W. CONTROL OF *Pratylenchus brachyurus* VIA SOYBEAN SEED TREATMENT. *Biosf Encyclopedia*. 2013;9(17):818–30.

23. Guarnieri CCO. Efficacy of thiodicarb, cadusaphos and soil conditioner via seed treatment and/or planting furrow in the control of nematodes in soybean. Dissertation. Paulista State University. Faculty of Agrarian and Veterinary Sciences.; 2018
24. Silva JRB, Santos AF. Allelopathic Effect of Aqueous Extracts of *Senna obtusifolia* (L.) H. Irwin and Barneby. *Forest and Environment*. 2010 Jul 2;17(2):90–7.
25. Ferraz LCCB, Brown DJF. *Plant nematology: Fundamentals and importance*. 2nd ed. Publishing Standard; 2016. 1–268 p.
26. Ruiu L. Microbial Biopesticides in Agroecosystems. *Agron* 2018, Vol 8, Page 235. 2018 Oct 23;8(11):235.
27. Ferraz S, Freitas LG de, Lopes EA, Dias-Arieira CR. *Sustainable Management of Phytonematodes*. Viçosa-MG: Federal University of Viçosa - UFV; 2010. 306 p.
28. Favoreto L, Meyer MC, Dias-Arieira CR, Machado ACZ, Santiago DC, Ribeiro NR. Diagnosis and management of phytonematodes in soybean. *Agricultural Info*. 2019;40(306):18–29.