

Updates in diagnosis and management of purpural sepsis

Abstract:

sepsis contributes to other mutual causes of maternal death, such as haemorrhage and thromboembolism. Despite the increased death and morbidity and the unpredictable nature of emerging causative creatures (such as novel influenza serotypes), maternal sepsis has not attracted the equal attention and research as other leading causes of maternal death. Further subsections of patients who have “septic shock” include those who require vasopressors to maintain a nasty arterial pressure of at least 65 mm Hg and who have a serum lactate level of at least 2 mmol/L. This was a main shift from the previous Sepsis-2 description, which required patients to have at least two systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria as well as a established or suspected infection for “sepsis” to be diagnosed. The mainstay of managing is supportive with a significant onus on early recognition of sepsis. Controlling consists of two key approaches: resuscitation and source control

Keywords: Diagnosis, Infection, Management, Pregnancy, Sepsis, Treatment.

Introduction

Maternal sepsis accounts for 11% of maternal deaths international and is the third most common direct cause of maternal death (1). Sepsis is a leading cause of maternal disease and mortality, globally and in the UK. In both low-/middle-income nations (LMICs) and high-income countries (HICs), the incidence is rising. Increased global consciousness is required to enable timely diagnosis and optimal management. Managing is currently limited, requiring the application of novel diagnostic methods while pursuing research in the obstetric population to establish precision medicine.(5)

In addition, sepsis contributes to other mutual causes of maternal death, such as haemorrhage and thromboembolism. Despite the increased death and morbidity and the unpredictable nature of emerging causative creatures (such as novel influenza serotypes), maternal sepsis has not attracted the equal attention and research as other leading causes of maternal death (2). Failure to recognise sepsis primary is a significant cause of preventable morbidity, resulting in deferred treatment and escalated care, which are critical if lives are to be saved (3). Despite significant advances in diagnosis, medical

management and antimicrobial therapy, sepsis in the puerperium remains an important cause of maternal death, accounting for around 10 deaths per year in the UK. Severe sepsis with acute organ dysfunction has a mortality rate of 20–40%, rising to around 60% if septic shock develops. Sepsis may be defined as infection plus systemic manifestations of infection; severe sepsis may be defined as sepsis plus sepsis-induced organ dysfunction or tissue hypoperfusion. Septic shock is defined as the persistence of hypoperfusion despite adequate fluid replacement therapy

Maternal sepsis has been thoroughly lectured by the 2012 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) Green-top Strategies on bacterial sepsis in and following pregnancy(5) In this article, we aim to provide an apprise on sepsis definitions and management and to consider facts of controversy. We will highlight the burden of maternal sepsis globally and describe the unique physiology, that may confuse the clinical presentation and adversely affect the outcome of sepsis. The Review will focus on topical changes in the assessment and management of maternal sepsis in HICs and deliberate how advances in research technologies can be harnessed to advance diagnostics and therapies.

Our understanding of the pathophysiology of sepsis has evidently improved, and there is a greater indebtedness of the interplay between maternal physiology and sepsis, which has important implications for the diagnosis of sepsis through the antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum periods(4).

Definitions

A universal definition of sepsis is required for global uniformity in the clinical diagnosis. This will enable appropriate administration and facilitate accurate incidence reporting, which will influence health strategy and research, and avert heterogeneity in these areas. Therefore, a rationalized definition of sepsis and septic shock, Sepsis-3, was published in 2016.(47) Since publication, the bedside tools, rapid sequential (sepsis-related) organ failure assessment (qSOFA) and the sequential organ disappointment assessment (SOFA) have been used to aid prognosis and diagnosis of sepsis. However, these are unvalidated in puerperal women, and direct application is complex by the physiological alterations.(48) This was acknowledged by the worldwide medical research community, and WHO undertook a

systematic review and expert consultation, emergent an obstetric specific consensus definition in 2017, stating that: “Maternal sepsis is a life-threatening complaint defined as organ dysfunction subsequent from infection, childbirth, post-abortion, or postpartum period.”(48)

Pathophysiology of sepsis

In its most severe form, sepsis is associated with irreversible numerous organ failure and death. The pathogenesis is highly compound and not completely understood(42,43)

In puerperium, maternal physiological and immunological versions – designed to facilitate development of the fetus – may impair maternal volume to respond to infection. For example, physiological hyperventilation, unspoken to be secondary to progesterone, creates a respiratory alkalosis that is countered by an growth in renal bicarbonate excretion. Accordingly, pregnant women may be slightly less able to bumper the metabolic acidosis caused by sepsis.(44-45) Moreover, key physiological changes, which occur to endorse the maintenance of a healthy pregnancy, mimic those of early sepsis, production the diagnosis challenging (46)

Diagnosis

A move away from systemic inflammatory response syndrome criteria

The international meaning of sepsis was changed in 2016 by the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) Group(25). The definition of sepsis is now “life threatening organ dysfunction produced by a dysregulated host response to infection”(25) Further subsections of patients who have “septic shock” include those who require vasopressors to maintain a nasty arterial pressure of at least 65 mm Hg and who have a serum lactate level of at least 2 mmol/L. This was a main shift from the previous Sepsis-2 description, which required patients to have at least two systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria as well as a established or suspected infection for “sepsis” to be diagnosed. Patients who met these criteria and had organ dysfunction were categorized as consuming “severe sepsis”, and patients who had hypotension which did not respond to fluid resuscitation were categorized as having “septic shock”.

Additional confirmatory and resistance testing may be neat to confirm a diagnosis of sepsis.

- **Procalcitonin* (PCT):** Procalcitonin is a biomarker for sepsis, and can be a influential tool for insights when combined with other results from the patient’s medical history, physical examination and other calculations. Our PCT assays enable laboratories to run PCT tests more proficiently
- **Lactate:** Lactate results can complement the consequences of other tests, giving insight into the progression of illness, its harshness and effectiveness of treatment
- **C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6*):** These connected biomarkers of inflammation can be useful in secondary early diagnosis of infection. CRP is widely used for adults, while IL-6 is used for neonates and infants



Modifying early warning scores for women

The modified early warning score (MEWS) remark chart of key physiological characteristics adapted for obstetrics (advocated by the Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health [CEMACH](31) is a obliging tool to identify the ill patient, but is notoriously nonspecific. A validation scholarship published in 2012(33) established an all-cause obstetric morbidity sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 79%. Albright et al.(33) developed a prognostic tool to identify the obstetric patient with sepsis requiring admission to the intensive care unit (ICU). Adapting the outmoded early warning score, they incorporated variables gained from blood tests (33). These variables were scored rendering to variance from normal, and a composite score of ≥ 6 would predict ICU charge with increased performance when compared with each individual variable and two other premature warning scores used in US emergency departments.(33) Perhaps even greater presentation can be envisaged using a similar composite assessment, with improved performing biomarkers identified in future studies.

The new Sepsis-3 definition has removed the definition of sepsis deprived of organ dysfunction, completed the term “severe sepsis” redundant, and has removed the SIRS criteria which were before used to screen for sepsis. The committee felt that SIRS focussed inappropriately on inflammation rather than organ dysfunction, and the authors determined

that the SIRS benchmarks, as a screening tool, lacked validity since 1 in 8 patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) with infection and new organ dysfunction did not happen the SIRS criteria(26)The authors also felt that, rendering to a retrospective analysis(27), SIRS criteria lacked discriminant validity as many patients who did not progress infection or organ dysfunction meet the SIRS measures.

To match the diagnosis of sepsis with the new definition, the board decided to use the sequential organ letdown assessment (SOFA) scoring system. SOFA assesses the function of multiple organ systems (respiratory, coagulation, liver, cardiovascular, central nervous system, and renal) on a scale from 0 to 4 on the basis of a diversity of parameters. It is normally used in the ICU to predict impermanence, where higher scores are associated with poorer outcomes. Organ dysfunction was definite as an acute change in the SOFA score of at least 2 points. This was predictive of a 10% mortality rate in patients who were assumed of having an infection(28)The baseline SOFA score was considered to be 0 in patients deprived of pre-existing organ dysfunction. Calculating the SOFA score necessitates variables such as partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO_2), bilirubin, platelet count, creatinine and urine output, that are impractical during the initial valuation of a patient with suspected sepsis. Accordingly, a quick SOFA (qSOFA) score has been supported by the Sepsis-3 committee for patients outside the ICU. This score uses three variables which have been demonstrated, through multivariate logistic regression analysis, to expect inpatient mortality(29).

These variables are tachypnoea (respiratory rate of at least 22 breaths per minute), hypotension (systolic blood pressure of not more than 100 mm Hg) and altered equal of awareness (Glasgow Coma Score scale of not more than 14), and patients having at least two of these features are classed as being at high risk of poor outcomes subsequent sepsis. Outside the ICU, qSOFA, compared with SIRS and SOFA, is a better predictor of transience. Septic patients with a qSOFA score of at least 2 had a transience rate of 24%(30)

Management

The mainstay of managing is supportive with a significant onus on early recognition of sepsis. Controlling consists of two key approaches: resuscitation and source control(17)

Resuscitation

The SSC recommends initial intravenous fluid resuscitation at a rate of 30 ml/kg.⁽¹⁸⁾ This recommendation is modified to 20 ml/kg by the RCOG,^(19,20) due to an increased risk of pulmonary oedema caused by reduced colloid oncotic pressure. This should be initiated without delay for the managing of septic shock, when there is a blood lactate of >4 mmol/l and/or to accomplish a mean arterial pressure >65 mmHg^(19,21) Since 2004, the SSC has published protocols for the original management of patients with sepsis. The latest 2018 “Hour-1 bundle” consists of five elements of care, which should be originated within the first hour of the recognition of sepsis⁽¹³⁾.

The elements are lactate measurement, blood cultures previous to antibiotics, administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics, organization of a 30-mL/kg crystalloid fluid bolus in cases of hypotension or high serum lactate levels (hyperlactataemia) of at least 4 mmol/L, and supervision of vasopressors to maintain a mean arterial pressure of at least 65 mm Hg. The UK Sepsis Trust has adapted the SSC bundle to include six rudiments known as the “Sepsis Six”, which also include administration of high-flow oxygen and nursing of urine output within the first hour of recognition of sepsis. Abridged pathways, such as the Sepsis Six, have been shown to increase delivery of all elements of the SSC bundle and to decrease mortality by up to 50%⁽⁴¹⁾ Receiving actionable insights quickly from ongoing testing helps clinicians screen treatment effectiveness to stop the development of sepsis, resolve the infection and, ultimately, discharge the patient.

Crystalloids are the chief choice of intravenous fluids, given the lack of evidence of overall benefit of crystalloids against colloids and the renal toxicity associated with synthetic colloids (human albumin has not been shown to have this effect).²² Dimension of serum lactate is advocated in sepsis, as hyperlactataemia is a marker for anaerobic metabolism subsequent to tissue hypoperfusion, although other issues such as mitochondrial dysfunction, microcirculatory failure, reduced oxygen withdrawal, increased glycolytic flux due to an endogenous

catecholamine surge throughout sepsis, and hepato-renal dysfunction (70% of lactate is eliminated by the liver), resulting in decreased lactate removal, have been implicated(15). Elevated lactate has been positively related with the need for ICU admission in obstetric patients, and every 1-mmol/L increase in lactate is related with a 2.34-fold increased risk in the need for ICU admission(16). Accordingly, lactate may permit early identification of women with sepsis, who need instant critical care. Clinical informatics solution **REMISOL Advance** reports results to your laboratory, empowering you to distribute robust, standardized insights into sepsis for informed decision making. Automated reportage ranges and rules for Beckman Coulter IVD sepsis diagnosis and managing tools are synchronized and preloaded within REMISOL Advance

Source control

Source control entails initiation of antibiotics. The SSC recommends combining two classes of antibiotics only for the treatment of septic shock.(23) But, in maternal sepsis, *Escherichia coli* and group B streptococcus are the most common bacterial pathogens, but the most severe outcomes are related with *E. coli* and group A streptococcus.(24) Therefore, the choice of antibiotic is directed by clinical assessment and the presumed site of infection. In reality, empirical antibiotics are started to cover Gram-positive, Gram-negative and anaerobic organisms, as per local microbial susceptibility patterns. De-escalation is then applied in accordance with culture results.(19,20)

In a number of cases, antibiotics alone are insufficient and abscess drainage or removal of infected material is required. Early efforts to identify less common locations of infection are key, as there are observational data to suggest that 28-day mortality rates surge considerably (from 26% to 42%) if there is a delay in source control.(22)

Prevention

Sepsis prevention is the primary objective and presently antenatal screening is offered nationally in the UK for asymptomatic bacteriuria. The augmented risk of infection during the peripartum/postpartum periods has been highlighted in a amount of studies, with operative

deliveries identified as a significant danger factor.**(34,35)** A Cochrane systematic review**(36)** demonstrated a reduction in the incidence of febrile sickness, wound infection, endometritis and other serious infection-related difficulties with antibiotic prophylaxis use during caesarean section. This is suggested by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).**(37)**

Observational studies applaud that the incidence of infection may be as high as 16% following operative vaginal delivery associated with 5.5% for an unassisted vaginal birth.**(38)** In 2018, findings from the ANODE study,**(39)** which investigated the consequence of prophylactic antibiotics in the anticipation of infection following operative vaginal delivery, demonstrated a 56% reduction in inveterate maternal infection when one dose of intravenous antibiotic was administered a median of 3 hours after delivery. It was also related with a significant decrease in perineal wound infection.**(39)** These findings, demonstrated in a HIC setting, are convincing and recommend a change to current national guidance would be beneficial.

Risk factors for maternal sepsis and septic shock

There are a quantity of risk factors associated with sepsis and progression to septic shock, which can be categorised as obstetric-related or patient-related jeopardy factors.

Obstetric-related risk factors

The largest self-governing obstetric risk factor for postpartum maternal sepsis is operative intervention, and caesarean section (CS) is related with a 5 to 20% increase in infectious morbidity associated with vaginal birth**(7)** CS after the onset of labour poses the greatest risk, followed by elective CS and then operative vaginal delivery, though antibiotic prophylaxis and sterility are standard practice in the UK**(8)** Other obstetric-related risk factors embrace cervical cerclage, prolonged rupture of the membranes, a past of pelvic infection, a history of group B streptococcal infection or group A *streptococcus* in near contacts or family members, vaginal discharge, retained products of conception, preterm prelabour rupture of membranes (PPROM) and amniocentesis or other offensive procedures**(9,10)**

Patient-related risk factors

According to the UK Obstetric Surveillance Scheme report, patient-related risk factors for maternal sepsis include primiparity, pre-existing medical circumstances, ethnic minority status, febrile illness or antibiotic use in the 2 weeks prior to performance(11). Co-morbidities which have a self-governing association with maternal sepsis include congestive heart failure, chronic liver or renal failure, human immunodeficiency virus contagion, systemic lupus erythematosus and diabetes(9,10). The incidence of maternal sepsis is also a major example of health inequality. There is a strong social incline associated with maternal sepsis, and the incidence of maternal sepsis is knowingly and progressively associated with lower socioeconomic status. In the US, reliance on healthcare done Medicaid is independently associated with progress of maternal sepsis(9,12). Furthermore, socioeconomic deprivation is associated with a higher occurrence of CS, which in itself is an independent risk factor for emerging maternal sepsis(12)

Conclusion

The evidence on which we base our rehearsal in managing sepsis is evolving rapidly. Largely population based in the past, a shift to an individualised method to clinical care using precision medicine is being comprised to tackle the heterogeneity of the disease phenotype(40). Maternal sepsis remains a significant cause of illness and mortality in pregnancy. The terminology of sepsis has lately changed, and it is important from both a clinical and research viewpoint to remain up to date and understand this alteration (41)We know patient presents a unique physiological picture, which will outcome in unique responses to disease processes. Recognising these responses and adjusting the diagnostic approach is essential. Translational research and clinical trials and the puerperium may permit quicker gains in empathetic the pathogenesis of sepsis in the puerperium.(40)

Further research into risk factors for maternal sepsis is obligatory to reduce the incidence and to facilitate early documentation and treatments that were previously considered not possible in pregnant women. Interventions such as ECMO and prone ventilation

have gained increasing support and require larger studies to measure their role in the managing of maternal sepsis(41).

References

1. Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, et al. : Global causes of maternal death: A WHO systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2014;2(6):e323–e333. 10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70227-X [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. World Health Organisation. Statement on Maternal Sepsis.(accessed 4 December 2018). [Reference Source](#) [[Google Scholar](#)]
3. Lawton B, MacDonald EJ, Brown SA, et al. : Preventability of severe acute maternal morbidity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;210(6):557.e1–6. 10.1016/j.ajog.2013.12.032 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
4. Mor G, Cardenas I: The immune system in pregnancy: A unique complexity. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2010;63(6):425–33. 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2010.00836.x [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- 5 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Bacterial Sepsis in Pregnancy. Green-top Guideline No.64a*. London: RCOG; 2012 [<https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/gtg64a/>].
- 6 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Bacterial Sepsis following Pregnancy. Green-top Guideline No.64b*. London: RCOG; 2012 [<https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/gtg64b/>].
- 7 Conroy K, Koenig AF, Yu YH, et al. : Infectious morbidity after cesarean delivery: 10 strategies to reduce risk. *Rev Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;5(2):69–77. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- 8 Acosta CD, Harrison DA, Rowan K, et al. : Maternal morbidity and mortality from severe sepsis: a national cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(8):e012323. 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012323 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)]
9. Bauer ME, Bateman BT, Bauer ST, et al. : Maternal sepsis mortality and morbidity during hospitalization for delivery: temporal trends and independent associations for severe sepsis. *Anesth*

Analg. 2013;117(4):944–50. 10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182a009c3 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

10. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists: Bacterial Sepsis in Pregnancy, Green-top Guideline No.64a.2012. [Reference Source](#) [[Google Scholar](#)]

11-. Acosta CD, Kurinczuk JJ, Lucas DN, et al. : Severe Maternal Sepsis in the UK 2011-2012: A National Case-Control Study. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(7):e1001672. 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001672 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

12-Acosta CD, Harrison DA, Rowan K, et al. : Maternal morbidity and mortality from severe sepsis: a national cohort study. *BMJ Open.* 2016;6(8):e012323. 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012323 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

13-. Levy MM, Evans LE, Rhodes A: The Surviving Sepsis Campaign Bundle: 2018 update. *Intensive Care Med.* 2018;44(6):925–8. 10.1007/s00134-018-5085-0 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

14- . Daniels R, Nutbeam T, McNamara G, et al. : The sepsis six and the severe sepsis resuscitation bundle: a prospective observational cohort study. *Emerg Med J.* 2011;28(6):507–12. 10.1136/emj.2010.095067 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

15-. Ryoo SM, Kim WY: Clinical applications of lactate testing in patients with sepsis and septic shock. *J Emerg Crit Care Med.* 2018;2(2):14 10.21037/jeccm.2018.01.13 [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

16-. Albright CM, Ali TN, Lopes V, et al. : Lactic acid measurement to identify risk of morbidity from sepsis in pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol.* 2015;32(5):481–6. 10.1055/s-0034-1395477 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

17- Keeley A, Hine P, Nsutebu E. The recognition and management of sepsis and septic shock: a guide for non-intensivists. *Postgrad Med J* 2017; **93**: 626– 34.

18- Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. *Intensive Care Med* 2017; **43**: 304.

- 19- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Bacterial Sepsis in Pregnancy. Green-top Guideline No.64a*. London: RCOG; 2012 [<https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/gtg64a/>].
- 20- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Bacterial Sepsis following Pregnancy. Green-top Guideline No.64b*. London: RCOG; 2012 [<https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/gtg64b/>].
- 21- Knight M, Tuffnell D, Kenyon S, Shakespeare J, Gray R, Kurinczuk JJ, editors. *Saving Lives, Improving Mothers' Care. Surveillance of maternal deaths in the UK 2011–13 and lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2009–13*. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford; 2015 [<https://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/downloads/files/mbrance-uk/reports/MBRRACE-UK%20Maternal%20Report%202015.pdf>].
- 22- Cecconi M, Evans L, Levy M, Rhodes A. Sepsis and septic shock. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 75– 87.
- 23- Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. *Intensive Care Med* 2017; **43**: 304.
- 24- Knowles SJ, O'Sullivan NP, Meenan AM, Hanniffy R, Robson M. Maternal sepsis incidence, aetiology and outcome for mother and fetus: a prospective study. *BJOG* 2015; **122**: 663– 71.
- 25-. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. : The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):801–10. 10.1001/jama.2016.0287 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)]
- 26-. Kaukonen KM, Bailey M, Pilcher D, et al. : Systemic inflammatory response syndrome criteria in defining severe sepsis. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(17):1629–38. 10.1056/NEJMoa1415236 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)]
- 27-. Churpek MM, Zdravcevic FJ, Winslow C, et al. : Incidence and Prognostic Value of the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome and Organ Dysfunctions in Ward Patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care*

Med. 2015;192(8):958–64. 10.1164/rccm.201502-0275OC [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

28-Seymour CW, Liu VX, Iwashyna TJ, et al. : Assessment of Clinical Criteria for Sepsis: For the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):762–74. 10.1001/jama.2016.0288 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

29-Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. : The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):801–10. 10.1001/jama.2016.0287 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

30-Freund Y, Lemachatti N, Krastinova E, et al. : Prognostic Accuracy of Sepsis-3 Criteria for In-Hospital Mortality Among Patients With Suspected Infection Presenting to the Emergency Department. *JAMA*. 2017;317(3):301–8. 10.1001/jama.2016.20329 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [F1000 Recommendation](#)

31-Lewis G, Editor. *The Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health (CEMACH). Saving Mothers' Lives: reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer - 2003–2005. The Seventh Report on Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths in the United Kingdom*. London: CEMACH; 2007 [<https://www.publichealth.hscni.net/sites/default/files/Saving%20Mothers%27%20Lives%202003-05%20Executive%20Summary.pdf>].

32-Singh S, McGlennan A, England A, Simons R. A validation study of the CEMACH recommended modified early obstetric warning system (MEOWS). *Anaesthesia* 2012; **67**: 12– 8.

33-Albright CM, Ali TN, Lopes V, Rouse DJ, Anderson BL. The Sepsis in Obstetrics Score: a model to identify risk of morbidity from sepsis in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2014; **211**:39. e1– 8.

34- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Bacterial Sepsis in Pregnancy. Green-top Guideline No.64a*. London: RCOG; 2012 [<https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/gtg64a/>].

35-Acosta CD, Kurinczuk JJ, Lucas DN, Tuffnell DJ, Sellers S, Knight M, et al. Severe maternal sepsis in the UK, 2011–2012: A national case-control study. *PLoS Med* 2014; **11**:e1001672.

36-Smaill FM, Grivell RM. Antibiotic prophylaxis versus no prophylaxis for preventing infection after cesarean section. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;(10): CD007482.

37- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Caesarean section. Clinical guideline 132*. London: NICE; 2011 [<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg132/resources/caesarean-section-pdf-35109507009733>].

38-Mohamed-Ahmed O, Hinshaw K, Knight M. Operative vaginal delivery and postpartum infection. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2018; **56**: 93– 106.

39-Knight M, Chiochia V, Partlett C, Rivero-Arias O, Hua X, Hinshaw K, et al. Prophylactic antibiotics in the prevention of infection after operative vaginal delivery (ANODE): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019; **393**(10189): 2395– 403.

40-Greer, O , Shah , N.M. , Johnson, M.R.,(2019) Maternal sepsis update: current management and controversiesFirst published: 12 November 2019 <https://doi.org/10.1111/tog.12623> Citations: 3

41-Ali,A., Lamont,R.F. (2019) Recent advances in the diagnosis and management of sepsis in pregnancy Version 1. [F1000Res.](#) 2019; 8: F1000 Faculty Rev-1546.

Published online 2019 Aug 30. doi: [10.12688/f1000research.18736.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18736.1)

PMCID: PMC6720037

PMID: [31508205](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31508205/)

42- Conway-Morris A, Wilson J, Shankar-Hari M. Immune activation in sepsis. *Crit Care Clin* 2018; **34**: 29– 42.

43- Kakihana Y, Ito T, Nakahara M, Yamaguchi K, Yasuda T. Sepsis-induced myocardial dysfunction: pathophysiology and management. *J Intensive Care* 2016; **4**: 22.

44- Albright CM, Ali TN, Lopes V, Rouse DJ, Anderson BL. Lactic acid measurement to identify risk of morbidity from sepsis in pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol* 2015; **32**: 481– 6.

45- Padilla C, Palanisamy A. Managing maternal sepsis: early warning criteria to ECMO. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2017; **60**: 418– 24.

46- Joseph J, Sinha A, Paech M, Walters BNJ. Sepsis in pregnancy and early goal-directed therapy. *Obstet Med* 2009; **2**: 93– 9.

47- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 801–10.

48- Bonet M, Nogueira Pileggi V, Rijken MJ, Coomarasamy A, Lissauer D, Souza JP, et al. Towards a consensus definition of maternal sepsis: results of a systematic review and expert consultation. *Reprod Health* 2017; **14**: 67.

UNDER PEER REVIEW