Effectiveness and safety in postoperative period after the epidural insertion with local anesthetics and steroids

Abstract:

Introduction: Post operative analgesia is one of the major concern to the patients posted for major surgeries,one of the gold standard technique to control post operative pain in major surgeries like lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries is epidural analgesia administration with local anesthetic and opioids. The difficulty in developing a realistic risk/benefit analysis of epidural analgesia has led in clinicians frequently wondering if epidurals are beneficial for post-operative pain management and whether the procedure is safe, which has limited the adoption of the technique. The effectiveness and safety in post-operative period after the epidural insertion with local anesthetics and steroids in persons posted for surgery are discussed in this review.

Methodology : This review article was written with systemic literature review with the help of data search machine like pubmet, scopus ,web of sciences and google scholar.In this article observational study and case reports were included.

Review Findings: The administration of substantial dosages of opioid and LA medications in the intensive care environment can achieve, entire dynamic pain alleviation, i.e. complete lack of discomfort while moving and coughing, after extensive upper abdominal surgery was achieved. A more practical method is to assess discomfort while movement or sneezing, with the goal of having a patient who can move, breathe deeply, and cough successfully while scoring 3 or less on a VAS scale recorded during moving.

Conclusions: Continuing thoracic epidural anesthesia with a lower dose Local Anesthetics–opioids combo or single shot epidural injections seems to have the potential to provide good dynamic pain management for several persons, particularly patients undergoing significant abdominal and thoracic operations. Timely mobilisation as well as speedy recovery require this level of pain alleviation.

Keywords: Epidural analgesia, safety , effectiveness

INTRODUCTION:

Post operative analgesia is one of the major concern to the patients posted for major surgeries, one of the gold standard technique to control post operative pain in major surgeries like lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries is epidural analgesia administration with local anesthetic and opioids. The difficulty in developing a realistic risk/benefit analysis of epidural analgesia has led in clinicians frequently wondering if epidurals are beneficial for effective pain management and whether the procedure is safe, which has limited the adoption of the technique. Patients recovering from major surgeries the safety and effectiveness of epidural analgesia are discussed in this review.

EFFICACY:

In capable hands and under ideal conditions, an intervention's capacity to produce the predicted good effect is characterised as efficacy/effectiveness.30 to 50% epidural analgesia failure rate have been published in audits (1).

For major surgery, the ideal epidural analgesic approach would give efficient pain management with few adverse effects and satisfaction of patients. It might also reduce central exitation and organ malfunction due to pain, resulting in better results. This problem is discussed in the following review on relief of the pain in post-operative period as well as procedural outcomes like surgeries(2) in this issue. The primary criterion for determining the analgesic procedure efficacy is pain reduction. Pain, on the other hand, is a personal perception that is multifaceted has proven impossible to quantify in a repeatable manner. The evaluation of rest pain score and alternate methods, for example pulmonary spirometry, were used in initial studies of post-operative analgesia. The failure to uncover procedures that permits patients to mobile and cough successfully,was due to a reliance on evaluating pain ratings at rest. (3)The administration of substantial dosages of opioid and LA medications in the intensive care environment can achieve dynamic pain relief. A more practical technique is to assess pain while during coughing and any movement aiming for a patient who can mobilise, who can breath deeply, and cough successfully while scoring 3 or less on a visual analogue . (4)

Epidural analgesia is a term used to describe a group of pharmacological medicines that are injected into various levels of the epidural region pre or post invasive procedures for a range of operations, which makes evaluating the efficacy data difficult. The results of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in which dynamic pain alleviation was tested an outcome measure is considered in this section of the review to assess the factors that modify the effectiveness of epidural analgesia, such as drug selection, insertion location of the epidural with relation to the surgical site, as well as the time and method of drug distribution.(5,6)

CHOICE OF DRUGS:

Local Anaesthetics:

Because of the high failure rate caused by sensory block regression(5) and the nonacceptable prevalence of decreased in blood pressure and decreased in motor response, epidural LA medications delivered alone have never been routinely used for normal postoperative analgesia. Despite receiving 37.5–50 mg h–1 bupivacaine via epidural in thoracic region, patients around 30% required supplementation of opioid for insufficient pain relief, and 80% exhibited substantial decreased blood pressure in a trial of patients having thoracic surgery. (6)In lower abdominal surgery, bupivacaine infusion rate 10–12.5 mg h–1 supplemented by systemic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications were found to be less effective in an opioid-free postoperative analgesic regimen.(7)

In our study also which was conducted in Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Sawangi Meghe,Wardha(Maharashtra) on 90 patients,45 patients with 0.2% Ropivacaine and 45 patients with 0.125% Bupivacaine for postoperative epidural analgesia, we found that epidural anesthesia provides an excellent pain relief to the patients in post-operative period. However the analgesic effects were rapid and long lasting with 0.125% Bupivacaine as compared with 0.2% Ropivacaine.And hemodynamic stability was more with ropivacaine as compared to the Bupivacaine but it was non significant.

OPIOIDS:

Following the identification of opioid receptors in the spinal cord's posterior horn, epidural opioids changed the epidural analgesia use for treatment of pain. Opioids affect nociceptive input modulation and have both activities before and after the synaptic junction in the posterior horn, but they do not impede motor or sympathetic activity.

Regardless of the excitement in the starting period surrounding epidural opioids, which promised analgesia that is strong and lasts a long time with little adverse effects, there is still much dispute over their role in postoperative pain treatment. (8,9). Bolus epidural opioids e.g, Fentanyl,Alfentanyl,Sufentanyl compared to intermittent injectable opioids, give longer-lasting analgesia with smaller amounts. When compared to i.v. analgesia, There is less evidence that opioids(29) increase analgesia (patient-controlled anaesthesia) quality.(10).

Although there were no major differences in efficacy between giving fentanyl or sufentanil epidurally or intravenously after knee(11) or major abdominal(12,13) surgery, epidural fentanyl provided better pain relief on comparison with intravenous PCA morphine or fentanyl in thoracic(14) surgery and lower abdominal surgeries. (15,16).

Local Anesthetics and opioids:

The most often used method is epidural infusions of LA–opioid combos epidural method,by the anesthesiologist in the world. Their usage is based on clinical evidence that combining LAs with opioids slows the progression of sensory block found with just Las(17) and enhances the quality of pain alleviation. The majority of studies suggest that using a combination of LA and opioid following lower or upper abdominal,(18,19) orthopaedic(20,21)and thoracic(22) surgery provides considerably better dynamic pain relief than using the components of the mixture injected separately.Local anaesthetics like, levobupivacaine and ropivacaine, are most likely to be employed due to their better safety margins and the potential benefit of ropivacaine is less motor blockage.When both 0.2% Ropivacaine and 0.125% Bupivacaine mixed with fentanyl 0.2mcg/mi there was no clinically significant difference.

Site of Insertion:

In a metaanalysis of trials, comparison between the thoracic and lumbar routes to the epidural region for opioids only, the thoracic technique failed to show meaningful increase in analgesia. Although once considered a negative due to the associated hypotension, the usefulness of sympathetic blockade in the controllable manner and its significance in reducing the negative effects of adrenergic hormones on the CVS and GIT systems is now recognised.(23)

Drug Delivery Method:

Bolus versus infusion:

To sustain analgesia while reducing the cardio-respiratory consequences of bolus doses of Local Anesthetics and opioids, postoperative epidural analgesic is commonly given as a continuous infusion.

In persons undergoing lower abdominal surgery, frequent dose administration of Local Anesthetics solely was used and found that it reduces block reversion and increases analgesia somewhat when compared to a the same hourly dose is infused continuously.(24)

However,no difference was observed in pain levels when patients asked to cough between the 2 groups, and this approach has not been put to the test in patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery with epidural LA–opioid combinations.

Choice of Adjuvants:

In addition to LA agents and opioids, such as ketamine, a number of substances have been used as adjuvants to increase the epidural analgesia effectiveness (an NMDA antagonist), midazolam (a GABA (gamma aminobutyric acid) agonist), clonidine (alpha 2 agonist), and adrenaline. Ketamine 400 microgram/ml was found to improve dynamic pain relief when administered. Concerns have been expressed about using it as an adjuvant to a lower doses epidural infusions of morphine, bupivacaine, and adrenaline(25) concerning the paucity of data on ketamine's possible central nervous system toxicity,(26). Concerns concerning the increased risk of hypotension and the need for additional supervision dampen the desire to increase effectiveness reported with the addition of clonidine 18–20 microgram/hr–1 to thoracic epidural analgecs(27,28). In a double blind crossover research following surgery, Niemi and Breivik studied the addition or withdrawal of adrenaline from a bupivacaine and fentanyl epidural combination at a low dose.(29) The administration of epinephrine was linked to a faster recovery from the sensory block, better pain alleviation when coughing, and lower serum fentanyl levels.

Safety:

Epidural analgesia can induce a variety of problems, some of which are particularly concerning since they have the potential to cause long lasting damage to the central nervous system. The insertion of needles and catheters, the existence of a catheters in the epidural spaces, and the medications administered, including any drug errors, can all cause adverse consequences.

Incidence of serious neurological complications due to epidural analgesia

It's impossible to quantify the incidence of irreversible neurological damage caused by epidural analgesia because it's so uncommon. Only three individuals in a total of more than 50 000 epidural anaesthetics developed lifelong limb paralysis (0.006 percent)(30). 1 case of permenent cauda equina syndrome, 1 case of paraparesis, 1 peroneal nerve paralysis incidence, 1 case of loss nerve function, 2 cases of bacterial infections, 2 cases of acute hazardous responses related to the anaesthetic drugs, including one epidural opioid overdose were discovered in a retrospective study of 170 000 epidural anaesthetics in Finland over ten years that resulted in compensation claims. (31) As detailed in different case reports, the link between anaesthesia or analgesia provided by epidural and significant CNS consequences is frequently simply temporal and may be mistakenly assumed to be natural. (32,33)

Adverse events related to needle and catheter placement :

Dural puncture :

Penetration of duramater happens in 0.32–1.23 percent of during insertion of epidural catheter (34,35), and it can cause a post-dural puncture headache.Haematoma in sub-dural region, which can cause neurological impairment, has been reported after dural puncture on rare occasions. Its occurrence may be lesser when resistance to saline is lost than when resistance to air is lost. If air is utilised, there is a risk of pneumocephalus, which can lead to

major consequences. (36,37). The use of saline may assist to prevent the occurrence of these and other issues linked to the use of air, such compression of the spinal cord and venous air embolism. (38,39)

Direct Trauma:

Although it is extremely unusual, a needle or catheter causing direct harm to the spinal cord and peripheral nerves has been documented. To reduce the danger of neurological injury, the most usual way to do epidural catheterization is on a patient who is awake.

Transient Neuropathy:

Transient neuropathy with full recovery is becoming increasingly prevalent, but it is still uncommon; a recently number of prospective multicenter studies encompassing 30,413 epidural anaesthesia revealed 5 incidences of radiculopathy (0.016 percent), with more than half of them recovering entirely within three months.(40)This rate is comparable to that found in earlier large investigations on transitory neuropathy: 4 out of 17,439 patients (0.023 percent)155 and 0.013 percent in a retrospective study of 13,04,214 epidurals. (41) After total knee replacement, There was no link between the peroneal nerve palsy and the epidural analgesia in the postoperative phase, according to a retrospective study.(42)

Adverse events due to the presence of an indwelling catheter in the epidural space

Spinal Hematoma:

During catheter implantation, 3–12% of efforts result in puncture of epidural veins.Neurological impairment is a rather uncommon side effect. It causes irreparable paraplegia if it is not discovered and treated early. The incidence of clinically evident epidural haematoma is unclear, as any study aiming to quantify it would require a large sample size. It appears to be becoming more common, probably because of greater usage of Proper reports of problem or regional anaesthesia paired with changed coagulation.

Only by analysing significant numbers of normal or at-risk persons or compiling case reports of spinal haematoma after epidural blocking can the risk of having a spinal haematoma be identified. Between research, the number of cases documented differs substantially. Vandermeulen and colleagues analysed data from 18 trials involving 200 000 epidural analgesia patients and found no evidence of epidural haematoma. (43)Only three incidences of haematoma were found in a survey of 13 case series including over 850 000 epidurals (0.0004 percent). (44) Significant risk elements for spinal haematoma have been identified in published studies, including haemostatic disorders and/or anticoagulation, as well as the timing of catheter placements and removal in relation to anticoagulant administrations. 42 (68 percent) of the 61 incidences of spinal haematoma on epidural and/or spinal anaesthesia heamodynamic unstability has been demonstrated.Thirty had been given heparin, and twelve had coagulopathy or had been given antiplatelet medications, thrombolytics, or anticoagulants. (43)

Infection:

Infection might permeate the epidural space from an external or internal factor such as infectious material or drugs, or through an endogenous source, such as bacteraemia, which seeds the insertion site. The catheter could also be serve as a wick, allowing infection to spread from skin's entry point to a epidural area. If the dura is penetrated, infection can lead to meningitis or the formation of an epidural abscess, causing cord compression. After

epidural anaesthesia, serious neuraxial infections have been observed to be uncommon. A study of 50 000 epidural anaesthetics found no evidence of epidural or intrathecal infection(45), and Dahlgren and Tornebrandt recently reported no incidences of epidural abscess out of 9232 epidural insertions. (46) Any patient who has a topical and/or systemic illness is susceptible to neuraxial infection, hence epidural infection must be monitored and detected with extreme caution. Patients who are improving with antibiotic therapy for infections and are being provided epidural analgesia should be carefully selected.

Catheter Migration:

The epidural catheter's tip may move intrathecally after initial implantation in the epidural area. In the same way, i.v. migration can happen.Well before bolus dosage is delivered via epidural catheter a careful aspiration must be done; a test dose of LA with adrenaline can also provide verification of i.v. migrations by generating a transient tachycardia. These techniques, as well as the use of limited dose Local Anesthetic–opioid infusion, may help to avoid significant outcomes such as total spinal anaesthesia, which has the potential to cause neurotoxicity(47) and convulsion. (48). A high block necessitating intubation can also result from unintentional subdural catheter insertion or migration. (49)

Adverse effect related to the administration of the epidural drugs:

Drug Error:

To provide postoperative analgesia, Local Anesthetics, opioids, or clonidine are often administered into the epidural region. All of these medications have the potential to cause major side effects. In addition, there are situations when the wrong medicine is supplied through the epidural catheter, which might have tragic effects. The use of pharmacy-made or commercially prepared solutions, extreme caution with epidural catheter and drug labelling, thorough checking processes, and the use of dedicated pumps should all help to avoid these issues.

Respiratory Depression:

The most concerning adverse effect of epidural opioids is depressed respiratory systems. Because some opioids, such as morphine, are hydrophilic, they have a higher tendency to stay in the Central Nervous System, specifically in the CSF, leading in delayed depression and cephalad extension in respiratory systems. There is a substantial amount of research on neuraxial opioids and the occurrence of depressed respiration. 1 Swedish study of 15 anaesthesia department found an incidence of 0.25–0.4%, the primary significant predictor include age over 70 years old also who are receiving more opioids through other sources.(50)

Hypotension:

The sympatholytic effects of blocking the sympathetic chain accompany epidural LAs sensory and motor blockade, resulting in fall in blood pressureA significant drop in blood pressure occurs if the block level extends the cardiac outflow between T1 and T5 and decreased in heart rate may occure specially if hypovolaemia is present. The severity of hypotension is governed by the dose lower concentrations of local anesthetics have little impact on blood pressure. A case of severe bronchospasm under epidural anaesthesia has also been linked to unopposed parasympathetically mediated bronchoconstriction. (51)

CNS Toxicity:

When 16,87,023 and 4,00,10,155epidural blocks were evaluated.For bupivacaine, the incidence of CNS neurotoxicity, notably seizures, due to high plasma levels of free LA was documented to be 0.01–0.12 percent. With lidocaine, there was a greater frequency of 0.3 per 1000. (52)

Motor Blockage:

Significant lower extremities motor block with regulated epidural LA administration is uncommon, affecting just 3.0% of instances utilising low bupivacaine doses. (53) If motor blockage occurs, pressure regions on the heels(54) and deep venous thrombosis may form(55). Few of the key studies were reviewed(56-59). Prolonged motor block of one or both lower extremities should always be managed carefully in a persons receiving a low-dose combo of Local Anesthetic–opioid thoracic epidural. The neurological symptoms disappear as the epidural infusions is discontinued,usually improve within 2 hours. If this does not happen, the possibility of a spinal haematoma or abscess should be considered.

Organization Issues:

Most clinical anaesthetists face a daily challenge in providing analgesia that is suitable for the users undergoing major surgery. Continuing thoracic epidural analgesia with a low dosage LA–opioid combo has the ability supply better dynamic pain control for many patients, particularly those undergoing significant upper abdominal or thoracic procedures. Timely mobilisation and speedy recovery require this level of pain alleviation. However it is difficult to provide epidural anesthesia in busy set up, as it requires the skilled persons, time and little expensive. Major injury to the patient can happen very seldom,which is least with the skilled persons.

Conclusion:

Epidural anesthesia provides an excellent pain relief in persons after the major abdominal and lower limb surgeries with local anesthetics.We can add adjuvants which will increase the duration of analgesia.Though it have some adverse effects but they are least with skilled persons.

REFERENCES:

1. Andersen G, Rasmussen H, Rosenstock C, *et al*. Postoperative pain control by epidural analgesia after transabdominal surgery. Efficacy and problems encountered in daily routine. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2000; 44: 296–301
2. Kehlet H, Holte K. Effect of postoperative analgesia on surgical outcome. *Br J Anaesth*2001; 87: 62–72.
3. Dahl JB, Rosenberg J, Hansen BL, Hjortso NC, Kehlet H. Differential analgesic effects of low-dose epidural morphine and morphine–bupivacaine at rest and during mobilization after major abdominal surgery. *Anesth Analg*1992; 74: 362–5
4. Rygnestad T, Borchgrevink PC, Eide E. Postoperative epidural infusion of morphine and bupivacaine is safe on surgical wards. Organisation of the treatment, effects and side-effects in 2000 consecutive patients. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1997; 41: 868–76

5. Mogensen T, Hjortso N-C, Bigler D, Lund C, Kehlet H. Unpredictability of regression of analgesia during the continuous postoperative extradural infusion of bupivacaine. \ *Br J Anaesth*1988; 60: 515–9
6. Conacher ID, Paes ML, Jacobson L, Phillips PD, Heaviside DW. Epidural analgesia following thoracic surgery. A review of two years' experience. *Anaesthesia*1983; 38: 546–51.
7. Callesen T, Schouenborg L, Nielsen D, Guldager H, Kehlet H. Combined epidural–spinal opioid-free anaesthesia and analgesia for hysterectomy. *Br J Anaesth*1999; 82: 881–5.
8. De Leon-Casasola OA, Lema MJ. Postoperative epidural opioid analgesia: what are the choices?. *Anesth Analg* 1996; 83: 867–75.
9. Cheam EW, Morgan M. The superiority of epidural opioids for postoperative analgesia—fact or fallacy? *Anaesthesia*1994; 49: 1019–21.
10. Gopinathan C, Sockalingham I, Fung MA, Peat S, Hanna MH. A comparative study of patient-controlled epidural diamorphine, subcutaneous diamorphine and an epidural diamorphine/bupivacaine combination for postoperative pain. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*2000 ; 17: 189–96
11. Loper KA, Ready LB, Downey M, *et al*. Epidural and intravenous fentanyl infusions are clinically equivalent after knee surgery. *Anesth Analg*1990; 70: 72–5
12. Chauvin M, Hongnat JM, Mourgeon E, Lebrault C, Bellenfant F, Alfonsi P. Equivalence of postoperative analgesia with patient-controlled intravenous or epidural alfentanil. *Anesth Analg* 1993; 76: 1251–8
13. Miguel R, Barlow I, Morrell M, Scharf J, Sanusi D, Fu E. A prospective, randomized, double-blind comparison of epidural and intravenous sufentanil infusions. *Anesthesiology*1994; 81: 346–52
14. Benzon HT, Wong HY, Belavic JA, *et al*. A randomized double-blind comparison of epidural fentanyl infusion versus patient-controlled analgesia with morphine for postthoracotomy pain. *Anesth Analg*1993; 76: 316–322
15. Cooper DW, Ryall DM, Desira WR. Extradural fentanyl for postoperative analgesia: predominant spinal or systemic action? *Br J Anaesth*1995; 74: 184–7
16. Cooper DW, Saleh U, Taylor M, *et al*. Patient-controlled analgesia: epidural fentanyl and i.v. morphine compared after caesarean section. *Br J Anaesth*1999; 82: 366–370
17. Hjortso NC, Lund C, Mogensen T, Bigler D, Kehlet H. Epidural morphine improves pain relief and maintains sensory analgesia during continuous epidural bupivacaine after abdominal surgery. *Anesth Analg*1986; 65: 1033–6
18. Crews JC, Hord AH, Denson DD, Schatzman C. A comparison of the analgesic efficacy of 0.25% levobupivacaine combined with 0.005% morphine, 0.25% levobupivacaine alone, or 0.005% morphine alone for the management of postoperative pain in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. *Anesth Analg*1999; 89: 1504–9
19. Dahl JB, Rosenberg J, Hansen BL, Hjortso NC, Kehlet H. Differential analgesic effects of low-dose epidural morphine and morphine–bupivacaine at rest and during mobilization after major abdominal surgery. *Anesth Analg*1992; 74: 362–5
20. Kampe S, Weigand C, Kaufmann J, Klimek M, Konig DP, Lynch J. Postoperative analgesia with no motor block by continuous epidural infusion of ropivacaine 0.1% and sufentanil after total hip replacement. *Anesth Analg*1999; 89: 395–8
21. Kopacz DJ, Sharrock NE, Allen HW. A comparison of levobupivacaine 0.125%, fentanyl 4 μg/ml, or their combination for patient-controlled epidural analgesia after major orthopedic surgery. *Anesth Analg*1999; 89: 1497–503

22. Liu S, Angel JM, Owens BD, Carpenter RL, Isabel L. Effects of epidural bupivacaine after thoracotomy. *Reg Anesth*1995; 20: 303–10
23. Rolf N, Van Aken H. Physiology and pathophysiology of thoracic sympathetic blockade. Thoracic Epidural Anaesthesia. *Baillière's Clin Anaesthesiol*1999; 13: 1–7
24. Duncan LA, Fried MJ, Lee A, Wildsmith JA. Comparison of continuous and intermittent administration of extradural bupivacaine for analgesia after lower abdominal surgery. *Br J Anaesth*1998; 80: 7–10
25. Chia Y-Y, Liu K, Liu Y-C, Chang H-C, Wong C-S. Adding ketamine in a multimodal patient-controlled epidural regimen reduces postoperative pain and analgesic consumption. *Anesth Analg*1998; 86: 1245–9
26. Yaksh TL. Epidural ketamine: a useful, mechanistically novel adjuvant for epidural morphine? *Reg Anesth*1996; 21: 508–13
27. Paech MJ, Pavy TJ, Orlikowski CE, Lim W, Evans SF. Postoperative epidural infusion: a randomized, double-blind, dose-finding trial of clonidine in combination with bupivacaine and fentanyl. *Anesth Analg*1997; 84: 1323–8
28. Mogensen T, Eliasen K, Ejlersen E, Vegger P, Nielsen IK, Kehlet H. Epidural clonidine enhances postoperative analgesia from a combined low-dose epidural bupivacaine and morphine regimen. *Anesth Analg*1992; 75: 607–10
29. Niemi G, Breivik H. Adrenaline markedly improves thoracic epidural analgesia produced by a low-dose infusion of bupivacaine, fentanyl and adrenaline after major surgery. A randomised, double-blind, cross-over study with and without adrenaline. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*1998; 42: 897–909
30. Kane RE. Neurologic deficits following epidural or spinal anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1981; 60: 150–61
31. Aromaa U, Lahdensuu M, Cozanitis DA. Severe complications associated with epidural and spinal anaesthesias in Finland 1987–1993. A study based on patient insurance claims. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scan* 1997; 41: 445–52
32. Bhuiyan MS, Mallick A, Parsloe M. Post-thoracotomy paraplegia coincident with epidural anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia*1998; 53: 583–586
33. Linz SM, Charbonnet C, Mikhail MS, *et al*. Spinal artery syndrome masked by postoperative epidural analgesia. *Can J Anaesth*1997; 44: 1178–81
34. Giebler RM, Scherer RU, Peters J. Incidence of neurologic complications related to thoracic epidural catheterization. *Anesthesiology*1997; 86: 55–63
35. Scherer R, Schmutzler M, Giebler R, Erhard J, Stocker L, Kox WJ. Complications related to thoracic epidural analgesia: a prospective study in 1071 surgical patients. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*1993; 37: 370
36. Lin HY, Wu HS, Peng TH, *et al*. Pneumocephalus and respiratory depression after accidental dural puncture during epidural analgesia—a case report. *Acta Anaesthesiol Sin*1997; 35: 119–23
37. Rodrigo P, Garcia JM, Ailagas J. General convulsive crisis related to pneumocephalus after inadvertent dural puncture in an obstetric patient. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim*1997; 44: 247–9
38. Jackson KE, Rauck RL. Suspected venous air embolism during epidural anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*1991; 74: 190–1
39. Jennings AL, Rothwell MP, Naysmith A. Epidural complications and a case of malignant meningitis. *Palliative Med*1997; 11: 483–6
40. Auroy Y, Narchi P, Messiah A, Litt L, Rouvier B, Samii K. Serious complications related to regional anesthesia: results of a prospective survey in France. *Anesthesiology*1997; 87: 479–86

41. Xie R, Liu YP. Survey of the use of epidural analgesia in China. *Chin Med J*1991; 104: 510–5
42. Horlocker TT, Cabanela ME, Wedel DJ. Does postoperative epidural analgesia increase the risk of peroneal nerve palsy after total knee arthroplasty? *Anesth Analg*1994; 79: 495–500
43. Vandermeulen EP, Van Aken H, Vermylen J. Anticoagulants and spinal-epidural anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*1994; 79: 1165–77
44. Stafford-Smith M. Impaired haemostasis and regional anaesthesia. *Can J Anaesth*1996; 43 : 129–41
45. Kane RE. Neurologic deficits following epidural or spinal anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*1981; 60 : 150–61
46. Dahlgren N, Tornebrandt K. Neurological complications after anaesthesia. A follow-up of 18,000 spinal and epidural anaesthetics performed over three years. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1995; 39: 872–80
47. Lee DS, Bui T, Ferrarese J, Richardson PK. Cauda equina syndrome after incidental total spinal anesthesia with 2% lidocaine. *J Clin Anesth*1998; 10: 66–9
48. Miguel R, Barlow I, Morrell M, Scharf J, Sanusi D, Fu E. A prospective, randomized, double-blind comparison of epidural and intravenous sufentanil infusions. *Anesthesiology*1994; 81: 346–52
49. Chauhan S, Gaur A, Tripathi M, Kaushik S. Unintentional combined epidural and subdural block. Case report. *Reg Anesth*1995; 20: 249–51
50. Gustafsson LL, Schildt B, Jacobsen K. Adverse effects of extradural and intrathecal opiates: report of a nationwide survey in Sweden. *Br J Anaesth*1982; 54: 479–86
51. Wang CY, Ong GS. Severe bronchospasm during epidural anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia*1993 ; 48: 514–5
52. Brown DL, Ransom DM, Hall JA, Leicht CH, Schroeder DR, Offord KP. Regional anesthesia and local anesthetic-induced systemic toxicity: seizure frequency and accompanying cardiovascular changes. *Anesth Analg*1995; 81: 321–8
53. Scott DA, Beilby DS, McClymont C. Postoperative analgesia using epidural infusions of fentanyl with bupivacaine. A prospective analysis of 1,014 patients. *Anesthesiology* 1995; 83: 727–37
54. Cohen S, Amar D, Pantuck CB, Pantuck EJ, Weissman AB. Adverse effects of epidural 0.03% bupivacaine during analgesia after cesarean section. *Anesth Analg*1992;75: 753–6
55. Wheatley RG, Madej TH, Jackson IJB, Hunter D. The first year's experience of an acute pain service. *Br J Anaesth*1991; 67: 353–9
56. R.G.Wheatley,S.A.Schug and D.Watson.Efficacy and safety of postoperative epidural analgesia.Br JAnaesth 2001;87:47-61.
57. Madavi, Sheetal K., Vivek Chakole, Jayashree Sen, Amol Singam, Saranya Rallabhandi, and Neeta Verma. "Comparison of Lumbar Transforaminal Epidural Dexamethasone and Triamcinolone for Lumbar Radiculopathy." JOURNAL OF EVOLUTION OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES-JEMDS 9, no. 42 (October 19, 2020): 3133–38. https://doi.org/10.14260/jemds/2020/687.
58. Patil, Bhakti, and Aruna Chandak. "Comparative Study of 0.2% Ropivacaine & 0.2% Ropivacaine with 0.5 Mcg/ML Dexmedetomidine in Epidural Labour Analgesia." JOURNAL OF EVOLUTION OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES-JEMDS 9, no. 13 (March 30, 2020): 1091–96. https://doi.org/10.14260/jemds/2020/235.
59. Dalal, Shivani Tejas, and Sanjot Ninave. "Postsurgical Analgesic Efficacy of Epidural Nalbuphine in Lower Abdominal Surgeries." JOURNAL OF EVOLUTION OF

MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES-JEMDS 9, no. 4 (January 27, 2020): 216–22.
https://doi.org/10.14260/jemds/2020/50.