

## Original Research Article

# A CONE-BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY BASED ASSESSMENT OF MENTAL FORAMEN POSITION

### ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVE:** To assess the occurrence and location of the mental foramen in relation to gender and age by using cone-beam computed tomography.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**MATERIAL AND METHODS:** A descriptive case series study was conducted at department of radiology at advance diagnostic care centre, Institute of Dentistry, Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences Hyderabad. One hundred and fifty patients of either gender, having age 18-40 years with retained permanent dentition and recommended for cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) radiograph were selected by non-probability convenient sampling technique. Patients with history of bone disease, old trauma and not willing to participate were excluded. CBCT imaging of each patient will be performed and assessed for occurrence and location of the mental foramen.

**RESULTS:** Out of 150 patients, male were 98 (65.3%) and female were 52 (34.7%) with mean age of  $28.8 \pm 6.9$  (18-40) years. Position 4 was the most common right side horizontal position with 74(49.3%) patients followed by position 3 with 69(46.0%) patients, position 5 with 6(4.0%) patients and position 2 with 1(0.7%) patients. Similarly position 4 was the most common left side horizontal position with 75(50.0%) patients followed by position 3 with 70(46.7%) patients, and position 5 with 5(3.3%) patients. Position 1 was only choice either for right or left side vertical position in all patients i.e., 150 (100.0%).

**CONCLUSION:** It was concluded from the study that no significant difference was observed in occurrence and location of the mental foramen in relation to gender and age by using cone-beam computed tomography.

**KEY WORDS:**

Mental foramen, cone-beam computed tomography, bone disease, old trauma.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The mental foramen is a prominent anatomic feature that is often seen between the roots of the first and second mandibular premolars, or apical to the second premolars.<sup>1,2</sup>

In children, the mental foramen typically located between the first and second deciduous molar.<sup>3</sup> A person has a pair of mental foramen on each side, with a single foramen on each

side.<sup>4</sup> The extra foramen is called accessory mental foramen when it is dual or many and narrower than the mental foramen.<sup>4</sup>

Based on the neighboring premolars and molars on the skull, traditional radiography, and cone-beam computed tomography, several researches have identified the vertical and horizontal placements of the mental foramen.<sup>5</sup> Most common cases are multiple foramen in rare cases the mental foramen may not even exist.<sup>6</sup> The mental foramen is an aperture by wherein the mental nerve originates, a somatic afferent sensory offshoot of the inferior alveolar nerve that traverses the mandibular canal and leaves as mental nerve through the body region of either half of the jaw.<sup>2,4,7</sup> This branching of the inferior alveolar nerve transmits sensibility to the teeth, gingiva, buccal vestibule, and lower lip between the mandibular first molar. Extraction of teeth/roots, endodontic surgery, fracture repair, and other surgical procedures are commonly performed in this region.<sup>2,4,7</sup>

Accessory mental foramen as reported in the literature as a result of the branching of the mental nerve before it passes through the mental foramen.<sup>6</sup> The nerve comes out of the mental foramen to innervate the frontal teeth and surrounding tissues. The mandibular soft tissues are supplied by blood arteries, and the location of the mental foramen is utilised as a referencing spot in anaesthetic approaches like the incisive/mental nerve blocking.<sup>8</sup>

The mental foramen is found in the anterolateral side of the jaw, roughly similar space (13-15mm) from the superior and inferior mandibular borders. This foramen houses the mental nerve and its related blood tributaries. When administering regional anaesthetic and doing operation in the mental area of the jaw, doctors must be aware of the location of the mental foramen.<sup>10</sup> The mental foramen is positioned in the bottom half of the mandible on the vertical plane, around 2.8-4 cm from the median confluence of the two sides of the jaw (Symphysis menti). The average diameter of the mental foramen is 3.8 mm.<sup>11</sup>

Majority of surgeons got difficulty while doing surgery in the mental nerve area. So this study was done to provide an accurate evaluation of osseous monuments such as mental foramen and accessory mental foramen in the maxillofacial region to prevent from injury to the mental nerve.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This research of case series descriptive in nature with Non Probability convenient sampling was conducted at department of radiology at Advance Diagnostic Care Centre, Institute of Dentistry, Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences Hyderabad from June 2020 to May 2021. Total

150 samples were taken with reference to Punjabi SK et al1. The sample size was taken from Epi Tools epidemiological ([www.epitools.ausvet.com.au/](http://www.epitools.ausvet.com.au/)).

#### **INCLUSION CRITERIA:**

- 18-40 years of age, irrespective of gender.
- Cone-beam computed tomography radiograph of an adult patient of with retained permanent dentition.

#### **EXCLUSION CRITERIA:**

- History of bone disease.
- History of old trauma.
- Patient not willing to participate.
- Typo error like date or gender issue.

#### **DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE**

The patients were selected with the consent of patient for this study which fulfilled the inclusive criteria coming through radiology department of Advance Dental Care Centre Hyderabad. All CBCT imaging were done by single operator / technician and interpreted by the principal investigator and two senior consultant of department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery and all three interpretation were collected separately and analysis by taking mean of value mention by investigator (consent of senior faculty member of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery department is attached)

All cases were assessed in the horizontal position of the mental foramen in respect to the mandibular premolars on both the right and left sides, as well as the vertical position of the

mental foramen measured from the upper border of the foramen to the lower boundary of the mandible's body.

#### **TYPE OF MACHINE AND ITS CONFIGURATION:**

The data radiographically was taken via Rotograph EVO 3D by VILLA SISTEMI MEDICALI (Made in Italy) which is a complete panoramic system type machine that enables to perform all type X-rays that are needed in the dentistry and orthodontics as well as volumetric 3D images except the endoral x-rays.

The use of 3D radiographic images of the patient's maxillofacial complex helps the doctor the targeted selection of the appropriate procedures in the treatment as well as diagnosis aid.

A broad range Digital Sensor, commonly known as the Flat Panel, is fitted in this sort of gadget. By capturing a succession of two-dimensional pictures, these detectors may record a region of the maxillofacial complex that includes the essential significant anatomic information.

FOV: 85X85mm Scan time: 1.2s pixel 93um/185um scan volume: Max. 93X82mm (Full Dentition).

#### **DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE**

The statistical package for social science (SPSS Version 20.0) software was used to examine the dataset. The occurrence of position was determined using descriptive statistics in respect to gender and age. The quantitative variables were presented by using mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) & qualitative variables were presented by frequency table & percentage.

#### **RESULTS**

In this study male patients were 98 (65.3%) and female patients were 52 (34.7%) as shown in

**TABLE: 1.**

In this study patients were distributed into age group of  $\leq 25$  years with 56 (37.3%) patients and  $> 25$  years with 94 (62.7%) patients. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of age was  $28.8 \pm 6.9$  (18-40) years **TABLE: 2.**

Right side horizontal position of patients was position 2 in 1 (0.7%) patients, position 3 in 69 (46.0%) patients, position 4 in 74 (49.3%) patients and position 5 in 6 (4.0%) patients **TABLE: 3.**

Left side horizontal position of patients was, position 3 in 70(46.7%) patients, position 4 in 75(50.0%) patients and position 5 in 5(3.3%) patients **TABLE: 4.**

Right side vertical position of all patients was position 1 i.e., 150 (100.0%) patients and no one positioned in position 2 **TABLE: 5**

Left side vertical position of all patients was position 1 i.e., 150 (100.0%) patients and no one positioned in position 2 **TABLE: 6.**

<b>TABLE: 1. Patients Distribution According to Gender (n=150)</b>		
<b>Gender</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Male</b>	98	65.3%
<b>Female</b>	52	34.7%
<b>Total</b>	150	100.0%

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**TABLE: 2. Descriptive Statistics of Age (Years)**  
(n=150)

<b>N</b>	150
<b>Minimum</b>	18
<b>Maximum</b>	40
<b>Mean</b>	28.8
<b>SD</b>	6.9

UNDER PEER

**TABLE: 3. Patients Distribution According to Right Side Horizontal Position  
(n=150)**

<b>Position</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Position 1</b>	0	0.0%
<b>Position 2</b>	1	0.7%
<b>Position 3</b>	69	46.0%
<b>Position 4</b>	74	49.3%
<b>Position 5</b>	6	4.0%
<b>Total</b>	150	100.0%

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**TABLE: 4. Patients Distribution According to Left Side Horizontal Position  
(n=150)**

<b>Position</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Position 1</b>	0	0.0%
<b>Position 2</b>	0	0.0%
<b>Position 3</b>	70	46.7%
<b>Position 4</b>	75	50.0%
<b>Position 5</b>	5	3.3%
<b>Total</b>	150	100.0%

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**TABLE: 5. Patients Distribution According to Right Side Vertical Position  
(n=150)**

<b>Position</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Position 1</b>	150	100.0%
<b>Position 2</b>	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	150	100.0%

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**TABLE: 6. Patients Distribution According to Left Side Vertical Position  
(n=150)**

<b>Position</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Position 1</b>	150	100.0%
<b>Position 2</b>	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	150	100.0%

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## DISCUSSION

Current study was designed to assess the occurrence and location of the mental foramen in relation to gender and age by using cone-beam computed tomography which will provide an accurate evaluation of osseous land marks such as mental foramen and accessory mental foramen in the maxillofacial region to prevent from injury to the mental nerve.

In current study out of 150 selected patients' male patients were in dominance i.e., 98 (65.3%) and female patients were 52 (34.7%). A similar study by Ahmed QN, et al. reported the 50% male patients and 50% female patients<sup>12</sup>. Another study by Alfaleh WM reported the higher female patients 56% and lower male patients 44%<sup>13</sup>. A similar study by Zmyslowska-Polakowska E, et al reported the 52.7% male patients and 47.3% female patients<sup>14</sup>. Different studies reported the different pattern of patients suffering from disease.

In current study mean age of patients was  $28.8 \pm 6.9$  (18-40) years. Majority of the patients were in age group of > 25 years with 94 (62.7%) patients followed by  $\leq 25$  years with 56 (37.3%) patients. A study by study by Alfaleh WM also reported the similar mean age of patients i.e.,  $32.5 \pm 13.1$  years<sup>13</sup>. Another study by Sheikhi M, et al. reported the higher mean age  $48 \pm 13.9$  years. 80 Difference in mean age depends upon the study inclusion criteria for that select different age group in study.

In current study Position 4 was the most common right side horizontal position with 74(49.3%) patients followed by position 3 with 69(46.0%) patients, position 5 with 6(4.0%) patients and position 2 with 1(0.7%) patients. Similarly, position 4 was the most common left side horizontal position with 75(50.0%) patients followed by position 3 with 70(46.7%) patients and position 5 with 5(3.3%) patients, whereas position 1 was only choice either for right or left side vertical position in all patients i.e., 150 (100.0%). No significant difference was observed in gender and age on different positions including right side horizontal position, left side horizontal position, right side vertical position and left vertical position. Similarly, different pattern of used positions were reported by other studies but no significant different was observed between gender and age and different positions<sup>12-15</sup>. The difference may be due to using different radiological technologies compared to CBCT in present study which is more accurate and advanced technology and provides a better visualization of the mental foramen.

## CONCLUSION

It was concluded from the study that no significant difference was observed in occurrence and location of the mental foramen in relation to gender and age by using cone-beam computed tomography. Position 4 was the most common right and left side horizontal position followed by position 3 and position 5, whereas position 1 was always used in right and left side vertical position.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## **COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## REFERENCES

1. Punjabi S, Rehman H, Ahmed S, Haider M. Radiographic position of mental foramen in selected Pakistani population. J Pak Dent Assoc 2010;19(2):105-9.
2. Muinelo-Lorenzo J, Suarez-Quintanilla JA, Fernandez-Alonso A, Varela-Mallou J, Suarez-Cunqueiro MM. Anatomical characteristics and visibility of mental foramen and accessory mental foramen: panoramic radiography vs. cone beam CT. Med Oral Pathol Oral Cir Bucal. 2015;20 (6):e707-14.
3. dos Santos Oliveira R, Rodrigues Coutinho M, Kühl Panzarella F. Morphometric analysis of mental foramen using cone-beam computed tomography. Int J Dent.2018 Mar 26;2018:1-7.
4. Garay I, Cantin M. Accessory mental foramina assessed by cone-beam computed tomography: report of unilateral and bilateral detection. Int J Morphol. 2013;31(3):1104-8.
5. Mahnaz S, Mitra KK. CBCT Assessment of mental foramen position relative to anatomical landmarks. Int J Den. 2016 Nov 23;2016:5821048.

6. Santos Junior O, Pinheiro LR, Umetsubo OS, Sales MA, Cavalcanti MG. Assessment of open source software for CBCT in detecting additional mental foramina. *Braz Oral Res.* 2013 Apr;27(2):128-35.
7. Qasim NA, Muhammad NK. A cone-beam Computed tomography-based assessment of mental foramen position. *Pak Armed Forces Med J.* 2019;69 (1):102-6.
8. Zmysłowska-Polakowska E, Radwański M, Łęski M, Ledzion S, Łukomska-Szymańska M, Polgaj M. The assessment of accessory mental foramen in a selected polish population: a CBCT study. *BMC Med Imag.* 2017 Dec;17(1):1-5.
9. Khojastepour L, Mirbeigi S, Mirhadi S, Safaei A. Location of mental foramen in a selected Iranian population: a CBCT assessment. *Iranian Endo J.* 2015;10(2):117-21.
10. Smajilagic A, Dilberovic F. Clinical and anatomy study of the human mental foramen. *Bosnian J Basic Med Sci.* 2004 Aug;4(3):115-23.
11. Chowdhury TG, Rahman D, Jannat TA, Hossain MM, Sultana A, Iqbal MA, et al. Radiographic position of mental foramen in selected urban population of Bangladesh. *Updat Dent Coll J.* 2015 Dec 14;5(1):3-9.
12. Ahmed QN, Khan MN, Rehman AU, Shahid A, Ghafoor W, Kiani SG. A cone-beam computed tomography based assessment of mental foramen position. *Pak Arm Forces Med J.* 2019 Feb 28;69(1):102-6.
13. Alfaleh WM. Location of the Mental Foramen Using Volumetrically Rendered CBCT Images. *J Pak Dent Assoc.* 2020 Jan;29(01):19-23.

14. Zmyslowska-Polakowska E, Radwanski M, Ledzion S, Leski M, Zmyslowska A, Lukomska-Szymanska M. Evaluation of size and location of a mental foramen in the polish population using cone-beam computed tomography. *BioMed Res Int.* 2019 Jan 2;2019:1659476.

15. Sheikhi M, Karbasi Kheir M, Hekmatian E. Cone-beam computed tomography evaluation of mental foramen variations: a preliminary study. *Radiol Res Pract.* 2015 Nov 2;2015:124635.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW