

Study Protocol

To assess the effectiveness of psychoeducation intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers

ABSTRACT:

Background: Alcohol dependence is considered as a “family disease.” In terms of occupational and social instability, physical and emotional distress, and financial burden, alcohol dependency affects the person as well as those around them, which has a major effect on the lives of significant others. **Aim:** study aims to assess the effectiveness of psychoeducation intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers. **Objective:** 1. To identify the existing burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers in experimental group and control group. 2. To evaluate the effectiveness of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers in experimental group and control group at post-test. 3. To evaluate the effectiveness of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers between experimental group and control group. 4. To compare the effectiveness of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers between experimental and control group. 5. To associate post-test score of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers with their selected demographic variables in experimental and control group. **Methodology:** The research design is experimental one group pre-test post with control group, and participants are, the Family caregivers of alcohol dependence syndrome patients. The aging population will be selected according to the criteria of inclusion and exclusion, and the sampling technique will be selected as the technique of purposive sampling technique. Data will be obtained by participants’ demographic variables and the use of a modified standardized scale for burden assessment and all scales distributed for reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers. The literature review was identified through Pub MED, Medline, Cochran, computerized, books, library. **Result:** A critical review of the investigator has reviewed 521 published articles and the recorded of duplicate articles 218/11 original articles that included. **Conclusion:** A conclusion will be drawn from the statistical analysis.

Keywords –Psychoeducation intervention, Burden, Alcoholic dependence syndrome patients, Family caregivers.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to Global Status Report on Alcohol, Alcohol Use Disorders (AUDs) account for 1.4% of the global disease. Alcohol dependence is considered as a “family disease.” Alcohol dependence change the individuals as well as those around them in terms of occupational and social dysfunction, physical and emotional distress, and financial burdens which has a serious impact on the lives of the significant others. (1)

Alcohol use disorder (which includes a level that is sometimes referred to as alcoholism) is a pattern of alcohol use that involves problems controlling your drinking, being concerned about alcohol, continuing to drink alcohol even when it causes problems, having to drink more to have the same effect, or having withdrawal symptoms when you rapidly decrease or stop drinking. (2)

Alcohol dependence syndrome is a previous psychiatric diagnosis (DSM-IV and ICD-10) in which an individual is physically or psychologically dependent on alcohol (also chemically known as ethanol). (3)

A chronic illness in which a person wants to drink alcohol and is unable to control his or her drinking. A person with this disease also needs to drink higher amounts to have the same effect and to have withdrawal symptoms after alcohol is stopped. Alcoholism affects physical and mental health, and may cause problems with family, friends, and work. (4)

(i) In the family the body of persons who living in one house or under one head, including parents, children and servants. (ii) The group involving of parents and their children, whether or not they live together; in a broader sense, all those who are almost connected by blood or affinity. (iii) Children of a person reared collectively. (iv) Those descended, or claimed a descent from a common ancestor." From a psychiatric point of view, family means a group of individuals who live together in important phases of their lives and are bound together by biological and/or social and psychological relationships. (5)

Family is a key resource for the care of patients, including those with mental illness in India. This has been attributed to the Indian tradition of interdependence and to the concern of close relatives in adversity, as well as to the lack of mental health professionals. Family caregivers are those who provide care to other family members who need supervision or assistance in the event of illness or disability, or those who provide unpaid care to family members with special needs. (6)

Every time the family plays a key role in the care of patients with mental illnesses. This is especially true in India because of various factors, such as the tradition of interdependence, concern for the family and lack of sufficient mental health professionals. Alcohol dependence has been a major social and personal threat in most countries. According to the Global Status Report on Alcohol, Alcohol Use Disorders (AUDs) account for 1.4% of the global burden of disease. (7)

Burden is defined as the presence of problems, difficulties or adverse events affecting the life(s) of a psychiatric patient of significant others. The families of patients with mental illness face stigmatization, the long-term economic and emotional burden of taking care of the patient. Patient illness has an impact on the work, social relationship and leisure activities of family members. This evokes different feelings among family members, which may have an impact on the course and prognosis of the illness. (8)

Family caregivers are those who provide care to other family members who need supervision or assistance from others. This adverse effect has been described as burden. Burden is said to be largely determined by the family environment in terms of coping with the different family members' styles and tolerance of the patients' aberrant behavior. (9)

Caregivers restrict the freedom of family members, their personal space and their social activities. Encouraging de-institutionalization of mental health policies leads the family to be

caught unprepared to take care of members with schizophrenia, which in turn increases their caring of burden. (10)

Alcohol abuse and alcoholism within a family is a major problem that can destroy a marriage or drive a wedge between family members. This means that people who drink can blow through the family budget, because they fight, ignore children, and otherwise impair the health and happiness of the people they love. Married couples who get into physical altercations, some 60-70 per cent use alcohol. In time, family members may even develop symptoms of codependency, inadvertently keeping the addiction alive, even though it may harm them. Family therapy and rehabilitation can help. (11)

“Psycho-Education is a specialized form of education aimed at helping people to learn about a broad range of emotional and behavioral difficulties, their effects, and the strategies to deal with them”. Psychoeducation has been used in mental health education to help individuals and their families and caregivers understand what's going on with the patient and to help them and their loved ones (Psycho-Educational Counseling Services, Inc., 2003).¹³ The concept of 'psycho-education' refers to the process of providing psychological information to patients and clients, or to anyone on a particular subject, or to students whose behavior is expected to change over a certain period of time within that subject.(12)

2. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY:

Alcohol dependence is considered as a “family disease.” Alcohol dependence affects individuals as well as those around them in terms of occupational and social dysfunction, physical and emotional distress, and financial burdens that have a serious impact on the lives of significant others. (13)(14)

Alcohol and drug use disorders have devastating physical, mental and socio-economic consequences for both patients and their families. This is a critical public health concern, for which the global burden far exceeds the difficulties experienced by many of the 250 million drug users or two billion alcoholic drinkers worldwide. Their illness has a significant impact on the quality of life of other family members, including financial security, mental health, social networks and productivity. (15)

The family is a system made up of subsystems within its boundary. The subsystems are the marital dyad, the sibling subsystem and the parent-child subsystem. Changes in one part of the system lead to changes in the other parts of the system. Substance abuse affects every member of the family system in a devastating way. It adversely affects the emotional climate of the family, the identity of the family, the tasks of the family and the relationships between the members of the family. Family interventions focus on bringing about a positive change in all subsystems in order to help the family recover from the trauma of widespread negative effects of substance use on the family. (16)

Drug-dependent families face a number of challenges, including violence, disruption of family rituals, separation, divorce, inappropriate role models and economic difficulties. Addiction does not break into the family the way a heart attack would do; instead, it creeps in slowly and silently until it is finally detected, and perhaps only then is the family confronted. However, by that time it left its mark on each member of the family. (17)

Caregivers are often at the forefront of these issues, after all, because of their duties. It is not unusual for a caregiver to be in charge of monitoring and administering multiple prescription

and over-the-counter medications. So, they may be the first to come across tell-tale signs of addiction, such as multiple prescriptions for the same medicine from different doctors, or bottles that have been emptied long before their monthly refill is due' (18)

It is also not unusual for a caregiver to regularly assist with bathing and personal hygiene tasks, so caregivers may also be the first to notice bruises, bumps or cuts due to drug or alcohol-related falls or injuries. A caregiver is more likely to be the first on the scene of a fall or injury, for that matter. (19)

3. NEED FOR THE STUDY

A substance-dependent person in the family affects almost all aspects of family life. This leads to problems, difficulties or adverse events that affect the lives of family members and place enormous burdens on family caregivers. (6)

The psychological and behavioral impact on others is often far greater than that of a substance-dependent family member. Yet, due to the historical emphasis on substance dependence as an individual's problem, the study of family problems has been relatively neglected. (20)

Members of the family are concerned about the substance abuse behavior of the individual, but they also have their own problems. At times, complementary or mirroring problems may form the relationship into a codependent dimension, where the "non-ill" member becomes overly concerned with the difficulties of the other and renounces to his/her own wants and needs. Of course, This concept may lead to the risk of pathologizing otherwise normal caring functions, particularly those related to empathy and self-sacrifice. (21)

In a potentially highly unstable 'role play,' members often have to change their traditional family roles or add new, often inadequate functions to adapt to the unpredictable, unreliable and sometimes demanding behavior of the substance abuser. The individual usually engages in the search or use of substances most of the time and is often incapacitated by the effects of alcohol or drugs, which prevents him or her from fulfilling any responsibility in the family. (22)

Epidemiological survey of 2992 people to estimate the prevalence of alcohol and drug dependence in rural and slum populations of Chandigarh, 6.88 per cent of individuals met the International Classification of Diseases Dependency Criteria 10th Revision (ICD-10). Alcohol was the primary substance of dependence in the majority of urban slums and rural areas. Alcohol and drugs have affected almost all areas of life, including health (85.71%), family (77.31%), marital status (70.59), and occupational status (64.28%). (23)

According to a survey conducted by the Punjab Government, every 3rd male student in Punjab is committed to substance abuse. Consumption of opiates in Punjab has been shown to be 3 times the national average reported. The problem of drug abuse has reached an epidemic state in the state of Punjab. Families of alcoholics, especially spouses, are at increased risk of stressful life events, medical and psychiatric disorders, and increased use of medical services. (24)

4. OBJECTIVES:

- ✚ To identify the existing burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers in intervention group and control group.
- ✚ To evaluate the effectiveness of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers in intervention group and control group at post-test.
- ✚ To evaluate the effectiveness of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers between intervention group and control group.
- ✚ To compare the effectiveness of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers between intervention and control group.
- ✚ To associate post-test score of psycho education intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers with their selected demographic variables in intervention and control group.

5. Methodology:

The research design is experimental, and participants are, the Family caregivers of alcohol dependence syndrome patients. The aging population will be selected according to the criteria of inclusion and exclusion, and the sampling technique will be selected as the technique of purposive sampling technique. Data will be obtained by participants' demographic variables and the use of a modified standardized scale for burden assessment and all scales distributed for reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers. The findings of literature retrieved from searches of computerized databases, hand searches, and authoritative texts, the published articles; abstracts; have been considered and reviewed, from Sources: PUB MED; Medline; and Cochrane database library.

5.1 Criteria for Sample Selection:

5.1.1 Inclusion Criteria:

- ✚ Family care givers who were available during the period of data collection.
- ✚ Family care givers were willing to participate.
- ✚ Family care givers include spouse, mother, father, son, daughter, and friend one who knew about the client for six weeks.

5.1.2 Exclusion criteria

- ✚ Family care givers who were already exposed to this type of study.

Data Management and Monitoring:

Data collection will be conducted for a single month span. This research will be carried out after receiving authorization from the authorities concerned.

Table No.1. Sources and Methods.

Sources	The Reviews have been Collected from PUB MED, Medline, or Cochrane Library.
Method	Quantitative
Evidence	Collected from published articles, articles of review, abstracts, editorials and copyright, media, state records.
Conclusions	Structured effect of alcohol on family life interventions to be planned. Policymakers should focus on further research by adequate funding and recognition to prepare, developing monitor, implement, assessor improve psychiatric health program in India.

5.3 Tool for Data Collection:

SECTION I- It consists of demographic variables of the family care givers to be participated in the study e.g., Age, gender, marital status, occupation, educational status, monthly income, religion, family type, residence, relationship with patient, family history of substance abuse, years of substance dependence, Age of initiation of substance dependence.

SECTION II –Modified burden assessment scale to assess the effectiveness of reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family care givers.

Modified Burden Assessment Scale

The investigator for the purpose of assessing the level of burden of caring of alcohol dependence syndrome patients among family care givers developed a modified burden assessment scale. The FBIS (family burden interview schedule) is a modified burden assessment scale for alcohol dependence of family care givers.

5.4 Data analysis method:

Descriptive statistics or inferential statistics will be used. For analysis of demographic figures will be going used frequency and mean percentage, and standard deviation used for assessing the burden assessment amongst family caregivers.

6. RESULTS

This research study will plan to investigate to recover burden assessment between family caregivers with the help of a modified burden assessment scale. the researcher will investigate how to reduce the burden through intervention. This study will help to assess the effectiveness of psychoeducation intervention on reducing the burden of caring for alcohol dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers.

The Autor conducted a pilot study for two-week 12th October to 25 October 2020. prior data collection permission obtained from primary health centre Arvi Naka Wardha. selected 10 samples to form Arvi Naka Wardha family caregivers, by non-probability convenient sampling technique. Investigator started data collection on knowledge of burden. Researcher used to validate modified burden assessment scale and the result was 10% of the moderate burden of family caregivers in pretest score, 70% in pre-test had the severe burden, 20% of the extreme burden in pre-test score experimental group, 70% in pre-test had the severe burden, and 20% of the extreme burden in pre-test score control group, 10% in posttest had no burden and 90% in posttest had the mild burden of burden assessment score. In the pre-test, the range of Level of burden Score in the experimental group pre-test was 84-133 and the range of Level of burden Score in the control group was 115-148. The mean Level of burden Score in the experimental group pre-test was 122.40 ± 14.362 and the mean percentage of Level of burden Score in the control group was 125.80 ± 12.318 . in the post-test, the range of Level of burden Score in the experimental group posttest was 10-51 and the range of Level of burden Score in the control group was 115-148. The mean Level of burden Score in the experimental group posttest was 38.60 ± 10.916 and the mean percentage of Level of burden Score in the control group was 125.80 ± 12.318 .

Discussion:

Aims of this study investigate reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers through intervention. The results of the study were drawn on the statistical analysis.

One of the researchers has done for A cross-sectional assessment, the study demonstrates that caregivers of alcohol dependent patients reported significant objective burden and subjective burden. Furthermore, the severity of alcohol dependence and the domains of burden such as financial burden, disruption of family interaction, and disruption of family routine activities were positively correlated with high level of significance. The current study has illustrated that all the caregivers experienced significant amount of burden which has to be addressed for better treatment outcome of the patients. (25)

Researchers has done for A cross-sectional study, compared to opioid and alcohol opioid dependence groups, more often the alcohol dependence group was older, married, currently working, having a higher income and with the wife as a caregiver. Parameters like age, gender, relationship with patient, marital status. F value is calculated using One-way ANOVA and T value is calculated using students unpaired test were used to associate reducing the burden of caring of family care givers scores with selected demographic variables significance at 5% level which is statistically acceptable level of significance. (26)

Cross-sectional descriptive study revealed that, the study demonstrates that caregivers of alcohol dependent patients reported significant objective burden and subjective burden. Furthermore, the severity of alcohol dependence and the domains of burden such as financial burden, disruption of family interaction, and disruption of family routine activities were positively correlated with high level of significance. The current study has illustrated that all the caregivers experienced significant amount of burden which has to be addressed for better treatment outcome of the patients. (27)

One of the researchers revealed that, personal and socio-demographic factors of sex, age, marital status, education and household income were all predictive of increased vulnerability to mental health problems over the last 12months.both elements of the health status of one's relatives and family burden represent an increased vulnerability to personal mental health difficulties. family burden would represent an elevated vulnerability to clinical personal mental health difficulties. Personal and sociodemographic factors were taken into consideration. (28)

One of the researchers has done the quasi-experimental study,majority of care givers were female, married and home makers, hailing from lower socio-economic status. There is drastic reduction in care giver's burden after SGWI in experimental than the control group. Improvement in quality of life in experimental group after SGWI was maintained till the last follow up. (29)

One of the articles reveals that, Rating of burden is done on a three-point scale for each item and a standard question to assess the 'subjective' burden is also included in the schedule. Elements of family health examined included whether or not any illnesses are present within their parents, their spouse, their children, or their siblings, whether or not any physical illnesses are present within their family and whether or not any mental illnesses are evident within their family. (30)

One of the researchers reported that,it signifies moderate-to-high burden of caregiving on the wives of these patients affecting adversely all aspects of their lives covered by the study instrument significantly. On comparing mean factor scores of burden assessment schedule and overall burden between AD and HD group, there was more burden (in all factors as well as in overall burden) on wives of HD patients than on wives of AD patients. Statistically significant difference was found in the factors of "impact on marital relationship," "appreciation of caregiving," and "impact on relation with others" but no statistically significant difference was found in the factors of "impact on well-being" and "perceived severity of disease". (31)

One of the researchers has revealed that,there was a positive correlation between caregiver burden and the quantity of alcohol consumed, monthly alcohol expenditure, and years of marriage. The association between caregiver burden and various sociodemographic variables

were not found to be statistically significant. There is the prevalence of moderate-to-severe caregiver burden among primary caregivers of patients of alcohol use disorder. (32)

Conclusion: A conclusion will be drawn from the statistical analysis.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

The research is endorsed by the Committee on Institutional Ethics of (DMIMS(DU)/IEC/Dec-2019/8678) Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences. All participants must be requested to read and sign informed consent.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

PRISMA CHART




Fig. 1 Flow chart

References:

1. National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). (2000). principles of Drug Addiction Treatment: A Research Based Guide. NIH Publication No. 99-4180. Rockville, MD: NIDA, 1999, reprinted.
2. Alcohol use disorder - Symptoms and causes [Internet]. Mayo Clinic. [cited 2020 Oct 23]. Available from:
<https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/alcohol-use-disorder/symptoms-causes/syc-20369243>
3. Alcohol dependence. In: Wikipedia [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 23]. Available from:https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Alcohol_dependence&oldid=983460029
4. Vadher S, Desai R, Panchal B, Vala A, Ratnani IJ, Rupani MP, et al. Burden of care in caregivers of patients with alcohol use disorder and schizophrenia and its association with anxiety, depression and quality of life. Gen Psych. 2020 Aug 1;33(4):e100215.
5. Caregiver burden and resilience in family members of alcohol dependence patients: a study in rural South India » The International Journal of Indian Psychology
6. Mattoo SK, Nebhinani N, Kumar BNA, Basu D, Kulhara P. Family burden with substance dependence: a study from India. Indian J Med Res. 2013 Apr;137(4):704-11.
7. Vaishnavi R, Karthik MS, Balakrishnan R, Sathianathan R. Caregiver Burden in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome [Internet]. Vol. 2017, Journal of Addiction. Hindawi; 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 23]. p. e8934712.
8. Swaroopa chary RS, Kalasapati LK, Ivaturi SC, Reddy CMPK. Caregiver burden in alcohol dependence syndrome in relation to the severity of dependence. Archives of Mental Health. 2018 Jan 1;19(1):19.(PDF) Family burden with substance dependence: A study from India [Internet]. ResearchGate. [cited 2020].

9. Vadher S, Desai R, Panchal B, Vala A, Ratnani IJ, Rupani MP, et al. Burden of care in caregivers of patients with alcohol use disorder and schizophrenia and its association with anxiety, depression and quality of life. *Gen Psych.* 2020 Aug 1;33(4):e100215. Oct 24].
10. Caregiving restricts family members' freedom, personal space and social activities. Encouragement of deinstitutionalization in mental health policies leads the family to being caught unprepared to take care of members with schizophrenia, which in turn increases their burden.
11. Miller W.R., Taylor C.A. and West J.C. (1980). Focused versus broad spectrum behavior therapy for problem drinkers. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 48(5), 590–601.
12. NCBI (2016) Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Substance Abuse Treatment: Group Therapy. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US); 2005. (Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series. No. 41.) Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK64220/>.
13. Miller W.R., Zweben A., DiClemente C.C. and Rychtarik R.G. (1994). Project MATCH Monograph Series. 2, DHHS Publication No. 94-3723. Rockville, MD: NIAAA.
14. Miller W.R. (1983). Motivational Interviewing with Problem Drinkers. *Behavioral Psychotherapy*, 11(2), 147–72.
15. Mannelli P. The burden of caring: Drug users & their families. *Indian Journal of Medical Research.* 2013 Apr 1;137(4):636.
16. Psychosocialintervention_2.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 26]. Available from: https://nimhans.ac.in/cam/sites/default/files/Publications/Psychosocialintervention_2.pdf
17. Jacob T, Seilhamer RA. Alcoholism and family interaction. *Recent Dev Alcohol* 1989; 7:129-45.

18. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. (1999). Brief interventions and brief therapies for substance abuse. Treatment Improvement Protocol 34. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 99-3353. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
19. Donovan D, Mattson ME, Cisler RA, Longabaugh R, Zweben A. Quality of life as an outcome measure in alcoholism treatment research. *J Stud Alcohol Suppl* 2005; 15:119-39; discussion 92-3
20. Joan PR and Roy C. Conceptual model in nursing practice. *Applits century croft*, Newyork; 2nd ed, 1980, pp 245-260.
21. 300115816rajalakshmi.pdf, A comparative study to evaluate the efficacy of heparinized saline and normal saline slush on patency of peripheral intravenous cannula among patients with medical conditions
22. Health (UK) NCC for M. ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE AND HARMFUL ALCOHOL USE [Internet]. Alcohol-Use Disorders: Diagnosis, Assessment and Management of Harmful Drinking and Alcohol Dependence. British Psychological Society; 2011 [cited 2021 Mar 8].
23. Polit D.F. and Beck C. T. *Nursing Research: Generating and Assessing Evidence for Nursing Practice*. 8th ed, Wolters Kluwer Health/ Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, New Delhi, 2008, pp 234 – 350,220-240.
24. Sharma A, Gupta S, Thapar S. Study of family burden in substance dependence: A tertiary care hospital-based study. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2019 Mar 1;61(2):131.
25. Schulz R. Caregiver Burden. In: Smelser NJ, Baltes PB, editors. *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* [Internet]. Oxford: Pergamon; 2001 [cited 2021 Jan 18]. p. 1476–9.
26. Ennis E, Bunting BP. Family burden, family health and personal mental health. *BMC Public Health*. 2013 Mar 21; 13:255.

27. Goit BK, Acharya B, Khatri JB, Sharma R. Burden and Quality of Life Among Primary Caregiver of Alcohol Dependence Syndrome. *American Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*. 2021 Jan 12;9(1):1.
28. Kalasapati LK, Ivaturi SC, Reddy CMPK. Caregiver burden in alcohol dependence syndrome in relation to the severity of dependence. *Archives of Mental Health*. 2018 Jan 1;19(1):19.
29. Alcoholism. In: Wikipedia [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 8]. Available from: <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Alcoholism&oldid=1008510652>
30. Lamichhane N. Family burden in substance dependence syndrome. *Journal of Gandaki Medical College Nepal*. 2008 Jun 15; Vol 1:51.
31. Rospenda KM, Minich LM, Milner LA, Richman JA. Caregiver Burden and Alcohol Use in a Community Sample. *J Addict Dis*. 2010 Jul;29(3):314–24.
32. Mattoo SK, Nebhinani N, Kumar BNA, Basu D, Kulhara P. Family burden with substance dependence: a study from India. *Indian J Med Res*. 2013 Apr;137(4):704–11.