

Quadrex Algorithm for Negative Definite Quadratic Programming Models

1 **Abstract:** In this paper, a quadrex algorithm for quadratic programming problems is introduced
2 ($n = 2$) under linear and quadratic constraints. The quadrex algorithm considers on the behavior of
3 the quadratic function near the origin or a translate of the origin, performs a series of translations
4 and orthogonal rotations to obtain the optimal solution of the objective function as well as taking
5 considerations on the constraints of the problem. The method works provided that the eigenvalues of
6 the matrix on quadratic form of the objective function is strictly negative, that is, Q is negative-definite.
7 The quadrex algorithm is a parallel counterpart of the simplex algorithm for linear programming
8 models.

9 **Keywords:** simplex, quadratic, quadratic programming, quadrex, NP-hard, negative definite.

11 1. Introduction

12 Linear programming (LP) models is one of the simplest ways to perform optimization in many
13 mathematical applications. Its popularity is rooted from its reputation in computing various problems
14 using the simplex algorithm [4]. In mathematical optimization, the simplex algorithm or also known
15 as simplex method is a popular algorithm in dealing with linear programming. The simplex algorithm
16 is performed by examining each of the corner points o'f the convex polyhedron constituting the set of
17 constraints through algebraic manipulation of the simplex tableau. The simplicity of this algorithm
18 is the reason why most non-linear optimization models are linearly done such as the Frank-Wolfe
19 algorithm by considering a linear approximation of the objective function and moves towards a
20 minimizer of the linear function [5].

21 It is rare to find a simple algebraic algorithm currently that could take the place of simplex method
22 in linear programming for non-linear programs (NLP). Mostly in these cases, an extensive knowledge is
23 needed such as the Kuhn-Tucker conditions or Lagrange multipliers in finding the optimal solution. In
24 2012, Lacpao et al. [6] introduced a paper on algebraic algorithm called quadrex algorithm for quadratic
25 programming problems under linear and quadratic constraints. This is a quadratic counterpart of the
26 simplex algorithm for linear programming models. The quadrex algorithm centers on the behavior of
27 the quadratic function at the origin and performs a series of translations and orthogonal rotations to
28 obtain the extremum of the objective function. The method works provided that the eigenvalues of the
29 quadratic form part of the objective function is strictly positive or that Q is strictly positive-definite.

30 In working with quadratic algorithm, we need to have a deep mathematical knowledge such as
31 the Kuhn-Tucker condition and the Lagrange multiplier in obtaining the optimal solution. This present
32 study will develop an algorithm in solving quadratic functions with complexity which is parallel
33 to a simplex method. The method is less non-deterministic polynomial-time hard compared with
34 the most known algorithms at the present. This would probably attract mathematicians working on
35 optimization problems since this method is more efficient and simpler than the existing methods in
36 solving non-linear algorithms.

Quadratic programming is particularly simple when there are only equality constraints; specifically, the problem is linear. By using Lagrange multipliers [14] and seeking the extremum of the Lagrangian, it may be readily shown that the solution to the equality constrained problem is given by the linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & E^T \\ E & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

37 where λ is a set of Lagrange multipliers which come out of the solution alongside \mathbf{x} .

38 Consider a nonlinear programming problem of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize: } f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{Subject to (one or more constraints of the form): } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} &\leq \mathbf{b} \text{ (inequality constraint)} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{d} \text{ (equality constraints)} \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian for this problem is

$$\Lambda(x, \lambda, \sigma) = f(x) - \lambda^T b(x) - \sigma^T c(x). \tag{2}$$

39 where λ and σ are Lagrange multipliers.

40 At an iterate, a basic sequential quadratic programming algorithm defines an appropriate search
41 direction as a solution to the quadratic programming subproblem [14].

42 Of course, there are other methods for solving (2) but these methods are equally inaccessible to
43 non-mathematicians. Optimization by vector space methods, for instance, rely on embedding the NLP
44 in an appropriate Hilbert space. The solution is found by an application of the Projection Theorem
45 [13]. It is, however, ironic that majority of the users of NLP's are non-mathematicians who apply this
46 programming model to business, economics, and social science problems.

47 This paper examines the quadratic programming model from an elementary algebra and analytic
48 geometry perspective by developing an algorithm called quadrex.

49 2. The Quadrex Programming

We restrict our attention to the case where $n = 2$. The general quadratic function in two variables:

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + f; \quad b, c \neq 0 \tag{3}$$

can be written as

$$z = f(x, y) = X^T Q X + A^T X + K \tag{4}$$

where

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} a & \frac{c}{2} \\ \frac{c}{2} & b \end{bmatrix}, A^T = (d, e), X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

50 We note in passing that if $z = m$, a constant, then (3) represents a conic section.

If $c = d = e = 0$, then we obtain:

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2. \tag{6}$$

51 If a and b are both positive, then the origin $(0, 0)$ is a minimum while if a and b are both negative,
52 then $(0, 0)$ is a maximum.

53 **Lemma 1.** Let $z = ax^2 + by^2$, then:

54 (i) the point $(0, 0, 0)$ is a minimum whenever $a, b > 0$; and

55 (ii) the point $(0,0,0)$ is a maximum whenever $a, b < 0$.

56

Proof. Construct a neighborhood around the origin

$$N_\epsilon(0,0,0) = \{|(x, y, f(x, y))|x^2 + y^2 < \epsilon^2\}.$$

Let $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0)) \in N_\epsilon(0,0,0)$. Suppose that $a, b > 0$. Without loss of generality, let $a = \min\{a, b\}$. Then:

$$0 < ax_0^2 + ay_0^2 < a\epsilon^2 < ax_0^2 + by_0^2 \tag{7}$$

57 It follows that $f(x_0, y_0) > 0 = f(0,0)$ and the origin is therefore a minimum. The proof in the
58 case of a maximum is similar. \square

59 **3. General Quadratic Function of the form** $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + dx + ey + f$

60 The effect of adding a linear term to (6) shifts the origin. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= ax^2 + by^2 + dx + ey + f \\ &= a\left(x^2 + \frac{d}{a}x + \frac{d^2}{4a^2}\right) + b\left(y^2 + \frac{e}{b}y + \frac{e^2}{4b^2}\right) + K \\ &= a\left(x + \frac{d}{2a}\right)^2 + b\left(y + \frac{e}{2b}\right)^2 + K \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$K = f - \left(\frac{d}{4a} + \frac{e}{4b}\right) \tag{9}$$

61 **Theorem 1.** Let $ax^2 + by^2 + dx + ey + f$. Then

62 (i) if $a, b > 0$, the point $\left(\frac{-d}{2a}, \frac{-e}{2b}, f\left(\frac{-d}{2a}, \frac{-e}{2b}\right)\right)$ is a minimum; and

63 (ii) if $a, b < 0$, the point $\left(\frac{d}{2a}, \frac{e}{2b}, f\left(\frac{d}{2a}, \frac{e}{2b}\right)\right)$ is a maximum.

64 **Proof.** Apply Lemma 1 to the shifted origin. \square

65 If a and b have opposite signs, the behavior of the function is quite interesting.

66 **Lemma 2.** Let $f(x, y) = ax^2 - by^2$ such that a and b have opposite signs. Then the origin is neither a
67 maximum nor a minimum point.

68 **Proof.** Let $f(x) = ax^2 + by^2$ such that a and b have opposite signs. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= ax^2 - by^2 \\ &= (\sqrt{ax} + \sqrt{by})(\sqrt{ax} - \sqrt{by}) \end{aligned}$$

and so, the origin becomes the saddle point where the lines

$$y = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}}x$$

and

$$y = -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}}x$$

69 intersect. This proves the claim. \square

70 **4. General Quadratic Function of the form** $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + f$

Consider the equation

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + f \tag{10}$$

represented in matrix form

$$f(x, y) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{x}^T + f \tag{11}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} a & \frac{c}{2} \\ \frac{c}{2} & b \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} d \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Since \mathbf{Q} is symmetric, we may write

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{O}^T \text{ or } \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{O}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{O}$$

where

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a diagonal matrix whose elements are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{Q} and

$$\mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is an orthogonal matrix whose columns are the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues. Introduce the new variables x' and y' for x and y variables and let \mathbf{X}' be

$$\mathbf{X}' = \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{X}'. \tag{12}$$

71 Then (11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \mathbf{O} \mathbf{X}'^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{O} \mathbf{X}'^T + f \\ &= \lambda_1 x'^2 + \lambda_2 y'^2 + h_1 x' + h_2 y' + f \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

72 which is the same form in (8).

Theorem 2. *Let*

$$f(x, y) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{x}^T + f.$$

73 *If \mathbf{Q} is negative definite and $a, b < 0$, then $f(x, y)$ is a maximum obtained by Theorem (1).*

74 **Proof.** Use orthogonal rotation and translation of axes and apply Theorem 1 and Lemma 1. This
75 proves the result. \square

76 **5. Constrained Optimization**

Consider a maximization problem:

Maximize: $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2, a, b < 0$

Subject to:

$$cx^2 + dy^2 > K, K > 0 \tag{14}$$

77 The point $(0, 0, f(x, y))$ is a global maximum and is a feasible solution since the point satisfies the
 78 constraint.

79 It is interesting to note in a case when the constraint does not include the origin as shown in the
 80 following maximization problem:

Maximize: $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2, a, b < 0$

Subject to:

$$cx^2 + dy^2 \geq K, K > 0 \tag{15}$$

81 In this case, the origin is not a feasible solution.

Consider the arc traced by

$$cx^2 + dy^2 = K. \tag{16}$$

82 We claim that the optimal solution which is feasible lies along this arc. Observe that the arc is an ellipse.
 83 If $c > d$, the major axis of the ellipse is along the vertical axis while if $c < d$, the major axis is along the
 84 horizontal axis.

85 Suppose $c > d$, then the major axis has coordinates $(\sqrt{\frac{K}{c}}, 0)$ and $(-\sqrt{\frac{K}{c}}, 0)$. The minor axis has
 86 coordinates $(0, \sqrt{\frac{K}{d}})$ and $(0, -\sqrt{\frac{K}{d}})$. The maximum occurs along the arc at a point closest to the global
 87 (infeasible) minimum $(0, 0)$, namely, $(0, \sqrt{\frac{K}{d}})$ and $(0, -\sqrt{\frac{K}{d}})$.

Theorem 3. *The optimal solution to*

Maximize: $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2, a, b < 0$

Subject to:

$$cx^2 + dy^2 \leq K, K > 0 \tag{17}$$

88 occurs at the endpoints of the minor axis of (16).

Proof. Choose any point (x_0, y_0) satisfying $cx_0^2 + dy_0^2 = K$ where $c < d$. The value of $f(x, y)$ at $(0, \sqrt{\frac{K}{d}})$
 is

$$f(x, y)^* = \frac{bK}{d} \tag{18}$$

and the value of $f(x, y)$ at (x_0, y_0) is

$$f(x_0, y_0) = ax_0^2 + by_0^2 < by_0^2 \leq b \cdot \frac{K}{d} = f(x, y)^*. \tag{19}$$

89 Therefore, $f(x, y)^* > f(x_0, y_0)$. □

The extension of Theorem 3 to several quadratic constraints is obvious. The optimal solution can
 be found by finding the intersections of the elliptical constraints

$$c_i x^2 + d_i y^2 \leq k_i, k_i > 0 \tag{20}$$

90 and choosing the intersection closest to the origin.

In cases where the objective function has a linear component such as

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + dx + ey + f, \tag{21}$$

Theorem 1 can easily be determined and the global maximum occurs at $(\frac{-d}{2a}, \frac{-e}{2b}, f(\frac{-d}{2a}, \frac{-e}{2b}))$. We
 examine the constraints

$$c_i x^2 + d_i y^2 \leq k_i, k_i > 0$$

91 and determine whether the global maximum is feasible or not. Otherwise, take the intersection point
 92 of the constraint closest to the global maximum. The search for the closest point of intersection is

93 facilitated by the fact that it is the one which matches the signs of the components of the global
 94 maximum.

95 **6. Illustrations**

96 The following are some numerical illustrations of the quadrex algorithm:

97 **Illustration 1.** Obtain the extrema of

- 98 1. $f(x, y) = -3x^2 - 2y^2 + 4$
 99 2. $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2 - 6x + 4y + 1$
 100 3. $f(x, y) = -6x^2 + 4xy - 9y^2 + 6x - 15y + 2$

101 **Solution**

- 102 1. Note that $a = -3$ and $b = -2$ and $a, b < 0$. It follows that the minimum is at $(0, 0, f(0, 0)) =$
 103 $(0, 0, 4)$.
 104 2. Rewrite $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2 - 6x + 4y + 1$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= x^2 + 2y^2 - 6x + 4y + 1 \\ &= x^2 - 6x + 9 + 2(y^2 + 2y + 1) + 1 + 9 + 2 \\ &= (x - 3)^2 + 2(y + 1)^2 + 12 \end{aligned}$$

105 Since $a = 2, b = 3$ are both positive, the maximum is at $(3, -1, f(3, -1)) = (3, -1, -10)$.

3. Rewrite

$$f(x, y) = -6x^2 + 4xy - 9y^2 + 6x - 15y + 2 \tag{22}$$

106 in matrix form.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 2 \\ 2 & -9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -15 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{x} + K \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 2 \\ 2 & -9 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{N}^T = (6, -15), \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, K = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

Since Q is symmetric, it follows that Q can be represented as

$$Q = RDR^T \text{ or } D = R^TQR$$

where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 \\ 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \tag{25}$$

is a diagonal matrix whose elements are the eigenvalues of Q and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{26}$$

is an orthogonal matrix whose columns are the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues.

Let $X' = \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$$X = RX'. \tag{27}$$

107 Then (23) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, y) &= (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X}')^T \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X}') + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X}' + K \\
 &= \mathbf{X}'^T (\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X}' + K \\
 &= \mathbf{X}'^T \mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X}' + K \\
 &= -5x'^2 - 10y'^2 - \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{5}x' - \frac{36\sqrt{5}}{5}y' + 2
 \end{aligned}$$

108 The equation does not contain any cross product so that it can now be translated and is the same
 109 form with (8). Applying Theorem 1, $x' = -\frac{3\sqrt{5}}{50}$ and $y' = -\frac{9\sqrt{5}}{25}$. The coordinate of the original
 110 function can be found using (27). Since $a = -6$ and $b = -9$ are both negative, $f(x, y) = \frac{857}{100}$ is a
 111 maximum at $x = \frac{6}{25}$ and $y = -\frac{39}{50}$.

112 Illustration 2.

113 Maximize: $f(x, y) = -2x^2 - 3y^2 - 2x + 3y - 5$

114 Subject to: $-2x^2 - 3y^2 \leq 2$

115 Solution

116 Since the global maximum $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is not feasible, we locate the minor axis of the ellipse $-2x^2 -$
 117 $3y^2 = 2$. We found that the endpoints are $(0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})$ and $(0, -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})$. The closest point to $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is
 118 $(0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})$. Therefore, the optimal solution is $(0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, 7 - \sqrt{6})$.

119 7. Conclusion

120 The quadrex algorithm proposed in this paper checks the behavior of the quadratic objective
 121 function near the origin or a translate of the origin. The optimal solution is the origin if it is feasible.
 122 Otherwise, the point of intersection of the constraint set closest to that origin is the optimal solution.
 123 The algorithm works whenever the matrix of the quadratic form in the objective function is strictly
 124 negative-definite with no positive eigenvalue.

128 References

- 129 1. Merlini, D., Sprugnoli R., Verri, M.C. The Cauchy Numbers. *Discrete Math.* **2006**, 306(16), 1906-1920.
- 130 2. Science Encyclopedia (2022) *Invariant*. <https://science.jrank.org/pages/3666/Invariant.html>
- 131 3. Hong, S.S, Hong, Y.H., Chang, H. (2014). Kaifangfa and Translation of Coordinate Axes, *Amer. Math. Monthly*
 132 27(6), 387-394.
- 133 4. Meimand, A. (2017). Introductory guide on Linear Programming for (aspiring) data scientists.
 134 <https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/2017/02/introductory-guide-on-linear-programming-explained-in-simple-english/>
- 135 5. Jaggi, M. (2013). Revisiting Frank-Wolfe: projection-free sparse convex optimization. *JMLR: Workshop and*
 136 *Conference Proceedings*, Vol 28, France.
- 137 6. Lacpao, N.B., Castellano, E. (2013) Algebraic algorithm for positive-definite quadratic programming models
 138 (QPM) with linear and quadratic constraints *J. Sci. and Tech.*, 1(1).
- 139 7. Arado, M.E., Balase, E.A., Padua, R.N. (2009). Adaptive blind random search. *Proceedings of the 6th IMT-GT*
 140 *Conference on Mathematics, Statistics and its Applications (ICMSA2010)*, p.280, Kuala Lumpur.
- 141 8. Busa, J. (2012). Solving quadratic problem with linear containing absolute values. *Acta Electronica et*
 142 *Informatica*, 12(3), 11-18, DOI: 10.2478/v10198-012-0024-4.
- 143 9. Leeuw, J. (2017). Quadratic programming with quadratic constraints. <https://rpubs.com/deleeuw/239135>.
- 144 10. Huang, H., P. Haaland, X. Lu, Y. Liu, and J.S. Marron (2011). DWD implementation based on A IPM SOCP
 145 solver, <https://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/dwd/>.
- 146 11. Pfaff, B. (2015). Cone Constrained Convex Problems, <https://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/cccp/>.

- 147 12. Rudy, J. (2011). CLSOCP: A smoothing Newton method SOCP solver.
148 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=CLSOCP>.
- 149 13. Wang, Y., C.L. Lawson, and R.J. Hanson (2015). lsei: Solving Least Squares Problems under
150 Equality/Inequality Constraints, <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lsei>.
- 151 14. F. Delbos, J.Ch. Gilbert (2005). Global linear convergence of an augmented Lagrangian algorithm for solving
152 convex quadratic optimization problems. *J. of Con. Anal.*, 12, 45-69.
- 153 15. Pardalos, P. M., and Stephen A. V. (2018). Quadratic programming with one negative eigenvalue is NP-hard.
154 *J. of Glob. Opti.* 1.1 : 15-22.
- 155 16. Luenberger, D. G. (1997). *Optimization by Vector Space Methods*. New York: Wiley.
- 156 17. [Anton, H. (1987). *Elementary Linear Algebra (5th ed.)*, New York: Wiley, ISBN 0-471-84819-0
- 157 18. Larson, R. and Falvo, D. (2009). *Elementary Linear Algebra*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing Company,
158 U.S.A.

159