

Influence of plant growth regulators and fresh fruit harvesting on quality seed yield of okra

ABSTRACT

Present investigation was framed with Sixty (60) treatments consisting of 10 PGR's level, P0 (distilled water), GA₃ (100 ppm, 150 ppm and 200 ppm), NAA (100 ppm, 150 ppm and 200 ppm), IAA (30 ppm, 60 ppm and 90 ppm), 2 Stage of plant for spraying of PGRs, S1 (4 leaf stage), S2 (4 leaf stage + Flower initiation) and 3 pickings of fruits, H1 (3 Pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production), H2 (6 Pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production), H3 (No pickings of fresh fruits + all fruits left for seed production) were laid out in randomized block design (factorial) with three replications to find out the most suitable PGR's level and stage of plant for spray as well as fresh fruit pickings to increase the seed yield of okra. It was observed that the different levels of treatments affected the seed quality attributes of the crops. Among the treatments, P2S1H1 treatment was recorded maximum Germination % (86.36), Seedling length (31.04 cm), Vigour index-I (2787.73), Vigour index-II (5.27) and seed viability test (88.00). Thus, P2S1H1 was superior among all treatments. Similar trend was also observed under the treatment P1S1H1.

Key words- Okra, plant growth regulators, seed quality, fruit pickings.

INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (moench) L.) an annual, often cross-pollinated crop belonging to the family Malvaceae (Naveed et al., 2009). It is an economically important vegetable crop grown in tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world (Oyelade et al., 2003, Andras et al., 2005, Saifullah & Rabbani, 2009). Okra requires a long, warm and humid growing period. The quality seed is basic input for increasing the crop productivity (Singhal, 2000). Prolonged flowering and maturity of fruit in okra necessitates the picking of fruits at different stages. The seed qualities are changed in fruits harvested at different picking due to differential supply of nutrient by mother plant. Seed is crucial and basic unit to increase yield per unit area and to improve the agricultural economy of a country. The seed is basic element of agriculture that determines the quantitative and qualitative traits of the crop that is going to be harvested later on. So there is need to enhance the yield of seed and it's maintaining quality. Foliar spray of growth regulator has been found effective in increasing vegetative growth, early fruiting, total yield and quality of fruits in many vegetables (Ramu and Muthuswamy, 1964). Application of plant growth regulator is effective tool in hands of horticulturist to get more yields.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Vegetable Research farm, Bihar Agricultural College, BAU, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar) during two consecutive years of 2018-19 and 2019-20. The design of the

experiment was RBD (Factorial), replicated thrice. Seeds were sown and covered with thin layer of soil mixed with FYM. The soil and the weather condition prevailing during the period of investigation was close to normal for the place and could be termed congenial for growth and development of okra.

The treatment comprised Sixty (60) treatments consisting of 10 PGR's level, P0 (distilled water), GA₃ (100 ppm, 150 ppm and 200 ppm), NAA (100 ppm, 150 ppm and 200 ppm), IAA (30 ppm, 60 ppm and 90 ppm), 2 Stage of plant for spraying of PGRs, S1 (4 leaf stage), S2 (4 leaf stage + Flower initiation) and 3 pickings of fruits, H1 (3 Pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production), H2 (6 Pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production), H3 (No pickings of fresh fruits + all fruits left for seed production). Half of nitrogen as per treatment and full of phosphorus and potassium as a common dose were applied as basal at the time of transplanting and remaining half of nitrogen as per treatments was applied at 30 days after planting of crop. Five plants in each treatment combination and each replication were randomly selected and tagged properly for recording various observations. The observation recorded for the aforesaid five plants were worked out to give mean in respect of all the characters, *viz.* Germination (%), Seedling length (cm), Vigour Index (VI-I), Vigour Index (VI-II), Seed viability test (%). The statistical analysis of the data recorded in all observations was carried out by the method of "Analysis of the variance" prescribed by Fisher and Yates (1963). Comparison of treatment was made with the help of critical difference (C.D.).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The maximum germination (%) (86.36) was recorded when the plants were sprayed with P2 (GA₃-150 ppm). The maximum germination (%) (81.12) was observed in S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). The maximum germination (%) (82.41) was recorded along with three picking of fresh fruit + rest fruits left for seed production (H1).

The germination percent of okra was significantly influenced by the treatment of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and fresh fruit picking. The highest germination (%) (88.18) was observed when plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm) were used with three pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1) in stage S1 (4 leaf stage). The progressive improvement in parameters under the treatment might be due to the higher value of 100 seed weight or bold seed count, which would have produced the highest germination rate (%). Seeds become more viable and vigorous due to proper development of the embryo and endosperm by proportionate use of growth regulators. Similar results were recorded in Khan et al. (2013) in okra. Similar results were observed earlier by Shahid et al. (2013), Rizwan et al. (2013), Kumar and Sen (2005), Singh et al. (2006), Ambreen et al. (2010), Ravat and Makani (2015), and Prasad and Srihari (2008) in okra, Gedam et al. (1996), and Dostogir et al. (2006) in bitter gourd. Seed germination per cent was favourably influenced due to the metabolic activity of the embryo in the seed, which may be accelerated by gibberillic acid, which might have ultimately led to quicker germination. And it may be due to the stimulating activities of the seed embryo by the plant growth regulators. Similar results were obtained by Patil and Patel (2010), Chauhan et al. (2009), and Patil et al. (2008) in okra. GA₃ increases the activity of hydrolytic enzymes during the germination process. Hydrolytic enzymes get diffused into endosperm, where they catalyse the digestion of stored food material into sugar, amino acids etc. These products are used during germination and seedling emergence (Sinha 2014). The increase in seed quality parameters obtained due to spraying of (GA₃ @ 100 ppm) might be due to a higher percentage of bolder seeds coupled with the

higher test weight of seeds and to increased translocation and assimilation of photosynthates from the source to the sink (seeds).

Seedling length (cm)

The maximum seedling length (31.04cm) was recorded with the application of P2 (GA₃-150 ppm). Maximum seedling length (27.85cm) was observed in S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). The maximum seedling length (29.77cm) was associated with three picking of fresh fruit + rest fruit left for seed production (H1).

The pooled interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and fresh fruit picking was found significant with respect to seedling length (cm) and seedling dry weight (g). The maximum seedling length (34.00cm) was observed in the application of plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm) with three pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1) in stage S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). This may be due to better diversion of photosynthesis to the fruit and a better accumulation of food reserves in the seeds. Similar results have been confirmed by Reddy and Bhat (1997). Seeds become more viable and vigorous due to proper development of the embryo and endosperm by proportionate use of growth regulators. Similar results were recorded in Khan et al. (2013). GA₃ increases the activity of hydrolytic enzymes during the germination process. Hydrolytic enzymes get diffused into endosperm, where they catalyze the digestion of stored food material into sugar, amino acids, etc. These products are used during germination and seedling emergence (Sinha, 2014). The increase in seed quality parameters obtained due to spraying of (GA₃ 100 ppm) might be due to a higher percentage of bolder seeds coupled with the higher test weight of seeds due to increased translocation and assimilation of photosynthates from the source to the sink (seeds). Similar findings were also reported by Balakumar and Balsubramanian (1988) and Singh and Lal (1995) in chilli, Revanappa (1993) and Balaraj (1999) in chilli and Patil (2005) in brinjal.

Vigour Index-I and Vigour Index-II

The maximum vigour index-1 (2787.73) was recorded under the application of P2 (GA₃-150 ppm). The maximum vigour index-1 (2434.71) was observed in S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). The maximum vigour index-1 (2577.88) was recorded with three picking of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1).

The maximum vigour index-2 (5.27) was recorded under the application of P2 (GA₃-150 ppm), which was superior among all plant growth regulators. The maximum vigour index-2 (3.44) was observed in S1 (4 leaf stage). The maximum vigour index-2 (3.41) was recorded in three picking of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1).

The vigour index-I and vigour index-II of okra were significantly influenced by the treatment of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and fresh fruit picking. The maximum vigour index-1 (3015.60) was observed in the application of plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm) with three pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1) in stage S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation) and the maximum vigour index-2 (5.44) was observed in the application of plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm) with three pickings of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1) in stage S1 (4 leaf stage). These results are in conformity with those of Ambreen et al. (2010); Ravat and Makani (2015); Priyanka et al. (2008); Baruah & Paul (1997), Velumani & Ramaswamy (1977). Seeds become more viable and vigorous due to proper development of the embryo and endosperm by proportionate use of growth regulators. Similar trends were observed in study of Khan et al. (2013) in okra. The vigour index potential of seeds extracted from three picking green fruit was highest due to better

mobilization of reserved food material, which could be supplied by the mother plant for proper development of seeds. These results were in conformity with those obtained by Kumar et al. (1987) in cotton, Vadivelu and Srimathi (1988), Vadivelu et al. (1991), in tomato, Ghule (1992) in okra, Gavale (1994) in tomato, Marbhal (2001) in bitter gourd, and Nikumbh (2001) in cucumber.

Seed viability test (%) and Electrical conductivity test

The maximum seed viability test (%) (88.00) was noted when the plant developed under the influence of plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm), which was superior among all plant growth regulators. The maximum seed viability test (%) (80.05) was observed in S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). The maximum seed viability test (%) (80.53) was recorded in three picking of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1).

The minimum electrical conductivity (302.49) was recorded under the foliar spray of P2 (GA₃-150 ppm), which was lowest among all plant growth regulators. The minimum electrical conductivity (463.25) was observed in S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). The minimum electrical conductivity (455.29) was recorded in three picking of fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1).

The pooled interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and fresh fruit picking was found significant with respect to seed viability test (%) and electrical conductivity test. The maximum seed viability test (%) (92.00) was observed in the application of plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm) with no picking for fresh fruits + all fruits left for seed production (H3) in stage S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation) and the minimum electrical conductivity test (278.15) was observed in the application of plant growth regulators P2 (GA₃-150 ppm) with three pickings for fresh fruits + rest fruits left for seed production (H1) in stage S2 (4 leaf stage + flower initiation). It might be due to a higher percentage of bolder seeds coupled with the heavier seed weight due to increased translocation and assimilation of photosynthates from the source to the sink (seeds). These results are in conformity with those of Singh et al. (2006), Priyanka et al. (2008), and Ambreen et al. (2010) in okra and Patil (2005) in brinjal. Similar results have also been reported by Gedam et al. (1996) and Dostogir et al. (2006) in bitter gourd. Low value of electrical conductivity is an indication of seed viability. Since electrical conductivity value is negatively correlated with standard germination and other seed quality traits. The difference in sugar loss from seeds of crops sown on different dates might be due to difference in relative amount of soluble sugars present in seeds or due to difference in sensitivity to the intake of water during imbibitions or both (Perry and Harrison, 1970).

Table 1 : Effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on germination (%) cv. Super Green

	S1	S2	Mean	H1	H2	H3
P0	74.80	74.99	74.89	76.54	72.83	75.31
P1	85.22	85.21	85.21	87.19	83.72	84.71
P2	86.53	86.20	86.36	88.18	84.71	86.20
P3	81.40	81.74	81.57	82.97	80.75	81.00
P4	83.89	82.90	83.39	83.98	82.48	83.72
P5	82.57	81.74	82.15	84.21	81.00	81.25
P6	80.59	80.25	80.42	80.24	80.26	80.75
P7	76.62	75.99	76.30	77.04	75.57	76.30
P8	79.26	80.92	80.09	82.24	78.52	79.52
P9	80.10	81.25	80.67	81.50	80.49	80.02
Mean	81.10	81.12		82.41	80.03	80.88
H1	82.19	82.63	82.41			

H2	79.75	80.31	80.03		
H3	81.35	80.41	80.88		
	SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)		SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)
P	0.932	NS	P*S	1.318	3.69
S	0.417	NS	P*H	1.615	4.52
H	0.511	NS	H*S	0.722	NS

Table 2 : Interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on germination (%) cv. Super Green

Treatments		H1	H2	H3
S1	P0	76.76	74.84	72.80
	P1	87.19	84.71	83.73
	P2	88.18	86.69	84.72
	P3	82.24	81.24	80.75
	P4	85.71	83.72	82.24
	P5	83.73	82.73	81.24
	P6	77.75	82.74	81.26
	P7	76.76	77.32	75.78
	P8	82.75	78.26	76.77
	P9	80.80	81.24	78.25
S2	P0	76.33	75.77	72.87
	P1	87.18	84.72	83.72
	P2	88.15	85.71	84.71
	P3	83.71	80.75	80.74
	P4	82.25	83.73	82.73
	P5	84.70	79.78	80.75
	P6	82.72	78.76	79.26
	P7	77.33	75.29	75.35
	P8	81.73	80.77	80.27
	P9	82.21	78.80	82.74
SEm±	2.284			
CD (P=0.05%)	6.40			

Table 3 : Effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on seedling length (cm) cv. Super Green

	S1	S2	Mean	H1	H2	H3
P0	23.20	24.63	23.91	25.78	22.55	23.40
P1	30.11	30.38	30.25	32.86	27.78	30.10
P2	30.74	31.33	31.04	33.63	28.73	30.76
P3	27.69	28.04	27.87	30.39	25.95	27.27
P4	29.16	29.96	29.56	32.21	27.21	29.26
P5	28.52	28.92	28.72	31.14	26.88	28.14
P6	27.16	27.62	27.39	29.55	25.76	26.87
P7	24.51	25.21	24.86	26.39	23.70	24.49
P8	25.98	26.44	26.21	28.10	25.00	25.53
P9	26.15	25.93	26.04	27.64	24.50	25.99
Mean	27.32	27.85		29.77	25.80	27.18
H1	29.22	30.32	29.77			
H2	25.93	25.68	25.80			
H3	26.81	27.54	27.18			
	SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)		SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)	
P	0.322	0.90	P*S	0.455	1.27	
S	0.144	NS	P*H	0.557	1.56	
H	0.176	NS	H*S	0.249	NS	

Table 4 : Interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on seedling length (cm) cv. Super Green

Treatments		H1	H2	H3
S1	P0	24.69	22.05	22.85
	P1	32.73	30.20	27.40
	P2	33.25	30.52	28.45
	P3	30.02	27.41	25.65
	P4	31.68	29.40	26.42
	P5	30.78	28.03	26.75
	P6	28.85	26.12	26.53
	P7	25.43	23.55	24.55
	P8	27.70	24.98	25.25
	P9	27.05	25.90	25.50
S2	P0	26.88	24.75	22.25
	P1	33.00	30.00	28.15
	P2	34.00	31.00	29.00
	P3	30.75	27.13	26.25
	P4	32.75	29.13	28.00
	P5	31.50	28.25	27.00
	P6	30.25	27.62	25.00
	P7	27.35	25.43	22.85
	P8	28.50	26.08	24.75
	P9	28.23	26.08	23.50
SEm±		0.788		
CD (P=0.05%)		2.20		

Table 5 : Effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on vigour index-1(VI-I) cv. Super Green

	S1	S2	Mean	H1	H2	H3
P0	1821.67	1833.93	1827.80	1950.00	1691.25	1842.15
P1	2652.50	2730.67	2691.58	2883.75	2481.00	2710.00
P2	2760.75	2814.70	2787.73	2954.55	2574.50	2834.13
P3	2433.33	2484.92	2459.13	2675.00	2227.38	2475.00
P4	2556.67	2634.17	2595.42	2792.50	2381.25	2612.50
P5	2475.83	2567.50	2521.67	2701.25	2320.00	2543.75
P6	2380.00	2462.83	2421.42	2605.50	2213.75	2445.00
P7	1973.33	2090.00	2031.67	2252.50	1802.50	2040.00
P8	2350.83	2402.50	2376.67	2526.25	2226.25	2377.50
P9	2275.83	2325.83	2300.83	2437.50	2126.25	2338.75
Mean	2368.08	2434.71		2577.88	2204.41	2421.88
H1	2529.60	2626.16	2577.88			
H2	2243.75	2165.08	2204.41			
H3	2330.88	2512.88	2421.88			
	SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)		SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)	
P	30.731	86.07	P*S	43.460	121.73	
S	13.743	NS	P*H	52.228	149.09	
H	16.832	NS	H*S	23.804	NS	

Table 6 : Interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on vigour index-1(VI-I) cv. Super Green

Treatments		H1	H2	H3
S1	P0	2000.00	1605.00	1860.00

	P1	2837.50	2520.00	2600.00
	P2	2893.50	2625.00	2763.75
	P3	2650.00	2300.00	2350.00
	P4	2745.00	2400.00	2525.00
	P5	2625.00	2365.00	2437.50
	P6	2530.00	2297.50	2312.50
	P7	2190.00	1690.00	2040.00
	P8	2425.00	2382.50	2245.00
	P9	2400.00	2252.50	2175.00
S2	P0	1900.00	1777.50	1824.30
	P1	2930.00	2442.00	2820.00
	P2	3015.60	2524.00	2704.50
	P3	2700.00	2154.75	2600.00
	P4	2840.00	2362.50	2700.00
	P5	2777.50	2275.00	2650.00
	P6	2681.00	2130.00	2577.50
	P7	2315.00	1915.00	2040.00
	P8	2627.50	2070.00	2510.00
P9	2475.00	2000.00	2502.50	
SEm± CD (P=0.05%)		75.275		
		210.84		

Table 7: Effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on vigour index-2(VI-II) cv. Super Green

	S1	S2	Mean	H1	H2	H3
P0	3.10	2.92	3.01	3.10	2.94	3.01
P1	4.47	3.79	4.13	4.35	3.74	4.30
P2	5.31	5.24	5.27	5.29	5.21	5.32
P3	3.05	2.76	2.90	2.79	2.94	2.99
P4	3.16	3.21	3.19	3.37	3.06	3.13
P5	3.13	3.06	3.10	3.00	3.02	3.27
P6	2.99	3.07	3.03	2.81	2.98	3.29
P7	3.01	2.82	2.92	2.98	3.00	2.77
P8	3.06	3.05	3.06	3.15	2.95	3.06
P9	3.13	2.92	3.02	3.22	2.99	2.86
Mean	3.44	3.28		3.41	3.28	3.40
H1	3.57	3.24	3.41			
H2	3.26	3.30	3.28			
H3	3.48	3.32	3.40			
	SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)		SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)	
P	0.038	0.11	P*S	0.053	0.15	
S	0.017	0.05	P*H	0.065	0.18	
H	0.021	NS	H*S	0.029	0.08	

Table 8 : Interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on vigour index-2(VI-II) cv. Super Green

Treatments		H1	H2	H3
S1	P0	3.45	2.91	2.94
	P1	4.92	4.72	3.75
	P2	5.44	4.35	5.12
	P3	2.94	3.20	3.00
	P4	3.36	3.33	2.78
	P5	3.13	3.28	2.99

	P6	2.56	3.41	2.99
	P7	3.26	2.69	3.09
	P8	3.20	2.99	3.00
	P9	3.46	2.93	2.99
S2	P0	2.74	3.10	2.93
	P1	3.77	3.88	3.73
	P2	5.14	4.28	5.30
	P3	2.64	2.78	2.87
	P4	3.37	2.92	3.34
	P5	2.88	3.25	3.05
	P6	3.06	3.17	2.98
	P7	2.69	2.85	2.92
	P8	3.10	3.14	2.90
	P9	2.98	2.79	2.99
SEm± CD (P=0.05%)	0.092			
	0.26			

Table 9 : Effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on seed viability test (%) cv. Super Green

	S1	S2	Mean	H1	H2	H3
P0	75.83	78.17	77.00	77.00	73.75	80.25
P1	84.33	85.83	85.08	86.25	83.00	86.00
P2	86.67	89.33	88.00	88.75	84.75	90.50
P3	76.17	76.50	76.33	77.25	76.00	75.75
P4	79.17	79.33	79.25	79.75	80.00	78.00
P5	77.17	77.17	77.17	76.50	77.25	77.75
P6	80.17	79.50	79.83	80.00	79.25	80.25
P7	77.33	78.00	77.67	78.50	78.00	76.50
P8	78.00	77.00	77.50	79.75	77.50	75.25
P9	79.83	79.67	79.75	81.50	76.75	81.00
Mean	79.47	80.05		80.53	78.63	80.13
H1	80.50	80.55	80.53			
H2	78.35	78.90	78.63			
H3	79.55	80.70	80.13			
	SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)		SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)	
P	1.029	2.88	P*S	1.455	4.08	
S	0.460	NS	P*H	1.782	4.99	
H	0.564	NS	H*S	0.797	NS	

Table 10: Interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on seed viability test (%) cv. Super Green

Treatments		H1	H2	H3
S1	P0	74.50	73.00	80.00
	P1	84.50	82.00	86.50
	P2	87.00	84.00	89.00
	P3	77.00	74.50	77.00
	P4	80.50	79.00	78.00
	P5	76.50	78.00	77.00
	P6	81.50	81.00	78.00
	P7	76.50	77.50	78.00
	P8	84.50	77.50	72.00
	P9	82.50	77.00	80.00
S2	P0	79.50	74.50	80.50
	P1	88.00	84.00	85.50
	P2	90.50	85.50	92.00

	P3	77.50	77.50	74.50
	P4	79.00	81.00	78.00
	P5	76.50	76.50	78.50
	P6	78.50	77.50	82.50
	P7	80.50	78.50	75.00
	P8	75.00	77.50	78.50
	P9	80.50	76.50	82.00
SEm± CD (P=0.05%)	2.520			
	7.06			

Table 11 : Effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on electrical conductivity test *cv.* Super Green

	S1	S2	Mean	H1	H2	H3
P0	586.17	576.12	581.14	593.65	562.90	586.88
P1	356.13	348.18	352.16	382.75	341.50	332.23
P2	313.60	291.38	302.49	305.20	320.88	301.40
P3	479.60	506.38	492.99	511.43	481.70	485.85
P4	437.55	447.48	442.52	424.73	476.55	426.28
P5	499.28	482.08	490.68	437.35	543.90	490.80
P6	450.40	441.40	445.90	395.53	486.33	455.85
P7	548.45	565.15	556.80	577.53	519.63	573.25
P8	486.42	492.32	489.37	433.40	488.50	546.20
P9	501.98	482.02	492.00	491.30	511.83	472.88
Mean	465.96	463.25		455.29	473.37	467.16
H1	444.86	465.72	455.29			
H2	486.33	460.42	473.37			
H3	464.70	469.63	467.16			
	SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)		SEm±	CD (P = 0.05)	
P	5.446	15.25	P*S	7.702	21.57	
S	2.435	NS	P*H	9.433	26.42	
H	2.983	8.36	H*S	4.218	11.82	

Table 12: Interaction effect of plant growth regulators, stage of plant for spray and number of fresh fruit picking on electrical conductivity test *cv.* Super Green

Treatments		H1	H2	H3
S1	P0	588.95	584.20	585.35
	P1	398.25	433.65	336.50
	P2	332.25	352.70	305.85
	P3	473.10	416.95	548.75
	P4	406.30	411.85	494.50
	P5	402.65	502.75	592.45
	P6	368.15	465.25	517.80
	P7	531.75	596.65	516.95
	P8	447.05	540.35	471.85
S2	P9	500.10	492.60	513.25
	P0	598.35	589.55	540.45
	P1	327.25	340.80	346.50
	P2	278.15	340.10	295.90
	P3	549.75	554.75	414.65
	P4	443.15	440.70	458.60
	P5	472.05	478.85	495.35
	P6	422.90	446.45	454.85
	P7	623.30	549.85	522.30
	P8	419.75	552.05	505.15
P9	482.50	453.15	510.40	

SEm±	13.340
CD (P=0.05%)	37.36

Note - Control (P0)- Distilled water, GA3-100 ppm (P1), GA3-150 ppm (P2), GA3- 200 ppm (P3), NAA-100 ppm (P4), NAA-150 ppm (P5), NAA- 200 ppm (P6), IAA -30 ppm (P7), IAA- 60 ppm (P8), IAA -90 ppm (P9) and 2 stage of plant for spray; 4 leaf stage (S1), 4 leaf stage + flower initiation (S2) as well as 3 pickings of fresh fruit; three picking of fresh fruits + rest of fruits for seed production (H1), Six picking of fresh fruits + rest of fruits for seed production (H2), No picking of fresh fruits +all fruits for seed production (H3).

References

Ahmed AM (2007) Effect of growth regulators and stages of fruit harvest on seed yield and quality in paprika chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) cv. Kt-PI-19. Thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad - 580 005 Karnataka State, India. All Farmer, 39 (6): 247-257.

Ambreen N, Sharma SK, Sukla YR (2010) Influence of plant growth regulators on seed yield and quality of okra. Annals of Agricultural Biology Research, 15(1):21-23.

Ashtaputre VN (1987) Effect of different spacing and number of pickings of green pods on yield and quality of okra seed (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench), M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, submitted to the Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra.

Aswathaiah B, Prasad SR, Jagdish GV, Reddy SA (1987) Effect of seed vigour on field performance and yield in hybrid sorghum. Current Research, 16: 157-159.

Balraj R, Channaveeraswamy AM, Shakuntala NM, Shekhara Gowda M (2002) Effect of accelerated ageing of restorer lines on growth parameters of sunflower. Seed Tech News, 32: 88.

Benerjee SK (1978) Observations on the initiation of seed deterioration and its localization in barley and onion. Seed Science and Technology, 6: 1025- 1028.

Bhagure YL, Tambe TB (2011) Effect of seed soaking and foliar sprays of plant growth regulators on germination, growth and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] var. Parbhani Kranti. The Asian Journal of Horticulture, 8(2): 399-402.

Borikar ST, Singh AR, Chaulwar SB, Katkade JL (1985) Character association and path analysis for seedling vigour in sorghum. Seed Research, 13: 89-93.

Dey G, Mukherjee RK (1986) Deteriorative changes in seeds during storage and its control by hydration-dehydration pre-treatments. Seed Research, 14: 49- 59.

Dhage A, Nagre PK, Bhangre KK, Pappu AK (2011) Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield parameters of okra. The Asian Journal of Horticulture, 6(1):170-172.

Edje OT, Burris JS (1970) Seedling vigour in soybeans. Proceedings of Association of Official Seed Analysts, 60: 149-157.

Funk CR, Anderson JC, Johnson MW, Atkinson RW (1962) Effect of seed source and seed age on field and laboratory performance of field corn. Crop Science, 2: 318-320.

Hussaini MGB, Babu KH (2004) Effect of plant bioregulators on yield and yield attributes of bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentum* (L.) Moench) cv. Arka Abhay. Orissa Journal of Horticulture, 32 (1): 108-109.

Jabeen F, Dhanraj A, Hussaini SH, Reddy BM (1991) The effect of germination levels on seedling vigour, growth and yield of castor. Journal of Research APAU, 19: 57-62.

Karuna MN, Aswathaiiah B (1989) Effect of seed vigour on field performance in beet-root and carrot. Seeds and Farms, 15: 40-47.

Kumar A, Tyagi CS, Kharb RPS (1998) Studies on seed viability, vigour and field performance of naturally aged seeds of chickpea. Seed Tech News, 28(4): 78.

Kumar PB, Gaurav SS (2008) Effect of growth stimulants on seed germination and vigour index in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Progressive Research, 3(2): 195-196.

Munda BDS, Singh RR, Maurya KR (2000) Effect of plant growth regulators on quality of seed of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Journal of Applied Biology, 10(1): 22-25.

Natesh N, Vyakaranahal BS, Gouda MS, Deshpande VK (2005) Influence of growth regulators on growth, seed yield and quality of chill cv. Byadgi kaddi. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 18(1):36-38.

Paramesh R, Reddy VDM, Lokesh K (2002) Effect of seed vigour on growth and yield attributing characters in soybean cultivar. Seed Tech News, 32: 218.

Patil RS, Chaitanya HS Nagesh L (2014) Effect of plant growth regulators and fruit picking on seed yield and seed quality attributes of okra in coastal Karnataka. Environment Ecology, 32 (1A): 215-219.

Pollock BM, Roose EE (1972) Seed and seedling vigour. In Seed Biology-I (Ed Kozlowski T T). Academic Press, New York, pp.313-387.

Rao S, Raut ND, Lakhani JP, Khare D (1994) Effect of accelerated ageing on germination and viability of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). genotypes. Seed Tech News, 24 : 91.

Singh P, Singh V, Maurya CL, Swarnkar SK, Bajpai VP (2006) Selection of suitable growth regulator and spacing for seed yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. KS-404. Seed Research, 30(1): 61-65.

Tyagi SVS, Khandelwal RC (1977) Seed yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) as affected by urea sprays and green pod pickings. *Seed and Farm*, 10(1):53-55.

UNDER PEER REVIEW