

## Original Research Article

# Balanced nutrition for Hybrid cotton using Liquid Fertilizer

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to assess the effect of drip fertigation and foliar nutrition of liquid multi nutrient on growth, yield and quality parameters of hybrid cotton. Liquid fertilizer was formulated using micronutrient (Zn, Fe, Mn, B, Mo, Cu), Mg and S to meet the nutrient requirement of hybrid cotton. Field experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and seven treatments. Drip fertigation was applied with 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) through water soluble fertilizer and Liquid Multinutrient (LMN). Treatment that received 100% RDF + LMN fertigation and foliar nutrition of LMN recorded the highest growth parameters (plant height, Leaf Area Index and dry matter production), yield parameters like number of sympodial branches/plant, number of bolls/plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield and quality parameters like staple length and ginning out turn per cent. Fertigation and foliar nutrition of LMN alleviated the Mg deficiency to the tune of 52%. Fertigation and foliar nutrition of LMN enhanced the nutrient uptake of hybrid cotton that would economize the cost of fertilizer input.

*Keywords: Fertigation, foliar nutrition, Liquid Multinutrient, Hybrid cotton, Magnesium deficiency.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium sp*) as a white gold and king of fiber enjoys a predominant position among all cash crops in India [1]. It is the most important fiber, oil, protein yielding commercial crop in India and it occupies a major source of foreign exchange as it supplies important raw material for the textile and ginning industry. India is the third largest producer of cotton in the world with the production of around 3.95 million tons.

Water management is of vital importance for maintaining and enhancing agricultural productivity [2]. The importance of scientific water management and need of adopting

advanced techniques such as drip irrigation enhances productivity and water use efficiency. Drip irrigation has the added advantage as the water-soluble fertilizer can be injected through the system via fertigation in precise amount and when required to match the crop needs provides an excellent opportunity to maximize the yield. By fertigation 30% fertilizer saving is possible from the recommended dose resulting in reduced rate, cost of fertilizer application and increase in fertilizer use efficiency [3].

The foliar application of plant nutrients maintains the biochemical changes in seed and increase in yield of cotton [4]. It is preferred for the immediate needs of plant and also in reducing the quantity of fertilizer. The deficiency of micronutrients has become major constraints to productivity, stability and sustainability of cotton ecosystem [5]. To overcome this, balanced nutrition and additional care through foliar nutrition assumes significance to real time nutritional requirement of crop [6]. Furthermore, cotton lends itself to foliar application because of large number of aerial application and it is one the most efficient way of supplying essential nutrients to the growing crop [7]. Recently, it is often assumed that drip fertigation in combination with foliar nutrition in cotton is most preferable.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field study was conducted in research farm, Coimbatore, India to assess the influence of drip fertigation and foliar nutrition of liquid multinutrient on growth, yield and quality parameters on hybrid cotton (RCH 659). To formulate and study the impact of liquid multinutrient with 1% / 2%  $\text{MgSO}_4$  to alleviate Mg deficiency in cotton. Soil of experimental site was low in available N, medium in P and high in K with the pH of 7.73 and EC of  $0.11\text{dsm}^{-1}$ . Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu contents in the soil is 0.8, 2.5, 10.6, and 0.9  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  respectively. The experimental soil had 14.7% of field capacity, 9.4% of permanent wilting point with a bulk density of  $1.17\text{ g cm}^{-3}$ .

The field experiment was designed in Randomized Block Design with seven treatment and three replications. Soil application of fertilizer, fertigation and foliar nutrition were included in the treatment. The seven treatments were  $T_1$  - Untreated control (drip irrigation),  $T_2$  - Recommended RDF (soil application of fertilizer),  $T_3$  - 100% Recommended RDF through drip irrigation,  $T_4$  -  $T_3$  + fertigation LMN @  $25\text{ lit ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_5$  -  $T_4$  + fertigation LMN @  $50\text{ lit ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_6$  -  $T_4$  + 1% LMN foliar nutrition,  $T_7$  -  $T_5$  + 2% LMN foliar nutrition. The crop was raised by following all the recommended package of practices (POP) for hybrid cotton by adopting a spacing of  $0.9 \times 0.9\text{ m}^2$ . Meanwhile, sowing was done on raised bed with 5cm depth near the emitter and life irrigation were given by following the POP.

A venturi injector was used to provide fertilization to specific plots. Fertilizer solution was made and stored in a plastic container mounted to a suction device. Fertigation was done as


per the schedule prescribed for the hybrid cotton. The recommended dose of fertilizer (RBD) is 120 N: 60 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 60 K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium were given in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively.

As per the treatment details, foliar nutrition of LMN that supply 1% / 2% MgSO<sub>4</sub> were imposed during the critical stages of the hybrid cotton over the plant canopy during morning hours using high volume knapsack sprayer. Various observation like growth parameters (plant height, leaf area index (LAI), dry matter production) at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing were recorded. Physiological parameters like chlorophyll content at the critical stages were recorded. Yield parameters like (No. of sympodial branches/plant, No. of bolls /plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield) and quality parameters like (staple length, lint yield and ginning out turn %) were recorded and statistically analysed. In addition to this, magnesium deficient plant per treatment were observed and correction was carried out by the foliar nutrition of LMN + 1% or 2% MgSO<sub>4</sub>.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 GROWTH PARAMETERS**

Drip fertigation with 100% recommended dose of NPK as water soluble fertilizer and liquid multnutrient (LMN) and foliar nutrition of micronutrient + MgSO<sub>4</sub> was observed to be more effective among other treatments and registered the highest values of plant height (113.67cm), leaf area index (LAI) (3.21) and dry matter production (5502.71Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 120 DAS. This treatment recorded the highest chlorophyll content at critical stages. This could be due to the precise application of water as drops exclusively at the root zone, maximizing the amount of water by ensuring that soil moisture was kept at a constant level [8], [9] in addition to combined application of water-soluble fertilizer and foliar nutrition at critical growth stages of the crop [10]. [11] recorded that effective conservation of moisture and nutrients increased the crop growth and vegetative biomass. Fertilizers when applied through fertigation increased dry matter accumulation in cotton that higher the plant height and LAI could be due to the more canopy development that results in the maximum photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll content [12]. Total plant exhibiting Mg deficiency was reduced to the tune of 52% by the application of drip fertigation with 100% RDF + LMN with 2% MgSO<sub>4</sub>.



**Fig.1 Total plant exhibiting Mg deficiency influenced by liquid multinutrient**

**Table 1 Growth parameters of hybrid cotton as influenced by Liquid multi nutrient**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Treatments		Plant height (cm)	Leaf Area Index	Dry Matter Production (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Chlorophyll content	No. of Sympodial branches
T <sub>1</sub>	Untreated Control (drip irrigation)	102	102	5130.7	34.33	15.1
T <sub>2</sub>	Recommended RDF (soil application of fertilizer)	105.3	105.3	5267.5	40.80	16.6
T <sub>3</sub>	100% Recommended RDF through drip irrigation	105.7	105.7	5367.2	38.86	17.1
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + fertigation LMN @ 25 lit ha <sup>-1</sup>	111.2	111.2	5528.9	41.76	17.6
T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + fertigation LMN @ 50lit ha <sup>-1</sup>	120.6	120.6	5588.1	40.76	18.6
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + 1% LMN foliar nutrition	125	125	5797.2	42.63	19.0
T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>5</sub> + 2% LMN foliar nutrition	125.9	125.9	5839.4	43.73	19.4
Mean		113.6714	113.6714	5502.71	40.41	17.63
SEd		1.4601	1.4601	76.83	0.77	0.23
CD		3.1813	3.1813	167.39	1.68	0.49

\*SEd - standard error of difference and CD - critical difference

### 3.2 YIELD PARAMETERS

Drip fertigation of 100% recommended dose of NPK + foliar nutrition of LMN- MgSO<sub>4</sub> at the flowering and boll development stage recorded the highest yield attributes viz., No. of sympodial branches (19.4), No. of bolls (92.33), boll weight (5.34 g) and seed cotton yield (9244.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over the control (5007.0 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and conventional method due to enhanced availability and uptake of nutrients. These results are in agreement with [13] who observed that foliar application of magnesium and zinc separately and also with combination of sulphate of zinc and magnesium increased seed cotton yield. Frequent supply of water near the root zone through drippers provides good soil moisture and thus resulting in higher yield

[8]. Highest number of bolls could be due to the highest production of vegetative biomass and flowers under fertigation. [12] observed that total bolls and boll weight produced per plant were significantly higher in cotton when applied with higher level of nutrients through fertigation. [14] Foliar nutrition of Magnesium sulphate (2%) on 50th and 80th day corrected red coloration of leaves increased the seed cotton yield [15]. Foliar nutrition of 1%  $MgSO_4$  also resulted in 43% higher seed cotton yield at Faridkot [16].

### **3.3 QUALITY PARAMETERS**

Drip fertigation of 100% recommended dose of NPK + LMN - 2%  $MgSO_4$  as foliar nutrition at the flowering and boll development stages recorded the highest lint yield ( $3044.8 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), ginning out turn % (43.6%) and staple length (43.6 mm) over control. Drip fertigation resulted in higher lint yield over the sprinkler or surface irrigation. This is confirmed by the earlier studies of [17] Foliar application of multinutrient consisting consisting of Mg 5%, B 0.5%, Cu 1.5%, Fe 4%, Mn 4%, Mo 0.1%, Zn 1.5% recorded the highest lint yield. The number of bolls per unit area, boll retention, and the lint per boll resulted in higher lint yield. [18]. [19] reported that seed cotton yield, ginning percentage and fibre quality of cotton got increased by the foliar application of Zn, Mo, Fe, B and Mn applied @ 2.0, 0.5, 5.0, 0.5 and 2.0 lb per acre respectively on 60th DAS. [20] observed that seed cotton yield and lint yield increased by foliar application of boron at different growth stages significantly.

**Table 2 : Yield and Quality parameters of hybrid cotton influenced by multi micro nutrient**

Treatments		Yield parameters			Quality parameters		
		No. of bolls	Boll wt.(g)	Seed cotton yield Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Staple length (mm)	Lint yield Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Ginning out turn (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	Untreated control (irrigation)	64.66	4.13	5007.0	1556.1	41.1	1556.1
T <sub>2</sub>	Recommended RDF (soil application of fertilizer)	70.33	4.32	5696.6	1700.6	41.4	1700.6
T <sub>3</sub>	100% Recommended RDF through drip irrigation	71.66	4.67	5804.4	1729.5	41.9	1729.5
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + fertigation LMN @ 25 lit ha <sup>-1</sup>	81.33	4.93	7517.8	2460.8	42.3	2460.8
T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + fertigation LMN @ 50 lit ha <sup>-1</sup>	88.66	5.01	8272.1	2698.3	42.4	2698.3
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + 1% LMN foliar nutrition	89.33	5.23	8759.8	2976.8	42.9	2976.8
T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>5</sub> + 2% LMN foliar nutrition	92.33	5.34	9244.5	3044.8	43.6	3044.8
Mean		79.75	4.80	7186.03	2309.56	42.23	2309.56
SEd		1.21	0.11	161.79	22.26	0.58	22.26
CD		2.64	0.24	352.51	48.49	1.27	48.49

\*SEd - standard error of difference and CD - critical difference

### 3.4 NUTRIENT UPTAKE

The maximum NPK uptake was significantly higher under drip fertigation of 100% recommended dose of NPK + LMN - 2% MgSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar nutrition as compared to control. The NPK uptake by cotton stalk varied from 52.81 to 109.2, 13.35 to 22.9, 59.22 to 102.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. This was due to availability of favourable soil moisture throughout the crop growth period, which stimulated the height of plant, expansion of leaf and consequent accumulation of more dry matter. Since the nutrient uptake is a product of nutrient content and DMP, the trend of N, P and K uptake was similar as that of DMP [21]. It was due to conservation of more soil moisture in the root zone of the crop which helped in better

utilisation of nutrients which in turn reflected on better growth and production of increased dry matter [22].

Treatment		N uptake (kg/ha)	P uptake (kg/ha)	K uptake (kg/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	Untreated control (drip irrigation)	52.81	13.35	59.22
T <sub>2</sub>	Recommended RDF (soil application of fertilizer)	62.6	13.7	63.7
T <sub>3</sub>	100% Recommended RDF through drip irrigation	73.7	16.9	82.8
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + fertigation LMN @ 25 lit ha <sup>-1</sup>	77.2	17.1	85.2
T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + fertigation LMN @ 50lit ha <sup>-1</sup>	86.2	19.2	91.3
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + 1% LMN foliar nutrition	98.6	20.2	95.2
T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>5</sub> + 2% LMN foliar nutrition	109.2	22.9	102.3

Mean	80.04	17.62	82.82
SEd	2.36	0.49	1.23
CD	5.15	1.07	2.67

**Table 3 : Nutrient uptake of hybrid cotton influenced by multi micronutrient**

*\*SEd - standard error of difference and CD - critical difference*

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

Fertigation and foliar nutrition of multinutrient as liquid fertilizer enhanced the growth, yield and quality of hybrid cotton. This balanced nutrition fertigation of nutrients enhanced the nutrient uptake thereby cost of fertilizer could be economized.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Patel, P, Patel JC, Vyas KG, Salvi D. Effect of hybrids and varying planting time on growth and productivity in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *The Bioscan*, 2016; 11(1):289-291.
2. Patel, N., and T. B. S. Rajput. Simulation and modeling of water movement in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2011; 81:25–32
3. Sankaranarayanan, K., Praharaj, C.S., Nalayini, P. and Kumar, Anderson. Evaluation of suitability and economic feasibility of using micro tubes for water delivery in drip irrigation system in cotton. (2007). In: *Proceedings of the “3 rd International Groundwater Conference - 2007 on Water, Environment and Agriculture: present problems and future challenges”* organized by Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India during 7 th to 10 th February 2007.
4. Choudhary, C.S., Pawar, W.S., Mendhe, S.N., Nikam, R.R. and Ingole, A.S. Effect of land confirmation and nutrient management on yield of rainfed cotton. *J. Soils & Crops*, (2001);11 : 125- 127.
5. Yadav, R.L. & Meena, M.C. Available micro- nutrients status and their relationship with soil properties of Degana soil series of Rajasthan. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 2009; 57:90-92.

6. Basavanneppa, M.A., 2Ajayakumar, M.Y. & 2Chittapur, B.M. response of bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) to foliar nutrition in irrigated ecosystem I.J.S.N., 2016; VOL.7 (2) 2016: 262-264
7. Derrick M. Oosterhuis<sup>1</sup> and Billy L. Weir .Foliar Fertilization Of Cotton, © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2016; DOI 10.1007/978-90-481-3195-2\_25
8. Cetin, O. and Bilgel, L. Effects of different irrigation methods on shedding and yield of cotton. *Agric. Water Mgmt.*, 2002; 54: 1-15
9. Veeraputhiran, R., Kandasamy, O.S. and Sundarsingh, S.D. Effect of drip irrigation and fertigation on growth and yield of hybrid cotton. *J. Agric. Resource Mgmt.*, 2002; 1: 88-9
10. Saravanan, M., Venkataswamy, R. and Rajendran, K. Influence of foliar nutrition on seed cotton yield and quality of Bt cotton. *Madras Agric. J.*, 2012; 99 (4-6): 332-334.
11. Virdia, H.M. and Patel, P.G. Effect of methods and scheduling of irrigation and mulching on yield of cotton. *Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J.*, 2000; 26 (I): 6-11.
12. Bhalerao, P. D., G. S. Gaikwad and S. R. Imade. Productivity and nutrient uptake of Bt-cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) as influenced by precision in application of irrigation and fertilizer. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2011; 56(2): 150-153
13. Eweida, M.H.T., Hassanein, A.M., Risk, M.A. & El-Halawany, S. Interactive effects of nitrogen, magnesium and zinc on yield and chemical properties of seed oil in Egyptian cotton. *Res. Bulletin, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo*, 1979; 1193: 16
14. Jayakumar, M., Surendran, U. and Manickasundaram, P. Drip fertigation programme on growth, crop productivity, water and fertilizer use efficiency of Bt cotton in semi-arid tropical region of India. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 2015; 46: 293-304
15. Karivaratharaju T V. Cultivation under rainfed situation. (in) *Ready Reckoner for Cotton Cultivation and Seed Production*, 2008; pp102–5. Karivaratharaju T V (Ed.).
16. AICCIP - Annual Report. All India Coordinated Cotton Improvement Project (2009–10). Central Institute for Cotton Research, Regional Station, Coimbatore.
17. Witten TK, Cothren T, Hons FM, Dugger P, Richter D. Cotton responses to foliar and in furrow applied Amisorb R and fertility treatments. *Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conferences*. 1998; 2(5-9):1475-1479.
18. Kilby, C. R., Tan, D. K. Y., and Duggan, B. L. Yield components of high-yielding Australian cotton cultivars. *Cotton Res. J.* 2013; 5, 117–130
19. Kamalanathan S, SS Narayamin, PV Marappan. The effect of micronutrients on yield and quality of MCU 3 cotton. *Madras Agri J.* 1965; 255-258

20. Mcconnel JS, Baker WH, Frizzel BS, Varvil. Response of cotton to nitrogen fertilization and early multiple application of mepiquate chloride. J Plant Nutr. 1992;15:457-468
21. Constable, G.A., Rochester, I.J. and Hodgson, A.S. A comparison of drip and furrow irrigated cotton on cracking clay soil.1. Growth and nutrient uptake. Irrigation Sci., 1990; 11 : 137-142.
22. Viridia, H.M. and Patel, P.G. Effect of methods and scheduling of irrigation and mulching on yield of cotton.Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J., 2000; 26 (I): 6-11.

UNDER PEER REVIEW