

# Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Modules on yield, yield attributes and profitability of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.)] in Western U.P.

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of Integrated nutrient management (INM) modules on indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in Western U.P., variety Pusa Vijay at Crop Research Centre (CRC), Main Campus, modipuram Meerut, during rabi season, 2020-21. The soil of experimental field was sandy loam texture, alkaline in nature with pH (8.25), low in organic carbon (0.42%) and available nitrogen (181.60 kg/ha), available phosphors (16.50 kg/ha), available potassium (230.47 kg/ha), available sulphur (7.38 kg/ha). Ten treatments of different nutrient management practices consisting T<sub>1</sub> Control, T<sub>2</sub> 100% RDN (120 kg. N/ha), T<sub>3</sub> 75% RDN, T<sub>4</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC), T<sub>5</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Poultry Manure (PM), T<sub>6</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Press Mud Compost (PMC), T<sub>7</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PM (1:1) ], T<sub>8</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PMC (1:1) ], T<sub>9</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [PM , PMC (1:1)], T<sub>10</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)] were tested in randomized block design with three replications. The experimental results revealed that yield attributes (siliqua length, siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup>, seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> and test weight), yield (grain and stover), The increment in seed yield with application of 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PM, PMC (1:1:1)] was 130.98 % over control. Maximum gross return, net return were recorded with the application of 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)], however B:C ratio was lower than the use of RDF only but in application of vermicompost, press mud compost and poultry manure improved the physio-chemical properties of soil which may improve the sustainability of production system.

Key words: Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Modules, Yield, Yield attributes, Profitability

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rapeseed and mustard are the major *Rabi* oilseed crops of India and stand next to groundnut in the oilseed economy, it is an important oilseed crops of the family Cruciferae and occupy a prominent place among the leading oilseed crops being next to groundnut both in area and production, meeting the fat requirement of about 50 per cent population in the state of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Assam. Oilseeds, the second largest agricultural commodity after cereals in India, play a significant role in India's agrarian economy, sharing 14% of the gross cropped area and accounting for nearly 1.5% of the gross national production and 8% of the value of all agricultural products. The gap in supply is being met through huge imports costing more than Rs. 26000 crores during 2009-10 (Hegde and Sudhakara, 2011). It is cultivated over an area of about 65.17 lakh hectares with production of 57.4 lakh tonnes of seed in India. The average yield of mustard is 1234 kg/ha in 2014-15 and it is cultivated over an area of about 5.8 million hectare with production 6.3 million tons in 2014-2015 In India. In Uttar Pradesh, mustard is grown on 0.82 million hectare area with production of 0.90 million tones and productivity of 1141 kg/ha. (Anonymous, 2015). Identification of the critical inputs to enhance the mustard production is need of hour. Apart from improved varieties and judicious irrigation, use of balanced fertilizers is critical for realizing higher yield. Indian soils are becoming deficient in N, P, and K along with S, Zn, and B due to intensive cultivation and use of high analysis fertilizers, under such situation organic manures can be exploited to boost the soil health condition vis-à-vis production of

crops and to improve fertilizer use efficiency. Nitrogen is the most important nutrient, which determines the growth of the mustard crop and increases the amount of protein and yield. Phosphorus and potash are known to be efficiently utilized in the presence of nitrogen. It promotes flowering, setting of siliqua and increase the size of siliqua and yield (**Singh and Meena, 2004**). Balanced combination of FYM, biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers facilitate profitable and sustainable production (**Singh and Sinsinwar, 2006**). The integrated plant nutrient management is maintenance or adjustment of soil fertility and plant nutrient supply to an optimum level for sustaining desired crop production through optimization of benefits from all possible sources of plant nutrients. Various sources of plant nutrients such as organic manures, fertilizers and bio-fertilizers were even though applied in mustard in an integrated manner (**Chand, 2007**). Fertilizers are very important sources of plant nutrients for increasing agricultural production. The mineral fertilizer could supply one or two nutrients but integrated use of macro- and micro-nutrient fertilizers and organic residues would provide N, P, K, S, Zn, Fe and B to plant and soil and resist occurrence of multiple nutrient deficiencies. The role of organic fertilizers in plant nutrition is now attracting the attention of agriculturists and soil scientists throughout the world. If sufficient quantity of organic manures is added along with mineral fertilizers then perhaps there would be no need of adding micronutrients (**Prasad et al., 2009**).

The purpose of the current study was to investigate the response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.)) for their yield, yield attributes and profitability under different integrated nutrient management modules in Western Uttar Pradesh.

## Material and method

The field experiment was conducted at CRC farm of the Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.) located in Indo-Gangetic plains of Western Uttar Pradesh. Meerut lies on national highway 58 and is at a distance of 70 km from Delhi. The weekly mean maximum temperature during crop growing period varied between 34.4<sup>0</sup>C to 18.2<sup>0</sup>C, whereas the mean minimum temperature was between 5.9<sup>0</sup>C to 20.7<sup>0</sup>C. The area receives mean annual rainfall of 845 mm, of which more than 80% is in the month of July-September through south-west monsoon. The mean relative humidity during crop period varied between 94.8 to 26.5 per cent. Before sowing of mustard, soil samples to a depth of 0-15 cm were taken randomly from 10 places in the experimental field. The collected samples were mixed homogeneously and a composite soil sample was drawn, air dried, powdered and allowed to pass through 2 mm sieve for analyses of soil physical and chemical properties. The soil of experimental site was sandy loam in texture, low in available nitrogen and organic carbon, medium in available phosphorus and potassium and slightly alkaline in reaction. The predominant soil at the experimental site is classified as *Typic Ustochrept* with sandy-loam texture having pH 7.4, bulk density 1.49 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, low organic carbon content (0.42%), Soil samples for 0–15 cm depth at the site were collected and tested prior to applying treatments and the basic properties were low available nitrogen, low organic carbon, available phosphorus, available potassium medium and alkali in reaction. The gross and net plot size were 5.1 x 4.3 m<sup>2</sup> and 4.1 x 2.7 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. In order to find out the best nutrient treatment in mustard, field investigation was carried out with ten treatments. Experiment was laid out randomized block design with three replications. Ten nutrient management treatments (T<sub>1</sub>) Control, (T<sub>2</sub>) 100% RDN (120 kg. N/ha), (T<sub>3</sub>) 75 % RDF, (T<sub>4</sub>) 75% RDN +

25% Vermicompost (VC), (T<sub>5</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Poultry Mannure (PM), (T<sub>6</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Press Mud Compost (PMC), (T<sub>7</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PM (1:1)], (T<sub>8</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PMC (1:1)], (T<sub>9</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Through [PM , PMC (1:1)], (T<sub>10</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)] were used for the experimentation. Plant-to-plant distance was maintained ~ 45 cm in a row spacing of 15 cm. NPK was applied 120, 60, 40 kg/ha at the time of seed bed preparation as per recommendation. To ensure proper germination, field was prepared after *pre-sowing* irrigation and subsequent irrigation was given as per requirement. Economics of treatments was computed on the basis of prevailing market price of inputs and outputs under each treatment. The total cost of cultivation of crop was calculated on the basis of different operations performed and materials used for raising the crop including the cost of fertilizers and seeds. The cost of labour incurred in performing different operations was also included. Statistical analysis of the data was done as per the standard analysis of variance technique for the experimental designs following SPSS software based programme, and the treatment means were compared at  $P < 0.05$  level of probability using t-test and calculating CD values.

## Result and discussion

### Yield attributes

The maximum length of siliqua (6.15 cm) was recorded in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] ) treatment, which remained statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [ PM, PMC (1:1) ] ) treatment but significantly higher than rest of the treatments. The minimum (4.21 cm) length of siliqua was noted in T<sub>1</sub> (control) treatments. Number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> ranged from 175.30 to 318.70 under different treatments. The maximum number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> 318.70 recorded in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PM, PMC (1:1:1)], which was significantly at par with T<sub>9</sub> but T<sub>7</sub> superior than rest of the treatments. The treatments T<sub>10</sub> (318.70) recorded 81.80% more number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> than control was noticed in T<sub>1</sub> (control).

The maximum number of seed siliqua<sup>-1</sup> (11.40) was found in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PM, PMC (1:1:1)], which was significantly superior to rest of the treatments. The treatment T<sub>10</sub> (11.40) recorded 52.00% more number of seed siliqua<sup>-1</sup> Minimum number of seed siliqua<sup>-1</sup> was noticed in T<sub>1</sub> (control).

**Table 1** Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Modules on length of siliqua (cm), siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed siliqua<sup>-1</sup> and 1000- seed weight of mustard

S.No.	Treatments	Length of siliqua (cm)	No. of siliqua plant <sup>-1</sup>	Seed siliqua <sup>-1</sup>	1000 seed weight (g)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	4.21	175.30	7.50	4.15
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDN (120 kg. N/ha)	4.85	209.89	9.65	4.55
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDN	4.56	205.10	8.75	4.25
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC)	5.06	241.66	9.86	4.63
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Poultry Mannure (PM)	5.65	296.60	10.11	4.90

<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Press Mud Compost (PMC)	5.36	262.40	9.90	4.72
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PM (1:1)]	5.88	309.83	10.23	4.94
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PMC (1:1)]	5.52	285.50	9.96	4.78
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [PM , PMC (1:1)]	6.03	315.69	10.35	5.16
<b>T<sub>10</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)]	6.15	318.70	11.40	5.25
	SEm ±	0.08	3.51	0.11	0.06
	CD (P= 0.05)	0.25	10.51	0.32	0.18

Test weight ranged from 4.15 to 5.25 g under different treatments. The maximum test weight (5.25 g) was recorded in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] ), which was statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [PM, PMC (1:1) ] ) but T<sub>10</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] is significantly superior over rest of the treatments except T<sub>9</sub>. Similar results have also been reported by **Mishra (2003)**, **Premi *et al.* (2005)** and **Kumawat *et al.* (2014)**.

#### Yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The maximum seed yield (21.25 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] ) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [ PM, PMC (1:1) ] ). The seed yield obtained in T<sub>10</sub> treatments was 130.98 percent higher than T<sub>1</sub>. Stover yield ranged from 39.40 to 70.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup> under different treatments. The maximum Stover yield 70.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] ) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [PM, PMC (1:1) ] ) which was 79.95 percent higher than T<sub>1</sub>. Minimum Stover yield 39.40 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was noticed in T<sub>1</sub> (control).

**Table 2** Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Modules on seed, stover, biological yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of mustard

S.No.	Treatments	Seed Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest Index (%)
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	Control	9.20	39.40	48.40	19.01
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	100% RDN (120 kg. N/ha)	14.65	57.93	72.58	20.65
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	75% RDN	12.96	53.14	66.10	19.61
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC)	17.95	65.75	83.70	21.44
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Poultry Mannure (PM)	18.72	66.18	84.90	22.05
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Press Mud Compost (PMC)	18.32	62.78	84.10	20.78
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PM (1:1) ]	18.98	66.09	85.07	22.31
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PMC (1:1) ]	18.45	65.86	84.31	21.88

<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [PM , PMC (1:1) ]	20.40	67.85	89.10	22.89
<b>T<sub>10</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ]	21.25	70.90	92.15	23.06
	SEm ±	0.20	0.96	0.85	0.37
	CD (P= 0.05)	0.61	2.88	2.54	1.10

The maximum biological yield (92.15 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>10</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)], which was 90.39 percent higher than T<sub>1</sub>. The Minimum biological yield 38.69 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was found in T<sub>1</sub> (control). the maximum harvest index recorded was in the treatment T<sub>10</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] followed by treatment T<sub>9</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [PM, PMC (1:1)]. All these Treatment T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> Significantly at par as compare to T<sub>10</sub>. Minimum harvest index per cent noticed in T<sub>1</sub> (control). Similar results have also been reported by **Tripathi *et al.* (2010)**, **Kumar *et al.* (2011)**, **Singh *et al.* (2012)** and **Neha *et al.* (2014)**

The maximum oil content in grain (40.58%) was found in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] ), which was 26.02 percent highest than T<sub>1</sub> and remain higher than all of the treatments except treatment T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>. Minimum oil content in grain (32.20%) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (Control).

**Table 3** Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Modules on oil content and protein content (%) of mustard

S.No.	Treatments	Oil content (%)	Protein content (%)
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	Control	32.20	16.78
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	100% RDN (120 kg. N/ha)	37.45	17.18
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	75% RDN	34.50	17.00
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC)	37.60	17.35
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Poultry Mannure (PM)	38.70	17.78
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Press Mud Compost (PMC)	38.10	17.43
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PM (1:1)]	38.98	18.20
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PMC(1:1)]	38.42	17.56
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [PM , PMC (1:1) ]	39.30	18.30
<b>T<sub>10</sub></b>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)]	40.58	18.58
	SEm ±	0.58	0.26
	CD (P= 0.05)	1.74	0.77

The protein content in grain was significantly affected by different treatments and ranged from 16.78 to 18.58% under different treatments. The maximum protein content in grain (18.58%) was found in (T<sub>10</sub>) 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)] which was 10.73 percent highest than T<sub>1</sub> and remain higher than all other treatments except

treatments T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> where as the minimum Protein content in grain 16.78% was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Similar results have also been reported by **Kumar et al. (2011) and Singh et al. (2012)**.

### Economics

The maximum gross return (Rs. 108211) obtained in T<sub>10</sub> - 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Rs 103840 ).The minimum gross return was found (Rs. 48590) in T<sub>1</sub> control plot. The maximum net return (Rs. 72453) obtained in T<sub>10</sub> -75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ] followed by T<sub>9</sub> (Rs. 70636). The minimum net return was found (Rs. 25689) in T<sub>1</sub> control plot.

**Table 4.** Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Modules on cost of cultivation, gross income, net income and benefit cost ratio of mustard

S.No.	Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B: C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	22901	48590	25689	1.12
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDN (120 kg. N/ha)	28992	80012	51020	1.76
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDN	28800	67976	39176	1.36
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC)	42875	92578	49703	1.16
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Poultry Mannure (PM)	31652	96071	64419	2.03
T <sub>6</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Press Mud Compost (PMC)	34146	93622	59476	1.74
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Through [ VC , PM (1:1) ]	37263	97204	59941	1.61
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC, PMC (1:1) ]	37319	103840	57715	1.55
T <sub>9</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Through [PM , PMC (1:1) ]	33204	95034	70636	2.12
T <sub>10</sub>	75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1) ]	35758	108211	72453	2.03
	SEm ±	-	-	-	-
	CD (P= 0.05)	-	-	-	-

The highest benefit cost ratio (2.12) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> 75% RDN + 25% Through [PM, PMC (1:1)] and the lowest benefit cost ratio was found (1.12) in T<sub>1</sub> (control). Similar results have also been reported by **Poornima et al. (2010), Tripathi et al. (2010), Verma et al. (2012) and Rohit et al. (2019)**

### Conclusion

On the basis of results obtained from the current study, it may be concluded that the application of only RDF fertilizers is not capable of exploiting the potential of the *Brassica juncea* L. in sustainable manner. Therefore, in addition of supplementary nutrients like Vermicompost, Poultry manure and Pressmud compost are essential to get the higher production and productivity. Thus, the combination of 75% RDN + 25% Through [VC , PM, PMC (1:1:1)] found to be more promising for boosting the productivity and profitability of mustard in sustainable way by Improving the physico-chemical condition of the soil. For the confirmation of present findings the experiment need to repeat for few more years to draw definite conclusion.

## References

- Anonymous (2015)** 3<sup>rd</sup> advance estimate, Government of India.
- Chand, S. (2007)** effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient use efficiency in mustard ( *Brassica juncea* L.). *South Indian Asian Association for Regional Co-Operation Journal of Agriculture* 5(2):100.
- Hegde, D.M. and Sudhakara, S.N. (2011).** Nutrient management strategies for oilseed crops under rainfed condition. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* 7(4):30-46.
- Kumar, Santosh., Verma, S.K., Singh, T.K. and Singh, Shyambeer. (2011).** Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under rainfed condition. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 81 (2) : 145-149.
- Kumar, Santosh., Verma, S.K., Singh, T.K. and Singh, Shyambeer. (2011).** Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under rainfed condition. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 81 (2) : 145-149.
- Mishra, S.K. (2003).** Effect of sulphur and potassium on yield, nutrient uptake and quality characteristics of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L) in Udic Haplu steps of Kanpur. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 51 (4): 544-548.
- Neha, Dashora., L.N., Kaushik, M.K., Upadhyay, B. (2014).** Yield, nutrient content, uptake and quality of Indian mustard genotypes as influenced by sulphur under southern Rajasthan conditions. *Annals of Agriculture-Biotechnology Research* 19 (1):81-84.
- Poornima, D. S., Shankaralingappa, B. C., Kalyana Murthy, K. N and Savitha, H. R. (2010).** Economics of transplanted pigeonpea in sole cropping and finger millet

based intercropping system. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **6** (2): 501-503.

- Prasad, F.M., Chandra, A., Varshney, M.L. and Verma, M.M. (2009)** growth, yield, dry matter and nutrient uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in alluvial soil as influenced by phosphorus and organic matter. *New Agriculturist* **2**(1): 31-34.
- Premi, O.P., Sinsinwar, B.S.; Kumar, Manoj and Kumar, Arvind. (2005).** Influence of organics and inorganics on yield and quality of Indian mustard, *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss. in semi arid region of Rajasthan. *Journal of Oilseed Research* **22**(1):45-46 .
- Rohit, K., Akhila, N.D., Suhana, P.G., Anupam, D., Sanjay, S. (2019).** Impact of Integrated nutrient management on nutrient uptake of mustard crop. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* **7**(3) 1284-1287.
- Singh, A. and Meena, N.L. (2004)** Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield attributes and seed yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in Eastern plains of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **49** (3) : 186-188.
- Singh, R. and Sinsinwar, B.S. (2006)** effect of integrated nutrient management and growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in eastern part of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **76** (5): 78-96.
- Singh, R., Singh, S.B., Manhar, S.S. and Kumar, Anil. (2012).** Effect of different levels of sulphur and varieties on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* (Muzaffarnagar) **7** (2) : 290-294
- Tripathi, M.K.; Chaturvedi, Sumit; Shukla, D.K. and Mahapatra, B.S. (2010).** Yield performance and quality in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) as affected by integrated nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **55** (2) : 138-142.
- Verma, C.K., Prasad, K., Yadav, D.D. (2012).** Studies on response of sulphur, zinc and boron levels on yield, economics and nutrients uptake of mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.) *Crop Research* (Hisar) **44** (1/2) : 75-78.