

AN ALTERNATIVE HYBRID ESTIMATOR OF FINITE POPULATION MEAN IN SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we propose an alternative hybrid estimator of finite population mean in simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR). This proposed estimator is a modification of Rashid *et al.* (2015) estimator. The expressions for the bias and Mean Square Error (MSE) of the estimator are derived. A comprehensive simulation study to show the efficacy of the estimator as compared to conventional estimators using Coefficient of Variation as a performance measure. The results of the simulation study have shown that the proposed estimator was more efficient than almost all the existing estimators considered in this study,

Key words: Auxiliary Variable, Hybrid Estimators, Mean Square Error, Ratio Estimators, Regression Estimators.

1. Introduction

The use of auxiliary information in estimation of population mean, total, or ratio got a boost when Bahl and Tuteja (1991) introduced their exponential ratio and product estimators of population mean respectively. These estimators use a single auxiliary variable and produce more efficient estimates than the usual existing estimators. As noted by Rashid *et al.* (2015), exponential estimators are preferable to classical ratio and product estimators, especially when the linear relationship between the variable of interest and the auxiliary variable is weak. Several authors have over the years proposed estimators based on exponentiation of the traditional ratio, product and regression estimators respectively or a mixture of these.

Rashid *et al.* (2015) suggested two exponential type, ratio-cum-ratio and product-cum-product class of estimators of finite population mean. These estimators are a product of the study variable and exponent of the linear combination of two auxiliary variables such that the sum of the constants is unity. They firstly developed the generalized forms of the estimators and discussed special cases and conditions under which they produce optimum estimates. Meanwhile, Shabbir *et al.* (2014), and Jhajj and Lata (2014) worked independently to improve the difference estimator through exponentiation. The new improved estimator was achieved by averaging exponential ratio and product estimators respectively, after drawing inspiration from Yadav and Kadilar (2013) estimator. This new estimator was validated by using ten different real datasets. Similar exponential ratio type estimators were developed by Singh and Vishwakarma (2007), Vishwakarma and Kumar (2014), and, Singh and Khahid (2015) with application in two phase sampling.

On the other hand, Kumar *et al.* (2017) proposed a class of exponential chain type ratio estimator for population mean with imputation of missing data in Two-Phase sampling. The work dealt with the challenge of non-response in situations where the information on another additional auxiliary is available alongside the main auxiliary variable.

Hamad *et al.* (2013), in extending the work done by Hanif *et al.* (2009) developed a regression type estimator with two auxiliary variables for two-phase sampling when there is no available information about the auxiliary variables at the population level. This estimator is a product of the classical regression estimator, and the linear combination of two ratio estimators. To avoid

the problem of multi-linearity, they assumed there is minimum correlation between the supplementary variables.

Saini and Kumar (2015) estimator is a modified unbiased exponential type product estimator of the population mean. This particular estimator has a unique property of a bi-serial correlation between the variable of interest and auxiliary attributes. By using a linear combination of two auxiliary variables, Lu *et al.* (2014) presented a new exponential type estimator. The chosen weights satisfy the condition that their sum equals unity and by employing Taylor series method, obtained the bias and the MSE by first order approximation.

Yadav *et al.* (2016) showed a deviation from estimation of population mean to that of population variance using auxiliary variables which was achieved by utilizing the auxiliary information in the context of coefficient of kurtosis and the population mean of the auxiliary variable. Meanwhile, Jabbar *et al.* (2014) developed an exponential estimator of population variance in two stage sampling under the conditions where sum of the weights was not equal to unity and secondly when the sum of weights equals unity.

Interestingly, Yadav and Misra (2017) constructed an exponential estimator for population mean using median of the variable of interest. This estimator appeared useful in practical situations where it is difficult to get information on the mean of the study variable from the population. Mishra (2018) suggested a more generalized square root transformed ratio type estimator and exponential ratio type estimator similar to Gupta *et al.* (2017) estimator except that it combined two ratio estimators in the linear combination and two ratio estimator in the exponential component. Meanwhile, Riaz *et al.* (2014) had constructed regression-cum-ratio/product exponential type estimator by combining the concept of Bahl and Tujeja (1991), and the regression estimator.

This study modifies Rashid *et al.* (2015) estimator by replacing the sample mean with the regression mean estimator to form a regression and ratio exponential type estimator of finite population mean in simple random sampling without replacement. It further applies a transformation due to Srivenkataramana (1980) to ascertain whether or not the efficiency of the proposed estimator is improved.

2. Preliminaries and notations

Consider a finite population, $U = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N\}$. Suppose that a sample of size n is drawn from this population using Simple Random Sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) scheme. Let y be the study variable of interest, x and z , be the respective auxiliary variables and y_i, x_i and z_i be the observations in the i^{th} unit of the study variable and the two auxiliary variables under consideration.

Define e_y as error term of the study variable; e_x : error term of the x variable; e_z : error term of the z variable; $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$: sample mean of study variable; $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$: sample mean of x variable; $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$: sample mean of z variable; $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i$: population mean of study variable; $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$: population mean of x variable; $\bar{Z} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i$: population mean of z variable; $S_y^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$: population variance of study variable; $S_x^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2$: population variance of x variable; $S_z^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (Z_i - \bar{Z})^2$: population variance of z variable; $S_{yx} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{X})(y_i - \bar{Y})$: population covariance between x and y ; $S_{yz} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (z_i - \bar{Z})(y_i - \bar{Y})$: population covariance between y and z ;

$S_{xz} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{X})(z_i - \bar{Z})$: population covariance between x and z; $\rho_{yz} = \frac{S_{yz}}{S_y S_z}$: correlation coefficient between y and z denoted by ρ_1 ; $\rho_{yx} = \frac{S_{yx}}{S_y S_x}$: correlation coefficient between y and x denoted by ρ_2 ; $\rho_{xz} = \frac{S_{xz}}{S_x S_z}$: correlation coefficient between x and z denoted by ρ_3 ; $C_y = \frac{S_y}{\bar{Y}}$: coefficient of variation of the study variable; $C_x = \frac{S_x}{\bar{X}}$: coefficient of variation of x variable; $C_z = \frac{S_z}{\bar{Z}}$: coefficient of variation of z variable. Furthermore, let $E(e_i) = 0$ for $(i = x, y, z)$; $E(e_y^2) = \theta C_y^2$; $E(e_x^2) = \theta C_x^2$; $E(e_z^2) = \theta C_z^2$; $E(e_x e_y) = \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y$; $E(e_x e_z) = \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z$; $E(e_y e_z) = \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z$ where, $\theta = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N}$

3. Existing Ratio-Exponential Estimators in Simple Random Sampling

(i) Classical regression estimator

Cochran (1942) estimator of finite population mean uses one auxiliary variable like the classical ratio estimator but produces more efficient estimates when the regression line has an intercept. It is an unbiased estimator given as:

$$\bar{y}_{lr} = \bar{y} + \beta_{y,x}(\bar{X} - \bar{x}) \quad (1)$$

The MSE of the classical regression estimator is given by:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{lr}) = \bar{Y}^2 C_y^2 \theta (1 - \rho_{y,x}^2) \quad (2)$$

(ii) Exponential ratio-cum-ratio estimator

Rashid *et al.* (2015) used two transformed auxiliary variables to develop this estimator under single phase sampling which is an improvement on Bahl and Tuteja (1991) estimator. It is given as:

$$\bar{y}_{RAH} = \bar{y} \exp \left[a \left(\frac{\bar{x}^* - \bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}^*} \right) + b \left(\frac{\bar{z}^* - \bar{Z}}{\bar{Z} + \bar{z}^*} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

where, $a = \frac{2C_y(\rho_{yx} - \rho_{yz}\rho_{xz})}{gC_x(1 - \rho_{xz}^2)}$; $b = \frac{2C_y(\rho_{yz} - \rho_{yx}\rho_{xz})}{gC_z(1 - \rho_{xz}^2)}$; $g = \frac{n}{N-n}$; \bar{x}^* and \bar{z}^* are transformed auxiliary variables such that $\bar{x}^* = (1 - g e_x)\bar{X}$ and $\bar{z}^* = (1 - g e_z)\bar{Z}$. The bias and MSE are respectively defined as:

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{RAH}) = \frac{\bar{Y}\theta}{8} \{g^2 [C_z^2 (2abK_{xz} + b^2) + a^2 C_x^2] - 4g(aK_{yx}C_x^2 + bK_{yz}C_z^2)\} \quad (4)$$

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{RAH}) = \bar{Y}^2 \theta C_y^2 \frac{[1 - (\rho_{yx}^2 + \rho_{yz}^2 - 2\rho_{yx}\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz})]}{(1 - \rho_{xz}^2)} \quad (5)$$

where, $K_{y,x} = \rho_{y,x} \frac{C_y}{C_x}$; $\theta = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} = \frac{N-n}{Nn}$.

(iii) The Exponential ratio type estimator

Ekpenyong and Enang (2015) exponential ratio type estimator is an improvement on the classical regression and ratio estimators. It is preferable to both the classical regression and ratio estimators respectively, in situations where there is low positive correlation between the study variable and the auxiliary variable. It is given as:

$$\bar{y}_{EE} = \theta_1 \bar{y} + \theta_2 (\bar{X} - \bar{x}) \exp\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}}\right) \quad (5)$$

where: θ_1 and θ_2 are suitably chosen scalars, such that $\theta_1 > 0$ and $-\infty < \theta_2 < \infty$. Its MSE and bias are given as:

$$B(\bar{y}_{EE}) = \bar{Y} \left[(\theta_1 - 1) + \theta_2 K_{y,x} \theta \frac{C_x^2}{2} \right] \quad (6)$$

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{EE}) = \bar{Y}^2 [1 + \theta_1^2 \gamma_1 - 2\theta_1 - 2\theta_1 \theta_2 m \gamma_2 - 2\theta_2 m \gamma_3 + \theta_2^2 m^2 \gamma_4] \quad (7)$$

where: $\gamma_1 = 1 + \theta C_y^2$; $\gamma_2 = C_x^2 \theta \left(K_{yx} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$; $\gamma_3 = \theta \frac{C_x^2}{2}$; $\gamma_4 = \theta C_x^2$; $m = \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}}$; $\theta_1 = \frac{\gamma_4 + \gamma_2 \gamma_3}{\gamma_1 \gamma_4 - \gamma_2^2}$; $\theta_2 = \frac{R(\gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_3)}{\gamma_1 \gamma_4 - \gamma_2^2}$; $R = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}}$

(iv) **Exponential regression-ratio/product estimator**

Riaz *et al.* (2014) developed the regression-ratio/product exponential estimator by combining the concept of Bahl and Tuteja (1991) exponential type estimator and the classical regression estimator. It is given as:

$$\bar{y}_{RNH} = [\bar{y} + a_1 (\bar{Z} - \bar{z})] \exp\left[\gamma \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}}{\bar{X} + (b_1 - 1)\bar{x}} \right] \quad (8)$$

where a_1, b_1 are real positive constants and γ may take the values -1 and 1.

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{RNH}) = a_1 \gamma \theta \bar{Z} \rho_{x,z} \frac{C_x C_z}{b_1} + \frac{\theta \gamma \bar{Y} C_x^2}{2b_1^2} [1 + 2(b_1 - 1) - 2b_1 K_{yx}] \quad (9)$$

where:

$$a_1 = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{Z}} \left[\frac{K_{y,z} - K_{y,x} K_{xz}}{\gamma(1 - \rho_{x,z}^2)} \right] \text{ and } b_1 = \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_{x,z}^2)}{K_{y,x} - K_{x,z} K_{y,z} \frac{C_z^2}{C_x^2}} \quad (10)$$

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{RNH}) = \frac{\bar{Y}^2 C_y^2 \theta}{(1 - \rho_{x,z}^2)} [1 - \gamma^2 \rho_{x,z}^2 - \rho_{y,z}^2 - \gamma^2 \rho_{y,x}^2 + 2\gamma^2 \rho_{y,z} \rho_{x,z} \rho_{y,x}] \quad (10)$$

4. Proposed Estimator

The proposed alternative hybrid regression-cum-ratio exponential type estimators of finite population mean modifies Rashid *et al.* (2015) estimator. Here, the sample mean is replaced with the classical regression estimator. Further, it is assumed that z and x have strong and weak positive relationship with study variable respectively. The estimator is given as:

$$\bar{y}_{uv} = [\bar{y} + \beta (\bar{Z} - \bar{z})] \exp\left[\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}} \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

where, α and β suitably chosen constants such that $MSE(\bar{y}_{uv})$ is minimized.

Theorem 1:

The bias of the proposed alternative hybrid estimator when auxiliary variables are not transformed is given as:

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{uv}) = \bar{Y} \theta \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha^2 C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \rho_{y,x} C_x C_y \right) + \frac{1}{2} \beta \bar{Z} \alpha \theta \rho_{x,z} C_x C_z \quad (12)$$

Theorem 2:

The MSE of the proposed alternative hybrid estimator when auxiliary variables are not transformed is given as:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{uv}) = \bar{Y}^2 \theta C_y^2 (\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)^{-1} (\rho_{yz}^2 + \rho_{xz}^2 + \rho_{yx}^2 - 2\rho_{yx}\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz} - 1) \quad (13)$$

where, $\rho_{yx}, \rho_{yz}, \rho_{xz} \neq \pm 1$.

When the auxiliary variables are transformed, our proposed estimator becomes:

$$\bar{y}_{tv} = [\bar{y} + \beta_1(\bar{z}^* - \bar{Z})] \exp \left[\alpha_1 \left(\frac{\bar{x}^* - \bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}^*} \right) \right] \quad (14)$$

where, α_1 and β_1 are suitably chosen constants that minimize MSE of \bar{y}_{tv} . \bar{x}^* and \bar{z}^* are transformed auxiliary variables such that $\bar{x}^* = (1 - ge_x)\bar{X}$ and $\bar{z}^* = (1 - ge_z)\bar{Z}$ and $g = \frac{n}{N-n}$;

Theorem 3:

The bias of the proposed alternative hybrid estimator when auxiliary variables are transformed is given as:

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \bar{Y} \left[\frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right] + \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right] \quad (15)$$

Theorem 4:

The MSE of the proposed alternative hybrid estimator when auxiliary variables are transformed is given as:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \bar{Y}^2 \theta C_y^2 (\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)^{-1} (\rho_{yz}^2 + \rho_{xz}^2 + \rho_{yx}^2 - 2\rho_{yx}\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz} - 1) \quad (16)$$

where, $\rho_{yx}, \rho_{yz}, \rho_{xz} \neq \pm 1$

NB: Proofs of Theorems 1-4 are found in Appendices 1-4 below.

Corollary 1:

The bias of the alternative hybrid estimator when the auxiliary variables are not transformed, $Bias(\bar{y}_{uv})$ is -1 times the bias of the alternative hybrid estimator when the auxiliary variables are transformed, $Bias(\bar{y}_{tv})$. i.e. $Bias(\bar{y}_{uv}) = -Bias(\bar{y}_{tv})$

Corollary 2:

The MSE of \bar{y}_{tv} the alternative hybrid estimator when the auxiliary variables are transformed, is independent of g and equals the MSE of \bar{y}_{uv} , the alternative hybrid estimator when the auxiliary variables are not transformed, i.e. $MSE(\bar{y}_{uv}) = MSE(\bar{y}_{tv})$

5. Efficiency Comparison of Estimators

This study employs the Coefficient of Variation (CV) to compare performance of estimators considered in this study. Bowerman (2001) defined Coefficient of Variation as a statistical tool used to measure the size of the standard deviation relative to the size of the population or sample mean. This is given as:

$$CV = \frac{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}}{\bar{X}} \times 100\%$$

For estimators that are biased, the Coefficient of Variation is given as:

$$CV = \frac{\sqrt{\text{MSE}(X)}}{\bar{X}} \times 100\%$$

The estimator with the least CV is considered the “best” in the class of estimators.

6. Empirical study

To investigate the performance of various estimators of population mean \bar{Y} of study variable y , we generated synthetic data generated according to the Uniform Distribution with the following statistics:

Statistics of Study Population:

$N = 1000$; $\bar{Y} = 100.0786$; $n_1 = 10, n_2 = 25, n_3 = 50, n_4 = 100$; $\bar{X} = 25.90251$;

$\bar{x}_1 = 25.42673$; $\bar{x}_2 = 26.29295$; $\bar{x}_3 = 24.82662$; $\bar{x}_4 = 27.15513$; $\bar{Z} = 75.27509$;

$\bar{z}_1 = 59.3777$; $\bar{z}_2 = 82.72477$; $\bar{z}_3 = 70.25747$; $\bar{z}_4 = 89.85487$; $C_y = 0.71745$;

$C_x = 0.55689$; $C_z = 0.56869$; $\rho_{yx} = -0.0158$; $\rho_{yz} = 0.009504$; $\rho_{xz} = -0.0036$

We denote the four correlation coefficient partitions by the following:

- (i) ρ_{HLL} is the region, ($0.7 < \rho_1 < 1$ and $0 < \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0.5$)
- (ii) ρ_{HHH} is the region, ($0.7 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 1$)
- (iii) ρ_{LLL} is the region, ($0 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0.5$)
- (iv) $\rho_{\bar{L}\bar{L}\bar{L}}$ is the region ($-0.5 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0$)

where, $\rho_1 = \rho_{yz}$, $\rho_2 = \rho_{yx}$ and $\rho_3 = \rho_{xz}$

Table 1: Estimates of Population Mean as $n \rightarrow \infty$

S/No	Corr. Coeff	Estimator	Sample Size (n)			
			10	25	50	100
1	ρ_{HLL}	\bar{y}_{uv}	147.4198	120.4119	122.4200	116.8018
		\bar{y}_{rah}	153.4693	117.4461	121.6723	120.2516
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	194.1192	117.2039	119.5628	114.2322
		\bar{y}_{tv}	145.7691	118.6578	123.0296	121.5947
2	ρ_{HHH}	\bar{y}_{uv}	146.7985	117.5898	122.7491	122.7091
		\bar{y}_{rah}	146.7881	117.5749	122.7485	122.7097
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	135.2068	118.1773	120.0823	113.3764
		\bar{y}_{tv}	146.7992	117.5802	122.7495	122.7099
3	ρ_{LLL}	\bar{y}_{uv}	103.7209	130.4219	146.8077	121.2824
		\bar{y}_{rah}	103.0653	129.4315	146.2017	121.7589
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	95.81516	133.7055	146.0153	120.2461
		\bar{y}_{tv}	104.8436	128.1368	146.3138	122.1731
4	$\rho_{\bar{L}LL}$	\bar{y}_{uv}	144.9099	129.0238	127.1195	126.0852
		\bar{y}_{rah}	146.3571	128.8966	127.1003	126.0653
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	134.8345	127.6844	126.6791	125.6746
		\bar{y}_{tv}	149.7035	129.3264	127.3368	126.2446

Table 2: Mean Square Error as $n \rightarrow \infty$

S/No	Corr. Coeff.	Estimator	Sample Size (n)			
			10	25	50	100
1	ρ_{HLL}	\bar{y}_{uv}	121.1768	7.1448	1.5136	0.5845
		\bar{y}_{rah}	323.3008	13.5262	2.6982	1.0518
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	121.1768	7.1448	1.5136	0.5845
		\bar{y}_{tv}	121.1768	7.1448	1.5136	0.5845
2	ρ_{HHH}	\bar{y}_{uv}	83.9331	6.3376	1.5063	0.5703
		\bar{y}_{rah}	10765.58	2187.5446	2498.7742	3414.1341
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	83.9331	6.3376	1.5063	0.5703
		\bar{y}_{tv}	83.9331	6.3376	1.5063	0.5703
3	ρ_{LLL}	\bar{y}_{uv}	223.4875	192.9899	69.9694	40.5525
		\bar{y}_{rah}	258.637	196.1521	71.8245	40.5574
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	223.4875	192.9899	69.9694	40.5525
		\bar{y}_{tv}	223.4875	192.9899	69.9694	40.5525
4	$\rho_{\bar{L}LL}$	\bar{y}_{uv}	329.9688	148.6753	84.50811	47.8513
		\bar{y}_{rah}	344.059	148.6887	85.1384	47.8785
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	329.9688	148.6753	84.5081	47.8513
		\bar{y}_{tv}	329.9688	148.6753	84.5081	47.8513

Table 3: Coefficient of Variation as $n \rightarrow \infty$

S/No	Corr. Coeff.	Estimator	Sample Size (n)			
			10	25	50	100
1	ρ_{HLL}	\bar{y}_{uv}	746.7133	221.9855	100.4975726(2)	65.4559
		\bar{y}_{rah}	1171.607	313.1472	135.0028942(4)	85.2867
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	567.0758	228.0614	102.8992177(3)	66.9284
		\bar{y}_{tv}	755.1692	225.2671	99.9996388(1)	62.8759
2	ρ_{HHH}	\bar{y}_{uv}	624.087	214.0891	99.9858889(2)	61.5448
		\bar{y}_{rah}	7068.512	3977.9885	4072.369351(6)	4761.6916
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	677.5915	213.0246	102.2063491(3)	66.6109
		\bar{y}_{tv}	624.0837	214.1064	99.9855416(1)	61.5444
3	ρ_{LLL}	\bar{y}_{uv}	1441.319	1065.1644	569.7773019(1)	525.0625
		\bar{y}_{rah}	1560.389	1082.0729	579.6739417(5)	523.0391
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	1560.243	1039.0064	572.8695164(3)	529.5877
		\bar{y}_{tv}	1425.886	1084.1602	571.7005986(2)	521.2346
4	$\rho_{\bar{L}LL}$	\bar{y}_{uv}	1253.540	945.0388	723.1641231(2)	548.6341
		\bar{y}_{rah}	1267.368	946.0143	725.9656075(4)	548.8764
		\bar{y}_{rnh}	1347.210	954.9521	725.6784863(3)	550.4262
		\bar{y}_{tv}	1213.402	942.8273	721.9302	547.9411

6. Discussion of Results

In this study we have considered the performance of our proposed estimators and some selected estimators in literature on four partitions of the correlation coefficient interval $(-1, 1)$. (i) ρ_{HLL}

(ii) ρ_{HHH} (iii) ρ_{LLL} and (iv) $\rho_{\bar{L}LL}$

.From Tables 1, observe that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, all the estimators pinned good estimates of the population mean in the same neighborhood at all levels of correlation coefficients. However, for small samples, \bar{y}_{RNH} exclusively overestimated the mean at ρ_{HLL} and underestimated the mean at ρ_{LLL} .

In Table 2, the MSE of \bar{y}_{RAH} is consistently higher for all sample sizes at ρ_{HLL} , ρ_{HHH} and ρ_{LLL} , but fairly stable when $n = 50$ at $\rho_{\bar{L}LL}$. Observe also that the MSEs of \bar{y}_{uv} , \bar{y}_{tv} , and \bar{y}_{RNH} in all the sampling regions decrease as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and are the same for all sample sizes. This family of estimators are generally preferable for large scale surveys.

From Table 3. At ρ_{HLL} , \bar{y}_{RNH} performed better on the CV scale than the others estimator at small sample sizes. This is followed by \bar{y}_{uv} , \bar{y}_{tv} , and \bar{y}_{RAH} . As sample size increases moderately, \bar{y}_{uv} performed better followed by \bar{y}_{tv} , \bar{y}_{RNH} , and \bar{y}_{RAH} . At $n = 50$ and above, \bar{y}_{tv} has outperformed all the other estimators, followed by \bar{y}_{uv} and then \bar{y}_{RNH} . Under this particular interval, we can

confidently say that, \bar{y}_{RNH} estimator performs better when sample size is very small. Whereas \bar{y}_{uv} is preferable when sample size is moderate, while, for large samples, \bar{y}_{tv} is preferred. At ρ_{HHH} , \bar{y}_{tv} appears to dominate throughout the parameter space except for moderate sample sizes. This is closely followed by \bar{y}_{uv} .

In the third region, ρ_{LLL} , where all the correlation coefficients are low but positive, it is observed that \bar{y}_{tv} and \bar{y}_{uv} in a closely dominate the for small sample size of between 10 and 25. Between $n=25$ and $n=50$, \bar{y}_{RNH} and \bar{y}_{RAH} had better performance while for $n = 50$, the duo, \bar{y}_{uv} and \bar{y}_{tv} have dominance over \bar{y}_{RAH} and \bar{y}_{RNH} .

The fourth experiment considered the region, $\rho_{\bar{L}LL}$. Here, \bar{y}_{tv} dominated all other estimators throughout the parameter domain. This is followed by \bar{y}_{uv} and then \bar{y}_{RAH} . Both \bar{y}_{tv} and \bar{y}_{RAH} utilize two auxiliary variables that are transformed according to Srivenkataramana (1980) yet \bar{y}_{tv} performed better than \bar{y}_{RAH} for all the experiments conducted in this study. Reason is not far-fetched, as \bar{y}_{tv} belongs to the regression family whereas, \bar{y}_{RAH} is a member of the ratio family. Thus, \bar{y}_{RAH} would dominate \bar{y}_{tv} only if the regression line between y and x or z passes through the origin, (Pradhan, 2005). The transformation of the auxiliary variables has also shown improvement on efficiency of \bar{y}_{tv} as compared to that of \bar{y}_{uv} .

7. Concluding Remark

This study proposed an alternative hybrid exponential type estimator of finite population mean in simple random sampling under two cases:

- When the auxiliary variables are not transformed
- When the auxiliary variables are transformed

Coefficient of Variation (CV) of different estimators for different sample sizes as given in Table 3 have shown that both the proposed estimator, \bar{y}_{uv} , and its variant \bar{y}_{tv} , performed better than the existing estimators in most of correlation coefficient partitions. The proposed estimators are more efficient as sample sizes are increased.

REFERENCES

- Akkus, O. (2017). A Comparison of Exponential Type Mean Estimators in Two Phase Sampling. *American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 7(5): 209-225.
- Bahl, S., and Tuteja, R. K. (1991). Ratio and Product Type Exponential Estimator. *Information and optimization sciences*, 12: 159-163.
- Bowerman, B. L., O'Connell, R. T. and Hand, M. L. (2001). *Business Statistics in Practice*. 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, Irwin, 856pp.
- Cochran, W. G. (1942). Sampling Theory When the Sampling Units are Unequal Sizes. *Journal American Statistical Association*, 37: 199-212.
- Gupta, S., Zatezalo, T., and Shabbir, J. (2017). A General Mixture of the Mean of a Sensitive Variable in the Presence of Non-Sensitive Auxiliary Information. *Statistics and Applications*, 15(1&2): 27-36.
- Hamad, N., Hanif, M., and Haider, N. (2013). A Regression Type Estimator with Two Auxiliary Variables for Two-Phase Sampling. *Open Journal of Statistics*, 3: 74-78.

- Jabbar, M., Shabbir, J., Ahmed, Z., and Rehman, Z. (2014). Ratio Type Estimator for the Estimation of Finite Population Variance under Two-Stage Sampling. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 7(19): 4095-4099.
- Jhajj, H. S., and Lata, K. (2014). A Family of Difference-Cum-Exponential Type Estimators for Estimating the Population Variance Using Auxiliary Information in Sample Surveys. *Advanced Trends in Mathematics*, 1: 15-21.
- Kumar, A., Singh, A. K., Singh, P., and Singh, V. K. (2017). A Class of Exponential Chain Type Estimator for Population Mean with Imputation of Missing Data Under Double Sampling Scheme. *Journal of Statistics and Probability*, 6(3): 479-485.
- Lu, J., Yan, Z. and Peng, X. (2014). A New Exponential Ratio-Type Estimator with Linear Combination of Two Auxiliary Variables, *PLoS ONE*, 9(12): 1-10.
- Mishra, S. S. (2018). Generalized Square Root Transformation and Exponential Ratio Type Estimator for Finite Population Mean in Sample Survey, *Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, A peer reviewed International Journal*, 6(2): 1-7.
- Ogzul, N., and Cingi, H. (2013). A New Class of Exponential Regression-Cum-Ratio Estimator in Two-Phase Sampling. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 43(1): 131-140.
- Pradhan, B. K. (2005). A Chain Regression Estimator in Two Phase Sampling Using Multi-auxiliary Information. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 28(1): 81-86.
- Rashid, R., Noor-Ul-Amin, M., and Hanif, M. (2015). Exponential Estimators for Population Mean using Transformed Variables. *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences-an International Journal*, 9(4): 2107-2112.
- Riaz, N., Noor-Ul-Amin, M. and Hanif, M. (2014). Regression-Cum-Exponential Ratio Type Estimators for the Population Mean. *Middle East Journal of Scientific Research*, 19(12): 1716-1721.
- Saini, M., and Kumar, A. (2015). Exponential Type Product Estimator for Finite Population Mean with Information on Auxiliary Attribute. 10(1): 106-113.
- Shabbir, J., Haq, A., and Gupta, S. (2014). A New Difference-cum-Exponential Type Estimator of Finite Population Mean in Simple Random Sampling, *Revista Colombiana de Estadística*, 37 (1): 197-209.
- Singh, G. N., and Khahid, M. (2015). Exponential Chain Dual to Ratio and Regression Type Estimators of Population Mean in Two-Phase Sampling. *Statistica*, 75(4): 279-389.
- Singh, P., and Vishwakarma, G. K. (2007). Modified Exponential Ratio and Product Estimators for Finite Population Mean in Double Sampling. *Austrian Journal of Statistics*, 36: 217-225.
- Srivenkataramana, T. (1980). A Dual to ratio Estimator in Sample Surveys. *Biometrika*, 67: 199-204.

- Vishwakarma, G. K., and Kumar, M. (2014). An Improved Class of Chain Ratio-Product Type Estimators in Two-Phase Sampling using Two Auxiliary Variables. *Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 2014: 1-6.
- Yadav, D. K., D., and Misra, S. (2017). An Efficient Estimator for Estimating Finite Population Mean Using Known Median of the Study Variable. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences and research Technology*, 6(6): 503-509.
- Yadav, S. K., and Kadilar, C. (2013). Efficient Family of Exponential Estimators for the Population Mean. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 42(6): 671-677.
- Yadav, S. K., Misra, S., Kumar, R., Verma, S. and Kumar, S. (2016). An Efficient Dual to Ratio and Product Estimators of Population Variance in Sample Surveys, 32016: 178-188.

Appendix 1: Proof to Theorem 1

Consider the expression in (11) above,

$$\bar{y}_{uv} = [\bar{y} + \beta(\bar{Z} - \bar{z})] \exp \left[\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}} \right) \right]$$

By substituting the definitions for \bar{x} , \bar{y} and \bar{z} from section 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &= [(1 + e_y)\bar{Y} + \beta(\bar{Z} - (1 + e_z)\bar{Z})] \exp \left[\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{X} - (1 + e_x)\bar{X}}{\bar{X} + (1 + e_x)\bar{X}} \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z} - \bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{X} - \bar{X}e_x}{\bar{X} + \bar{X} + \bar{X}e_x} \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[\alpha \left(\frac{-\bar{X}e_x}{2\bar{X} + \bar{X}e_x} \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[\alpha \left(\frac{-\bar{X}e_x}{(2 + e_x)\bar{X}} \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[\alpha \left(\frac{-e_x}{(2 + e_x)} \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[\frac{-\alpha e_x}{2} \left(1 + \frac{e_x}{2} \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e_x + \frac{1}{4} e_x^2 - \dots \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x + \frac{1}{4} \alpha e_x^2 - \frac{1}{8} \alpha e_x^3 + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

By First order approximation principle, we have:

$$= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x\right] \quad (17)$$

Expanding the exponential part in expression in (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{2!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^2} \alpha^2 e_x^2 - \frac{1}{3!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^3} \alpha^3 e_x^3 + \dots\right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 - \frac{1}{48}\alpha^3 e_x^3 + \dots\right] \\ &= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y + \beta(-\bar{Z}e_z)] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2\right] + \bar{Y}e_y \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2\right] - \beta\bar{Z}e_z \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2\right] + \bar{Y} \left[e_y - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_y + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 e_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z} \left[e_z - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_z + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 e_z\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2\right] + \bar{Y} \left[e_y - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_y + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 e_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z} \left[e_z - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_z + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 e_z\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 + e_y - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_y + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 e_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z} \left[e_z - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_z + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 e_z\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} + \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 + e_y - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z} \left[e_z - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_z\right] \\ \bar{y}_{uv} - \bar{Y} &= \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 + e_y - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z} \left[e_z - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_z\right] \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of (18),

$$\begin{aligned} Bias(\bar{y}_{uv}) &= E(\bar{y}_{uv} - \bar{Y}) = \bar{Y}E \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 e_x^2 + e_y - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z}E \left[e_z - \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_x e_z\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha E(e_x) + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 E(e_x^2) + E(e_y) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha E(e_x e_y)\right] \\ &\quad - \beta\bar{Z} \left[E(e_z) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha E(e_x e_z)\right] \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Applying the definitions of Expectations in section 1 to (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bar{Y} \left[0 + \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 \theta C_x^2 + 0 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y\right] - \beta\bar{Z} \left[0 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z\right] \\ &= \bar{Y} \theta \left(\frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \rho_{yx} C_x C_y\right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta\bar{Z} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{uv}) = \bar{Y} \theta \left(\frac{1}{8}\alpha^2 C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \rho_{yx} C_x C_y\right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta\bar{Z} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z$$

Appendix 2: Proof to Theorem 2

The $MSE(\bar{y}_{uv}) = E(\bar{y}_{t1} - \bar{Y})^2$, therefore, squaring both sides of (19), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{y}_{uv} - \bar{Y})^2 &= \left[\bar{Y} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha^2 e_x^2 + e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x e_y \right) - \beta \bar{Z} \left(e_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x e_z \right) \right]^2 \\
 &= \left[\bar{Y} \left(e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x \right) - \beta \bar{Z} (e_z) \right]^2 \\
 &= \bar{Y}^2 \left(e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x \right)^2 - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x \right) e_z + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 e_z^2 \\
 &= \bar{Y}^2 \left(e_y^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x e_y + \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 e_x^2 \right)^2 - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(e_y e_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e_x e_z \right) + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 e_z^2 \\
 E(\bar{y}_{uv} - \bar{Y})^2 &= \bar{Y}^2 \left[E(e_y^2) - \alpha E(e_x e_y) + \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 E(e_x^2) \right]^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left[E(e_y e_z) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha E(e_x e_z) \right] + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 E(e_z^2) \\
 MSE(\bar{y}_{uv}) &= E(\bar{y}_{uv} - \bar{Y})^2 \\
 &= \bar{Y}^2 \left[\theta C_y^2 - \alpha \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 \theta C_x^2 \right]^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left[\theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right] + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 \theta C_z^2 \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the optimal value of α that minimizes the $MSE(\bar{y}_{uv})$, we differentiate (20) with respect to α, β and equate to zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{uv})}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\{ \bar{Y}^2 \left[\theta C_y^2 - \alpha \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 \theta C_x^2 \right]^2 - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left[\theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 \theta C_z^2 \right\} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{Y}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta C_x^2 - \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right) + \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z = 0$$

$$\bar{Y}^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \theta C_x^2 - \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right) = - \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{\bar{Y} \rho_{yx} C_x C_y - \beta \bar{Z} \rho_{xz} C_x C_z}{\bar{Y} C_x^2}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2(\bar{Y} \rho_{yx} C_y - \beta \bar{Z} \rho_{xz} C_z)}{\bar{Y} C_x} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{uv})}{\partial \beta} &= 2\bar{Y}\bar{Z} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z - \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z \right) + 2\beta \bar{Z}^2 C_z^2 \theta = 0 \\
\bar{Y}\bar{Z} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z - \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z \right) + \beta \bar{Z}^2 C_z^2 \theta &= 0 \\
\beta \bar{Z} C_z \theta &= \bar{Y} \left(\theta \rho_{yz} C_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta \rho_{xz} C_x \right) \\
\beta &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{Y} (\alpha \rho_{xz} C_x - 2\rho_{yz} C_y)}{\bar{Z} C_z} \tag{22a}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (21) into (22a), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &= -\frac{\bar{Y} \rho_{yx} \rho_{xz} C_y - \beta \bar{Z} \rho_{xz}^2 C_z - \bar{Y} \rho_{yz} C_y}{\bar{Z} C_z} \\
-\beta \bar{Z} C_z &= \bar{Y} \rho_{yx} \rho_{xz} C_y - \beta \bar{Z} \rho_{xz}^2 C_z - \bar{Y} \rho_{yz} C_y \\
\beta \bar{Z} \rho_{xz}^2 C_z - \beta \bar{Z} C_z &= \bar{Y} \rho_{yx} \rho_{xz} C_y - \bar{Y} \rho_{yz} C_y \\
\beta &= \frac{\bar{Y} (\rho_{yx} \rho_{xz} C_y - \rho_{yz} C_y)}{\bar{Z} \rho_{xz}^2 C_z - \bar{Z} C_z} \tag{22b}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the value of (21) and (22b) into Equation (20), gives on simplification using Maple 18, we have:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{uv}) = \frac{\bar{Y}^2 C_y^2 \theta}{\rho_{x,z}^2 - 1} (\rho_{y,x}^2 + \rho_{x,z}^2 + \rho_{y,z}^2 - 2\rho_{y,x} \rho_{x,z} \rho_{y,z} - 1); \rho_{x,z} \neq \pm 1; \rho_{y,x}, \rho_{y,z} \neq 1 \tag{23}$$

We now, substitute the values of α and β from (21) and (22b) respectively, into (20) to obtain bias of \bar{y}_{uv} as:

$$\begin{aligned}
Bias(\bar{y}_{uv}) &= \bar{Y} \theta \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha^2 C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \rho_{y,x} C_x C_y \right) + \frac{1}{2} \beta \bar{Z} \alpha \theta \rho_{x,z} C_x C_z \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{Y} C_y^2 \theta}{(\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)^2} (\rho_{xz} \rho_{yz} - \rho_{yx})^2; \rho_{xz} \neq 1 \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix 3: Proof to Theorems 3

By substituting the definitions for \bar{y} , \bar{x}^* and \bar{z}^* in section 1 and 2 respectively, into (14), we have:

$$\bar{y}_{tv} = [(1 + e_y)\bar{Y} + \beta_1((1 - ge_z)\bar{Z} - \bar{Z})] * \exp \left[\alpha_1 \left(\frac{(1 - ge_x)\bar{X} - \bar{X}}{\bar{X} + (1 - ge_x)\bar{X}} \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \exp \left[\alpha_1 \left(\frac{-g e_x \bar{X}}{2\bar{X} - g e_x \bar{X}} \right) \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \exp \left[\alpha_1 \left(\frac{-g e_x}{2 - g e_x} \right) \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} g e_x \right)^{-1} \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} g e_x \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} g^2 e_x^2 - \frac{1}{8} g^3 e_x^3 + \dots \right) \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 g^2 e_x^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1 g^3 e_x^3 + \dots \right]
\end{aligned}$$

By first order approximation,

$$= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x \right] \quad (25)$$

Expanding the exponent in the expression (25) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x \right)^3 + \dots \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 - \frac{1}{48} \alpha_1^3 g^3 e_x^3 + \dots \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}e_y - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z}] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right] \\
&= \bar{Y} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right] + \bar{Y}e_y \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_1 g e_z \bar{Z} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{Y} + \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right] + \bar{Y} \left[e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x e_y + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 e_y \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[g e_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 e_x e_z + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^3 e_x^2 e_z \right] \\
\bar{y}_{tv} - \bar{Y} &= \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x - e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x e_y + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[g e_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 e_x e_z \right] \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of (26) and subsequent application of the definitions in section 1 gives us the bias.

$$\begin{aligned}
Bias(\bar{y}_{tv}) &= E(\bar{y}_{tv} - \bar{Y}) \\
&= \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g E(e_x) + E(e_y) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g E(e_x e_y) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 E(e_x^2) \right] - \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[g E(e_z) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 E(e_x e_z) \right] \\
&= \bar{Y} \left[0 - 0 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[0 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right] \\
&= \bar{Y} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 \right] + \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \bar{Y} \left[\frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right] + \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right]$$

Appendix 4: Proof to Theorem 4

In order to obtain the MSE of \bar{y}_{tp} , we square both sides of Equation (26) and take expectations.

$$(\bar{y}_{tv} - \bar{Y})^2 = \left\{ \bar{Y} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + e_y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x e_y + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 \right) \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\beta_1 \bar{Z} \left(g e_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 e_x e_z \right) \Big\}^2 \\
& = \left[\bar{Y} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + e_y \right) - \beta \bar{Z} (g e_z) \right]^2 \\
& = \bar{Y}^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + e_y \right)^2 - 2 \beta_1 \bar{Y} \bar{Z} g e_z \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g e_x + e_y \right) \\
& \quad + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 e_z^2 \\
& = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1^2 g^2 e_x^2 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha_1 g e_x e_y + e_y^2 \right] \\
& \quad - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 e_x e_z + g e_y e_z \right] \\
& \quad + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 e_z^2 \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides of (27) and substituting the definition of section 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\bar{y}_{tv} - \bar{Y})^2 & = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1^2 g^2 E(e_x^2) - \alpha_1 g E(e_x e_y) + E(e_y^2) \right] \\
& \quad - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 E(e_x e_z) + g E(e_y e_z) \right] \\
& \quad + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 E(e_z^2) \\
& = \bar{Y}^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \theta C_y^2 \right) \\
& \quad - 2 \beta \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(g \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right) \\
& \quad + \beta^2 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 \theta C_z^2
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
MSE(\bar{y}_{tv}) & = E(\bar{y}_{tv} - \bar{Y})^2 = \bar{Y}^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \theta C_y^2 \right) \\
& \quad - 2 \beta_1 \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(g \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right) \\
& \quad + \beta_1^2 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 \theta C_z^2 \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the value of α_1, β_1 that minimizes the MSE, we differentiate Equation (28) partially with respect to α_1, β_1 and equate to zero:

$$\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{tv})}{\partial \alpha_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} \left\{ \bar{Y}^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y + \theta C_y^2 \right) - 2 \beta_1 \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z - g \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z \right) + \beta_1^2 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 \theta C_z^2 \right\} = 0$$

$$\bar{Y}^2 \left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right) + 2 \beta_1 \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right) = 0$$

$$\bar{Y}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right) + \beta_1 \bar{Y} \bar{Z} g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 C_x = \frac{\bar{Y} g \rho_{yx} C_y - \beta_1 \bar{Z} g^2 \rho_{xz} C_z}{\bar{Y}}$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2(\bar{Y} g \rho_{yx} C_y - \beta_1 \bar{Z} g^2 \rho_{xz} C_z)}{\bar{Y} g^2 C_x}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2(\bar{Y} \rho_{yx} C_y - \beta_1 \bar{Z} g \rho_{xz} C_z)}{\bar{Y} g C_x} \quad (29a)$$

$$\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{tv})}{\partial \beta_1} = -2 \bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(\rho_{yz} C_y C_z - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z g \theta \right) + 2 \beta_1 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 \theta C_z^2 = 0$$

$$-\bar{Y} \bar{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z + g \theta \rho_{yz} C_y C_z \right) - \beta_1 \bar{Z}^2 g^2 \theta C_z^2 = 0$$

Solving for α_1 again we have

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2(\bar{Y} \rho_{xz} C_y + \beta_1 \bar{Z} g C_z)}{\bar{Y} g \rho_{xz} C_x} \quad (29b)$$

Equating 29a) to (29b) and solving for β_1 we have

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\bar{Y} C_y (\rho_{yx} \rho_{xz} - \rho_{yz})}{\bar{Z} g C_z (\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)} \quad (30)$$

Substituting (27) into (26a) and simplifying we have

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2C_y(\rho_{yx}\rho_{xz} - \rho_{yz})}{gC_z(\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)} \quad (31)$$

We finally substitute the expressions for α_1 and β_1 in (31) and (30) above into (28) and simplify using maple to obtain the $MSE(\bar{y}_{tv})$ as:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \frac{\bar{Y}^2 \theta C_y^2 (\rho_{yz}^2 + \rho_{xz}^2 + \rho_{yx}^2 - 2\rho_{yx}\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz} - 1)}{\rho_{xz}^2 - 1}$$

Therefore,

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \bar{Y}^2 \theta C_y^2 (\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)^{-1} (\rho_{yz}^2 + \rho_{xz}^2 + \rho_{yx}^2 - 2\rho_{yx}\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz} - 1) \quad (32)$$

where, $\rho_{yx}, \rho_{yz}, \rho_{xz} \neq \pm 1$

Recall from (15) that:

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \bar{Y} \left[\frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 g^2 \theta C_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g \theta \rho_{yx} C_x C_y \right] + \beta_1 \bar{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 g^2 \theta \rho_{xz} C_x C_z \right]$$

Substituting (31) and (30) into the above expression gives on simplification (using Maple),

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{Y} C_y^2 \theta}{(\rho_{xz}^2 - 1)^2} (\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz} - \rho_{yx})^2; \rho_{xz} \neq 1 \quad (33)$$