

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_86638
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Smartphone on Academic Learning for the Students of Damphu Central School under Tsirang Dzongkhag
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Add Theoretical Framework 2. Revise Method	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract should be limited to 200 words maximum; please compose your abstract in 200 words or less, presenting a logical and accurate reflection of the organizational structure of the paper... Please bring the abstract out of the border.- Tables and figures are not represented in APA Style. i.e., captions or headings of tables are not recommended bold in APA- The reviewer finds various grammatical errors/mistakes throughout the manuscript (see abstract corrected by the reviewer, i.e., article errors, adverbial errors, or main verb errors, etc.)- A good paper needs a minimum of 25 references from well-recognized international journals.- Please follow APA 7th, please review APA formatting – there are formatting issues throughout this manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zammad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia