

Original Research Article
**Tax Sustainability: The Influence Of The
Tourism Industry Tax And Tourist Object
Retribution On Regional Original Revenue In
The Tanimbar Islands, Maluku, Indonesia**

ABSTRACT

In underdeveloped countries, tourism is the primary economic engine. Numerous tourists visit the tourism sector, including ecotourism and rural tourism (Ridwan et al., 2016). Additionally, the growth of this industry results in an increase in regional income; additionally, the tourist sector can inspire new infrastructure investment to ensure the area's tourism sustainability. To boost regional revenue, the government must develop and support tourism destinations, allowing the industry to contribute to economic development. The purpose of this study is to examine the impact of the tourism industry tax and the tourist object retribution on regional original income in the Tanimbar Islands Regency between 2018 and 2020. The population studied in this study is the Tanimbar Islands Regency's government. The sampling technique employed was sample research, in which a subset of the population was used to conduct the research. This study gathered data from 2018 to 2020. The research data used in this study are secondary data gathered from the Tanimbar Islands Regency's Regional Revenue Service, Culture and Tourism Office. Data analysis techniques utilizing descriptive statistics, the classical assumption test, the multiple linear regression model, hypothesis testing, and measuring the coefficient of determination R² using SPSS. The study's findings indicate that the Tourism Industry Tax and the Retribution on Tourist Objects have a favorable and considerable effect on regional original income.

Keywords: tourism industry tax, tourist object retribution, regional revenue

1. INTRODUCTION

Tourism is the primary economic driver in developing countries. Numerous tourists visit the tourism sector, including ecotourism and rural tourism (Ridwan et al., 2016). Additionally, the growth of this industry results in an increase in regional income; additionally, the tourist sector can inspire new infrastructure investment to ensure the area's tourism sustainability. To boost regional revenue, the government must develop and support tourism destinations, allowing the industry to contribute to economic development.

Tourism development has an effect on the community's socioeconomic situations, one of which being the impact of tourism on government revenues (Astina and Artani, 2017). Because tourism is one of the strategies used to boost regional original revenue, the regional tourist potential development program is projected to contribute to economic

development. The tourist sector's development plan is inextricably related to the country's, region's, and regional economies.

Tourism encompasses a broad range of activities and is not limited to travel. It also includes the objects and tourist objects visited, the mode of transportation used, the services, accommodations, restaurants, entertainment, and social interaction between tourists and regional residents, as well as tourism various (Astina and Artani, 2017). Thus, tourism can be viewed as an institution composed of millions of interactions, a culture with a history, a repository of knowledge, and millions of people who identify as members of this institution. Thus, tourism as a concept can be regarded from a variety of different angles. Tourism is also capable of growing the community's expectations and demands as a result of a strong desire to contribute to the realization of an advanced, just, prosperous, and prosperous society (Puryanti and Dewi, 2014).

This is not an easy task, as practically every region in the Republic of Indonesia faces financial difficulties. The Regional Government's commitment to develop is financed by the Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget, which describes the region's ability to mobilize its financial potential. Regional financial potential is derived in part from regional taxes and retribution. Regional taxes and retribution are critical sources of regional revenue, as they help support government administration and regional development. To ensure the welfare of regional communities and to improve their living standards, each area is granted the authority to administer its own household through the Regional Government (Arjana 2015).

Maluku, as the island's sole province, has distinct and unique characteristics of its own, most notably in the area of marine tourism and culture tourism. "Welcome to Maluku, a spice island and an exotic marine paradise" is Maluku's official branding. This branding is founded on a history that effectively communicates the concept that Maluku has had a thriving economy for years, allowing it to be embraced by the worldwide audience. As an island with a strong potential for marine tourist development (Aunalal, 2017).

Additionally, Maluku Province offers a historical context and a diverse range of artistic traditions, a distinct culture, and stunning natural landscape. This condition is very uniformly distributed throughout Maluku Province and has the potential to serve as a tourist object for both domestic and international visitors. The pattern of growth of Maluku tourism as The Spice Island or the world's only seasoning island provides opportunity for regional governments to boost their appeal and innovation. Maluku tourism is one of Maluku's comparative advantages, and it is projected to provide a competitive edge, increase regional original income (PAD), and promote community welfare (Aunalal, 2017).

Tourists who visit tourism objects will be paid a fee in order to investigate the region's potential for enhancing regional original income. Revenue from tourist object fees is a source of revenue for tourism objects, along with revenue from entry ticket costs, parking fees, and other tourism-related expenses. With the number of tourist visits visiting a tourist object, it will increase regional income, particularly from attractive tourism fees and will affect the economic activities of the surrounding community so that it can affect regional revenue. This is demonstrated by the quantity of revenue generated by retribution for tourism objects in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province.

Year	Regional original income (PAD) (in IDR billion)	Regional Tax	Tax Revenue on PAD (%)	Regional Retribution (in IDR billion)	Retribution Revenue on PAD (%)
2014	22.59	5.05	22.36	5.48	24.26

2015	32.08	6.35	19.79	4.86	15.15
2016	30.93	7.35	23.76	4.34	14.03
2017	27.38	7.32	26.73	4.44	16.22
2018	40.41	8.73	21.60	11.08	27.42
Average	30.68	6.96	22.85	6.04	19.42

Table 1. Revenues of the Tanimbar Islands Regency by Type of Income (billion rupiah) 2014–2018

Table 1 illustrates that regional tax and retribution revenues account for a sizable portion of regional original income in 2014-2018. Although there are swings in particular years, there is usually an increase in tax revenue.

Regional taxes as a source of revenue have a bright future. Regional taxes, therefore, must be administered effectively in order to contribute to government revenue (Randy, 2013). One of them, hotel taxes and restaurant taxes, are two forms of regional taxes related to tourism that have the potential to rise as regional development strategies place a greater emphasis on supporting components, especially the service industry and tourism. According to Widyarningsih (2014), hotel and restaurant taxes contribute significantly to regional tax collections, with regional taxes serving as one of the primary sources of regional revenue (PAD) when compared to other sources of revenue.

The researcher proposes to examine "the impact of tourist industry taxes and tourism item retribution on regional revenue in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province" based on the aforementioned occurrence.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Regional Original Revenue (Pendapatan Asli Daerah / PAD)

According to Article 1 point 18 of Law No. 33 of 2004 on Financial Balance between the Center and the Regions, regional revenue is income received by the region pursuant to regional regulations in line with statutory requirements.

Regional original income, or PAD, is revenue collected by the region from regional sources within its own jurisdiction in compliance with regional regulations or applicable legislation. The regional income sector is critical because it demonstrates a region's ability to finance government activities and regional development (Baldrice, 2017).

Regional revenue is derived through Regional Original Revenue (PAD), balancing funds, and other sources of revenue. According to the notion, regional original income refers to revenue sources gathered in line with applicable regional legislation and used to finance regional development. Regional revenue comes from the following sources:

- a. Regional tax
 - Hotel tax
 - Restaurant tax from restaurants
 - Entertainment tax
 - Advertising tax
 - Street lighting tax
 - Tax on extraction of class c minerals
 - Underground water use tax
- b. Regional retribution;

- c. Revenue of regional owned enterprises and management of other separate regional assets;
- d. Other legit "regional original income" as follows:
 - 1. "regional fixed asset sales"
 - 2. "giro services"

2.2 Tourism Industry Tax and Tourism Object Retribution Revenue

Regional Tax, as defined in the Law of the Republic of Indonesia No. 28 of 2009 amending the Law of the Republic of Indonesia No. 34 of 2000 concerning Regional Taxes and Retribution, are mandatory contributions made by individuals or entities to regions without equitable direct compensation that may be imposed in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and used to finance regional government and development.

According to Law No. 28 of 2009, retribution is a regional fee in exchange for services or the granting of particular licences by the Regional Government for personal or business interests. Sutrisno (2013) defines retribution as imposed contributions to the government for which direct remuneration can be designated. This coercion is cost effective because anyone who does not receive a government-provided return service will not be charged a price. Regional retribution are defined in Government Regulation No. 10 of 2021 as fees for services or the granting of certain permissions particularly offered and/or issued by regional governments for the benefit of individuals or corporations.

2.3 Research Framework and Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were developed in this study based on the problem formulation and literature review:

The following hypotheses were developed in this study based on the problem formulation and literature review:

H1: Tourism industry tax has an effect on Regional Original Revenue in Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province.

H2: Tourism Object Retribution has an effect on Regional Original Revenue in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province.

H3: Tourism industry tax and Tourism Object Retribution have an effect on Regional Original Revenue in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province.

3. METHOD

This research is being analyzed between May and June 2021. The research is being conducted in Maluku Province's Tanimbar Islands Regency.

This is a quantitative research method that makes use of numerical data or numbers. The purpose of this study was to ascertain the link between the independent and dependent variables. Regional revenue is employed as the dependent variable in this study, whereas tourist industry taxes and tourism object retribution are used as independent factors.

Secondary data were collected from publications by the Central Statistics Agency (BPS), financial data from reports on regional government performance in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province, and several other sources. The data collection approach used in this study is documentation, namely recording financial statements from journals, books, and articles pertaining to the research variables.

4. RESULTS

To offer an overview of the variables employed in the study, a descriptive analysis of the data was performed. Average, standard deviation, maximum and lowest numbers, and range all serve as descriptive statistics. The following table provides an overview of the data analyzed in this study:

Table 2. Data Descriptive Analysis*

		PAD	Tax	Retribution
N	Valid	36	36	36
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		46.242.515,67	29.953.510,28	16.289.005,39
Std. Deviation		22.249.609,90	16.001.670,18	8.072.671,65
Range		84.502.392	61.519.693	32.282.517
Minimum		3.089.519	1.360.436	1.729.083
Maximum		87.591.911	62.880.129	34.011.600 (in Rp. Currency)

**Source: 2021 Data Processing Results*

According to the data processing results in Table 2, the observation data on reporting the performance of regional government in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, Maluku Province from 2014 to 2019 total 36 data points with a study year range of 2018–2020. The findings of Descriptive Statistics indicate the minimum and maximum values, the average (mean), the

median, and standard deviation for each variable, which is composed of Regional Original Income (PA D/Y), Tourism Tax (X1), and Tourism Retribution (X2).

Analysis of Regional Original Revenue (Pendapatan Asli Daerah / PAD)

The descriptive test findings indicate that the average value (mean) of the Capital Structure (DER) data is Rp. 46,242,515.67, with a standard deviation of Rp. 22,249,609.90. Additionally, it is known that the maximum data value is Rp. 87,591,911 (February 2019) and the lowest is Rp. 3,089,519 (May 2020), corresponding to a range of Rp. 84,502,392.

Analysis of Tourism Industry Tax (X1)

The descriptive test of the Capital Structure data (DER) indicates that the data has an average value (mean) of Rp. 29.953.510.28 and a standard deviation of Rp. 16.001.670.18. Additionally, it is known that the greatest data value is Rp. 62,880,129 (October 2018) and the lowest is Rp. 1,360,436 (May 2020), corresponding to a range of Rp. 61,519,693.

Analysis of Tourism Object Retribution (X2)

The descriptive test findings for the Capital Structure (DER) data indicate that the average value (mean) of the data is Rp. 16,289,005.39 with an average standard deviation of Rp. 8,072,671.65. Additionally, it is known that the greatest data value is Rp. 34,011,600 (March 2019) and the lowest is Rp. 1,729,083 (May 2020), corresponding to a range of 32,282,517.

4.1 The conventional assumption test

4.1.1 Examination of normalcy

The normality test is used to determine whether or not the sample utilized has a normal distribution. This assumption is represented in the linear regression model by the error value being regularly distributed. A decent regression model has a normal distribution or is close to it, making statistical testing viable. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test of Normality is used to determine the data's normality in the SPSS application. According to Ghozali (2013), decision-making can be based on probability (Asymptotic Significance), specifically:

- 1) If the probability is greater than 0.05, the regression model's distribution is normal.
- 2) If the probability is less than 0.05, the regression model's distribution is not normal.

The following conclusions were drawn from the normalcy test of the research data:

Table 3. One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

	KinY	LitX1	InoX2
N	36	36	36
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z	1.195	1.320	1.205
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	0.115	0.061	0.110

**Source: 2021 Data Processing Results*

The test results in Table 3 indicate that the sig. value for each examined variable is greater than 0.05, indicating that the distribution of research data for each variable is normally distributed.

4.1.2 Multicollinearity

The premise of multicollinearity implies that there should be no correlation between independent variables. The VIF and Tolerance tests were used to determine the presence of multiple collinearity. If the calculated value of the inflation variance (VIF) is less than ten and

the independent variable's tolerance is greater than ten percent (Ghozali, 2001). Table 4 summarizes the computation findings.

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test

No	Dependent Variabel	Independent Variabel	Tolerance	VIF
1	Regional Original Revenue (Y)	Tax (X1)	0.325	3.075
		Retribution (X2)	0.325	3.075


Source: 2021 Data Processing Results

Given that the tolerance is greater than 10% and the VIF is less than 10, the study's assumption of no multicollinearity is satisfied.

Test for Heteroscedasticity

Heteroscedasticity is a condition in which the variance of the residuals in one observation is greater than the variance of the residuals in another observation. In this study, heteroscedasticity was detected by studying the scatter plot. The following are the findings of the heteroscedasticity test:

Illustration 1. Heteroscedasticity Test Results with Scatter Plot



According to the illustration of the heteroscedasticity test results above, the regression model does not exhibit any symptoms of heteroscedasticity. Because the points on the scatter plot do not form a particular pattern and spread above and below zero on the Y axis, it can be concluded that the regression model does not exhibit heteroscedasticity.

4.2 Validation of Hypotheses

Multiple regression analysis was used to test the study hypothesis. The following are the findings from the research data test:

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 5. Results of the Research Hypothesis t-test

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Stand. Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.198	0.219		5.470	0.000
	Tax (X1)	1.371	0.042	0.697	32.650	0.000
	Retribution (X2)	0.862	0.054	0.342	16.045	0.000

Source: 2021 Data Processing Results

According to the test results in Table 5.4, the regression equation for the research model is as follows:

$$Y = 1.371X1 + 0.862X2 + e$$

Where:

Y = Regional Taxes (Y)

X1 = Tourism Tax (X1)

X2 = Tourism Retribution (X2)

The regression equation developed from the research data indicates the contribution of the tourism tax (X1) and tourism retribution (X2) variables to regional revenue (Y) in terms of the regression coefficient obtained.

α : 1.198 indicates that if the Tourism Tax (X1) and Tourism Retribution (X2) are both stable or constant in amount, the impact on Regional Original Income (Y) is only 1.198.

X1 = 1.371 indicates that if the value of the Tourism Tax (X1) is increased by one unit and the Tourism Retribution (X2) remains constant, the value of Regional Original Income (Y) will increase by 1.371.

X2 = 0.862 indicates that if the value of the Tourism Retribution (X2) is increased by one unit and the Tourism Tax (X1) remains constant, the value of Regional Original Income (Y) will increase by 0.862.

Additionally, the following explanations are provided for each of the research hypotheses:

Hypothesis I

The experiment was designed to determine the effect of the tourism tax (X1) on regional revenue (Y). The test findings in Table 5 indicate that the acquisition of the t-count value is 32,650 and that the tourism tax's significance value on regional revenue is 0.000 0.05. (5 percent). These findings demonstrate that the tourism tax had an influence on the Tanimbar Islands' initial revenue during the 2018-2020 research period.

Hypothesis II

The test was done to determine the effect of the tourism charge (X2) on municipal revenue (Y). The test results in Table 5 indicate that the t-count value was acquired at 16,045 and that the significance value of tourist retribution on regional revenue is 0.000 0.05. (5 percent). These findings demonstrate that tourist retribution had an influence on the Tanimbar Islands' initial revenue during the 2018-2020 research period.

Hypothesis III

The test is designed to determine the combined effect of the tourism tax (X1) and the tourism retribution (X2) on municipal revenue (Y). The test is conducted by examining the F test results; the study data are reported as follows:

Table 6. F-Test Results Research Data

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	20,073	2	10,036	33,575	0,000^b
	Residual	0,099	33	0,003		
	Total	20,171	35			

According to Table 6, the computed F value is 3,357,552, and the F significant value is 0.000 0.05. (5 percent). These findings demonstrate that the tourism tax and tourism retribution factors have a cumulative influence on the Tanimbar Islands' original revenue during the 2018-2020 research period. Additionally, the outcomes of the research data's coefficient of determination are presented in Table 7 below:

Table 7. Coefficient of Determination Test Results R2

Model Summary ^b				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0,998 ^a	0,995	0,995	0,05467

According to the test results in Table 7, the coefficient of determination of the research data is 0.995, which indicates that the tourism tax and tourism retribution variables can account for 99.5 percent of the Tanimbar Islands' original revenue, while the remaining 0.5 percent is explained by other variables not included in the study.

4.3 Discussion

4.3.1 Tourism Tax's Effect on Regional Original Income in the Tanimbar Islands

The results of the test of the influence of the tourism tax (X1) on regional revenue (Y) reveal that the t-count value is 32,650 and the tourism tax's significance value is 0.000 0.05. (5 percent). These findings demonstrate that the tourism tax had an influence on the Tanimbar Islands' initial revenue over the 2018-2020 research period, implying that research hypothesis I is accepted.

The findings of this study corroborate those of Suarjana et al. (2019), who discovered that tourism taxes increase regional revenue. Cahyadi et al. (2015) discovered comparable results in their research, indicating that taxes can have an effect on municipal revenue growth.

Tourism revenue contributes to regional revenue generation through the receipt of business activity posts related to tourism. Regional taxes are critical since they are derived from regional revenue (PAD). This is because the more regional tax income generated, the more regional revenue generated (PAD) in the regional financial framework, and vice versa.

The tourism tax is affected by the overall revenue generated by hotel, restaurant, and entertainment taxes. The quantity of hotel tax receipts is determined by the number of guests that stay in rental rooms. Thus, the hotel occupancy rate will have an effect on tax income. The subject of the restaurant tax can be seen in terms of restaurant consumers; the

majority of restaurant consumers are middle- and upper-income individuals whose potential can be seen in terms of per capita income. While the subject of entertainment tax is determined by the number of viewers (enjoyers) of entertainment). One of the reasons travelers travel is to partake in the entertainment provided by the tourist destination. The increase in entertainment tax is directly related to the number of visitors to tourist objects.

4.3.2 The Impact of Tourism Retribution on the Tanimbar Islands' Regional Original Income

The effect of tourism punishment (X2) on regional revenue (Y) was determined to have a t-count value of 16,045 and a significance value of 0.000 0.05. (5 percent). These findings demonstrate that tourist retribution had an influence on the Tanimbar Islands' initial revenue during the 2018-2020 research period.

According to Astuti and Gunastri (2013), tourist object taxes have a marginally significant effect on regional revenue. Cahyadi et al. (2015) also discovered a similar finding, namely that user fees can help increase municipal revenue. Similarly, Wijaya and Sudiana (2016) discovered that retributions for tourist objects have an influence on regional revenue.

Tourism retribution is one of the sources of regional revenue (PAD) in an area. If retribution revenue increases, regional original revenue increases as well; management and development of tourism objects play a significant role in increasing retribution revenue for the tourism sector; charge/tax administration for the collection of tourist object retributions is also expected to be effective and efficient; Tourists' low compliance with and accountability for user fees must also be addressed. This can occur in an area where tourism revenue is increasing. As a result, revenues may drop while having little effect on the tourism sector.

The realization of the data gathered from 2018 to 2019 shows a gain in revenue each year, but the overall revenue from the tourism industry retribution has declined over the prior year. The growth in revenue from recreation and sports retributions, special parking fees, and lodging/villa retributions influenced the revenue from the retribution sector. This is heavily influenced by the quantity of objects, the number of visitors, and the per capita income of the population. The Tanimbar Islands Regency's total or number of tourism objects is 112 (one hundred and twelve) 109, which includes natural objects, artificial tourism, historical tourism, and cultural tourism in the Tanimbar Islands.

The increase and decrease in tourism revenue is due to the number of tourist objects developed by the Tanimbar Islands Regency. The Tanimbar Islands Tourism and Culture Office is exploring and optimizing the development of Tanimbar Islands tourism objects by adding and equipping rides to tourist destinations in order to attract visitors and enjoy rides at tourist objects.

Additionally, the government of the Tanimbar Islands Regency promotes cultural development as a means of maximizing the Tanimbar Islands' potential, and as such, an arts and cultural festival is conducted annually in one of the tourist sites, especially Lake Ranau. The festival's development and implementation resulted in an increase in visitor numbers, however the rise was limited to regional visitors. The overall retribution for the tourism industry can be calculated using the three retributions above, based on the findings of researchers that the tourism sector's partial retribution has no substantial influence on the receipt of regional revenue (PAD) in the Tanimbar Islands Regency.

The relationship between regional revenue and retribution in the tourism sector is theoretically a functional one, because retribution is a function of regional revenue (PAD). Where the increase in user fees generates additional cash for regional governments to fund

development activities. It was different from the Tanimbar Islands Regency in this study, which resulted in the tourism sector's contribution to municipal revenue being insignificant.

This is because the Tanimbar Islands Regency has established tourist objects, there are numerous tours that have not been explored by the government, both in terms of nature and cultural tourism, and the collection of retribution is also still minimal, as evidenced by the Lake Rakihan tourist object. There is no fee associated with visiting this tourist object. The Tanimbar Islands Regency tourism object is centered on Lake Ranau. There are still a large number of tourist spots in the 111 Tanimbar Islands Regency that are not subject to a fee. At waterfalls and historical tours such as the puyang tombs.

4.3.3 The Tourism Tax and Tourism Retribution's Effect on Regional Original Income in the Tanimbar Islands

By examining the influence of tourism taxes and retributions on municipal revenue, the computed F value of 3357,552 and the F significance value of 0.000 0.05 are achieved (5 percent). These findings demonstrate that the tourism tax and tourism retribution factors have a cumulative influence on the Tanimbar Islands' original revenue during the 2018-2020 research period. Additionally, the coefficient of determination for the research data is 0.995, indicating that the tourism tax and retribution variable can account for 99.5 percent of the Tanimbar Islands' original revenue, with the remaining 0.5 percent explained by other variables not included in this study.

These findings corroborate those of Cahyadi et al. (2015), who discovered that the tourism industry tax and tourist object taxes have an influence on regional original income when combined. Wijaya and Sudiana (2016) also discovered that hotel restaurant taxes and retributions on tourist objects have an effect on regional revenue in their investigation. Similar findings were observed in a research conducted by Pundissing (2020) indicating tourism revenue contributes positively to municipal revenue growth.

The Tanimbar Islands' tourism industry collects taxes and retributions on tourism-related activities. For example, hotel taxes, entertainment taxes, restaurant taxes, and retributions on tourism-related activities. The hotel tax collected is a fee levied on sharia-compliant hotels and does not violate the Qur'an or Al-hadith, nor does it allow for service presentation freedom. The hotel room amenities are also conducive; they lack bars, clubbing, and other frenetic locations to have fun. Restaurant tax is a retribution paid on restaurant-provided goods.

The entertainment tax is a municipal government retribution that includes the collection of taxes on entertainment venues such as cinema screenings, art performances, music, art, dance, and beauty pageants. Similar to taxation, user fees are a retribution levied on citizens by regional governments. When parking fees are collected, which is done on the basis of confidence between vehicle owners and parking lot owners, the party providing parking services assumes responsibility and trustworthiness for the automobiles parked in the parking lot.

Naturally, this benefits the owner of the vehicle who owns and parks the vehicle. Retribution for villas or lodging, in its application to the provision of lodging services administered by regional governments, prohibits the collection of any fraudulent acts that would injure or damage the image and good name of the villa or lodge, such as excessive visitor returns. I am unaware of the mentioned pricing.

The government collects recreational and sports taxes to fund the operation of recreation spaces, recreation and tourism assets, and sports that are provided and owned by the regional government. This rise in tourism sector taxes and retributions will result in an increase in regional revenue (PAD), taking two factors into account when calculating tax and

retributions rates. To begin, the regional government is required to redistribute revenue to the community by examining the changes in tariffs imposed by the government and, secondly, by maximizing regional revenue (PAD). through regional development initiatives like as infrastructure, education, and health, as well as other infrastructure amenities for the benefit of the Tanimbar Islands' residents.

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of the research data processing and discussion, the following conclusion can be drawn:

1. Because the statistical analysis of the study data indicates that there is an influence of tourism tax (X1) on the Tanimbar Islands' regional revenue (Y) over the 2018-2020 research period, it may be concluded that research hypothesis I is accepted.
2. Because the results of the statistical analysis of the research data indicate that tourist retribution (X2) has an effect on the regional revenue (Y) of the Tanimbar Islands during the 2018-2020 research period, hypothesis II of the study can be accepted.
3. Because the findings of the statistical analysis of the study data indicate that the tourism tax and tourism retribution variables have a cumulative effect on the Tanimbar Islands' original revenue during the 2018-2020 research period, it may be determined that research hypothesis III is accepted. Additionally, the coefficient of determination for the research data is 0.995, indicating that the tourism tax and retribution variable can account for 99.5 percent of the Tanimbar Islands' original revenue, with the remaining 0.5 percent explained by other variables not included in this study.

Numerous historical and artistic structures serve as tourism assets in the Tanimbar Islands Regency, which is well-known for developing tourism activities with the addition of new tours. With the presence of a tourist object, a large number of tourists, both regional and foreign, travel to the area, thereby increasing regional revenue. With the Tanimbar Islands Regency's significant tourism potential, the Tanimbar Islands Regency Government continues to leverage current opportunities to boost revenue from the tourism sector, hence increasing Regional Original Income.

The Tanimbar Islands Regency government should be more aggressive in promoting tourism by improving infrastructure and delivering better tourist objects, which will affect the number of tourists visiting tourism objects in the Tanimbar Islands Regency.

For additional research, a discussion of regional original income can be expanded to include additional characteristics that are believed to have an effect on regional original income, and to acquire more ideal results, the scope of research data can be expanded to include additional research years.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research

was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. *ClinExp Allergy*. 2002;32(4):489-98.
Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. *Pharmacology*. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.

- 1) Arjana, Good IG. *Geography of Tourism and Creative Economy*. Jakarta: Rajawali Press; 2015. Indonesia.
- 2) Astina, MA, Artani, Ketut TB. The impact of tourism development on the social and economic conditions of the people of Sanur. *Scientific Journal of Hospitality Management*. 2017; 7(2). Indonesia.
- 3) Astuti, Gunastri. The Influence of Tourist Visits and Revenue from the Tourism Sector on Local Revenue of Klungkung Regency. *STIE Denpasar*. 2013; 11(2). Indonesia.
- 4) Aunalal, A. Effect of Size, Profitability & Ownership Structure as Factors Affecting the Company's Effective Tax Rate. thesis. Faculty of Economics and Business Universitas Gadjah Mada; 2011. Indonesian.
- 5) Cahyadi, R, Rasuli. The Effect of Tourism Industry Tax and Tourist Object Retribution on Regional Original Income (PAD) in 12 Regencies/Cities of Riau Province in 2009-2013. *Let's FEKON*. 2015; 2(2). Indonesia.
- 6) From W, Bakri S, Kurniyati NN, Sondega A. Tax Sustainability: The Effectiveness and Contribution of Land and Building Taxes to Regional Original Revenue in Yogyakarta City, Indonesia. *Journal of Economics, Finance, and Management Studies*. 2022; 5(3): 442-448. DOI: 10.47191/jefms/v5-i3-01.
- 7) Firdausy CM. *Policies and Strategies for Increasing Regional Original Income in National Development*. Jakarta: Indonesian Torch Library Foundation; 2017. Indonesia.
- 8) Ghozali, Imam. *Multivariate Analysis Application with IBM SPSS21 Update PLS Regression Program*. Semarang: Diponegoro University Publishing Agency; 2013. Indonesia.
- 9) Kab. the Tanimbar Islands in Figures; 2019. Indonesia.
- 10) Pundissing, R. The Effect of Tourism Sector Revenue on Regional Original Income (PAD) of North Toraja Regency. *Journal of Economics, Business and Applied (JESIT) Faculty of Economics, Indonesian Christian University Toraja* p-ISSN: 2775-0612. e-ISSN: 2775-5495; 2019. Indonesia.
- 11) Puryanti, Dwi N, Dewi, Mustika R. The Influence of the Number of Tourist Visits on Local Revenue of Mojokerto Regency in 2006-2013. *Journal of the State University of Surabaya*; 2014. Indonesia.
- 12) Ridwan M, Fatchan A, Astina IK. The Potential of North Toraja Tourism Objects Based on Local Wisdom as a Source of Tourism Geography Material. *Journal of Postgraduate Geography Education*. 2016; 1(1). Indonesia.
- 13) Siahaan, Marihot P. *Regional Taxes and Levies*. Third printing. Jakarta: Rajawali Press; 2013. Indonesia.
- 14) Siregar, Baldric. *Public Sector Accounting (Accrual-Based Local Government Financial Accounting)*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN; 2017. Indonesia.
- 15) Suarjana, AAGM., Dewi, NIK., Wahyuni, LM., Yintayani, NN. The Effect of Tourist Visits, Hotel and Restaurant Taxes on Local Government Revenues of Gianyar-Bali Regency. *Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship*. 2019;15(1). Indonesia.
- 16) Sugiyono. *Quantitative, Qualitative, and R&D Research Methods*. Bandung: Alfabeta, CV; 2017. Indonesia.

17) Sutrisno, Edy. Human Resource Management, Fifth Printing. Yogyakarta: Prenada Media; 2013. Indonesia.

18) Walakandou, Randy JR. Analysis of Hotel Tax Contribution to Regional Original Income (PAD) in Manado City. EMBA Journal. 1. 2013; 1(3): 722-729. ISSN 2303-1174. Indonesia.

19) Widyaningsih, P, Budhi, MKS. The Influence of the Number of Tourist Visits on Hotel Tax Receipts, Restaurant Taxes and Local Revenue. E-Journal EP Unud. 2014; 3(4):155-163 ISSN: 2303-0178. Indonesia.

20) Wijaya, IBAB., Sudiana, IK. The Influence of The Number Of Tourist Visits, Hotel, Restaurant Tax Receipts And Revenue Of Tourist Objects Retribution On Regional Original Income In Bangli Regency 2009-2015 Period. E-Journal EP Unud. 2016; 5 (12): 1384-1407 ISSN: 2303-0178. Indonesia.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1: Tax and Retribution Data

No.	Year	Month	PAD	Tax	Retribution
1	2018	Jan	52630650	31713442	20917208
2	2018	Feb	37981431	26326908	11654523
3	2018	Mar	31056626	19343420	11713206
4	2018	Apr	67060049	40047670	27012379
5	2018	May	71968195	46366130	25602065
6	2018	Jun	41734167	18754848	22979319
7	2018	Jul	38394053	22311540	16082513
8	2018	Aug	38037311	21355830	16681481
9	2018	Sep	60184111	45649742	14534369
10	2018	Oct	83040159	62880129	20160030
11	2018	Nov	57927699	39025853	18901846
12	2018	Dec	73915218	58210951	15704267
13	2019	Jan	55347470	38992066	16355404
14	2019	Feb	87591911	59708922	27882989
15	2019	Mar	66381750	32370150	34011600
16	2019	Apr	55074797	32359709	22715088
17	2019	May	59579681	42936148	16643533
18	2019	Jun	38908653	20513548	18395105
19	2019	Jul	72413344	52223524	20189820
20	2019	Aug	55607784	36340576	19267208
21	2019	Sep	66717759	40779624	25938135
22	2019	Oct	41372678	23769063	17603615
23	2019	Nov	55773728	36070920	19702808
24	2019	Dec	72033630	41838650	30194980
25	2020	Jan	40407767	29560326	10847441
26	2020	Feb	54618148	36250416	18367732
27	2020	Mar	31493554	16947169	14546385

28	2020	Apr	7537303	4016770	3520533
29	2020	May	3089519	1360436	1729083
30	2020	Jun	10489677	6553400	3936277
31	2020	Jul	31846753	27490850	4355903
32	2020	Aug	27035335	19165780	7869555
33	2020	Sep	12797363	8635550	4161813
34	2020	Oct	24625292	17087796	7537496
35	2020	Nov	7467791	1919800	5547991
36	2020	Dec	32589208	19448714	13140494

Appendix 2: Descriptive Test Results of Research Data Frequencies

		Statistics		
		PAD	Tax	Retribution
N	Valid	36	36	36
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		46242515.67	29953510.28	16289005.39
Std. Deviation		22249609.903	16001670.183	8072671.658
Range		84502392	61519693	32282517
Minimum		3089519	1360436	1729083
Maximum		87591911	62880129	34011600

Appendix 3: Classic Assumption Test Results

Normality NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		LnY	LogX1	LogX2
N		36	36	36
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	17.4550	7.3694	7.1342
	Std. Deviation	.75916	.38584	.30149
	Absolute	.199	.220	.201
Most Extreme Differences	Positive	.136	.132	.098
	Negative	-.199	-.220	-.201
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		1.195	1.320	1.205
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.115	.061	.110

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.


Multicollinearity

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	1.198	.219		5.470	.000		
	LogX1	1.371	.042	.697	32.650	.000	.325	3.075
	LogX2	.862	.054	.342	16.045	.000	.325	3.075

a. Dependent Variable: LnY

Heterokedastysites



UNDER PE

**Appendix 4: Hypothesis Test Results
Regression**

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	LogX2, LogX1 ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: LnY

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.998 ^a	.995	.995	.05467	2.336

a. Predictors: (Constant), LogX2, LogX1

b. Dependent Variable: LnY

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	20.073	2	10.036	3357.552	.000 ^b
	Residual	.099	33	.003		
	Total	20.171	35			

a. Dependent Variable: LnY

b. Predictors: (Constant), LogX2, LogX1

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	1.198	.219		5.470	.000		
	LogX1	1.371	.042	.697	32.650	.000	.325	3.075
	LogX2	.862	.054	.342	16.045	.000	.325	3.075

a. Dependent Variable: LnY

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	Variance Proportions		
				(Constant)	LogX1	LogX2
1	1	2.998	1.000	.00	.00	.00
	2	.001	46.367	.77	.21	.02
	3	.000	91.470	.23	.79	.98


a. Dependent Variable: LnY

Residuals Statistics^a


	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	14.9843	18.2903	17.4550	.75730	36
Residual	-.07094	.20879	.00000	.05309	36
Std. Predicted Value	-3.262	1.103	.000	1.000	36
Std. Residual	-1.297	3.819	.000	.971	36


a. Dependent Variable: LnY

Charts



Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual





UNDER PEER