

Pre-harvest losses of *Musa* spp. and Food Security Situation of Households in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Review

Abstract

Musa spp. generally known as bananas and plantains are staples to many in Africa. However, pre-harvest losses of these crops for the past two decades are alarming. The paper reveals that pre-harvest losses of bananas and plantains since 2002 ranges from 20.7-100% in Sub-Saharan Africa with most countries recording 50% and above losses. The paper further categorised the causes of pre-harvest losses of *Musa* spp. into natural, agronomic, biotic and human-induced constraints. Among these categories, human-induced constraints were seen to cause the highest pre-harvest losses as they influence the occurrence of other constraints. Some of the primary natural and agronomic constraints are storm, drought, and irregular/mixed cropping and over dependent on sward suckers and rainfall respectively. *Fusarium* wilt, Banana *Xanthomonas* wilt (BXW), banana bunchy top disease, black sigatoka and cigar-end rot are some of the biotic constraints reported in most producing countries in the region. Pests such as banana weevils, nematodes, mealy-bugs, and white grubs are equally identified as potential causes of *Musa* pre-harvest losses while some human-induced constraints are farmer-grazier conflicts, weak extension system and poor inputs. The paper revealed that in Rwanda, pre-harvest losses of bananas led to an increase (14-64%) of the number of households who were unable to eat their preferred meal in all the months of the year while in Tanzania, the food situation of 53.8% of the households were reported to be very bad. Meanwhile in Cameroon, insufficient food was reported in 81% of the producing households in Boyo Division. It is therefore, concluded that in order to minimise pre-harvest losses and enhance the food security status of the producers and consumers in the region, proper propping, pruning and crop rotation should be practiced while *Musa* research centres should be decentralise, extension delivery systems revisited and disease-free planting materials provided to the farmers.

Key words: *Musa* spp., Pre-harvest losses, Food security and Sub-Saharan Africa

1.0 Introduction

Musa spp. generally known as bananas and plantains are staple food crops to many people in Africa (Ajambo *et al.*, 2018; Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), 2019). They are also considered as cash crops in many producing countries (FAO, 2018; Olumba & Onunka, 2020). *Musa* spp are perennial crops which originated from Southeast Asia and New Guinea and were introduced in Africa before 2500 years ago (Mbide *et al.*, 2005). In this continent, *Musa* production is carry out predominantly in Sub-Saharan African countries with plantains production concentrated in the West and covers 32% of the world production (Cauthen *et al.*, 2013; Ayanwale *et al.*, 2016). Reports shows that Africa cultivates four over (4) million hectares of bananas and produces 25% of the world banana volume (Lorenzen *et al.*, 2010; UNCTAD, 2016). Most banana production is centred in Central Africa followed by West and East Africa with some of the primary producers in the region being Cameroon and Côte d'Ivoire (FAO, 2018). On the other hand, Cameroon remains the prime producer of plantains in the region followed by Ghana and Nigeria respectively (Tenkouano *et al.*, 2019).

Meanwhile, East Africa is noted for the production of East African Highland Bananas (EAHB) (Nemecková *et al.*, 2018).

Over 90% of *Musa* producers in Africa are smallholder farmers who produce for consumption and income (Alabi, 2013; FAO, 2020a). Bananas and plantains are consumed across Africa in different forms by people of all age groups. Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) (2019) reported that in Rwanda, Uganda and Cameroon, the per capita consumption of banana stands at 200kgs. They are generally eaten raw as fruits (bananas), cooked and fried as in the case of plantains. In Central Africa especially in Cameroon, banana (*Achu*) is pounded into *achu* while plantains are processed mostly into chips and flour and used for baking. East Africa countries especially Rwanda and Uganda are noted for the processing of banana beer (*tonto*), wine and juice (Whitney *et al.*, 2016; Rwubutse, 2018) while in the West Africa, plantains and plantains are used in making *dodo* as well as processed into chips, and flour which is used for baking and preparing of *fufu* (Akinvemi *et al.*, 2010; Cauthen *et al.*, 2013).

Producers are unable to meet the needs of their increasing households and consumers in the region due to production constraints ranging from natural, agronomic, biotic to human-induced factors. According to Ayanwale *et al.* (2016), over the past 20 years research works on bananas and plantains have tilted towards socio-economic and post-harvest studies, with little emphasis on the agronomy and pre-harvest losses. It is important to note that due to the morphology of these crops, in most producing countries, pre-harvest losses are far more than post-harvest losses. According to Cauthen *et al.* (2013), in West and Central Africa, particularly in Nigeria, Ghana and Cameroon, 30-50% and sometimes up to 80% of plantains and bananas are lost at pre-harvest stages. This, in addition to the losses recorded by other producing countries reduces yields and renders millions of people who depend on banana or banana products food insecure in the continent. In 2019/2020, 81% of banana producing households in Boyo Division in Cameroon were food insecure because of pre-harvest losses of bananas (Nkwain, 2022). Meanwhile in Tanzania, the food situation of 38.3% of the producing households was reported bad while 53.8% was very bad (Muchuruza & Melchior, 2013).

Future food insecurity situations in the region can partly be reverted by revisiting and encouraging certain crops that farmers have the capacity to produce at a lesser cost especially bananas and plantains. According to Tchango *et al.* (1999) and Cauthen *et al.* (2013), the cost of producing a hectare of bananas and plantains is relatively cheaper than that of cassava, maize and rice. This therefore, means that if there is an increment in investment in this sector, yields will increase as farmers will be able to minimise or prevent losses. Therefore, this study is aimed at highlighting the extent of pre-harvest losses of *Musa* spp., causes and effect on food security as well as highlighting possible ways of minimising the losses to enhance the future food security situation of the consumers in the region towards the achievement of the second Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2030.

2.0 Clarification of Concepts

The *Musa* crop

Generally, *Musa* spp (bananas and plantains) are made up of a corm, pseudo-stem, leaves and the fruits. The corm, held by the roots, makes up the underground part of the plant where the mother plant and the suckers are connected and jointly form the mat. According to Scot *et al.* (2006), and Okolle *et al.* (2009), all *Musa* species ranges between 2- 9m (6.6–30 ft) in height. On the other hand, after fruiting, *Musa* fruits mature from three to four months depending on

cultivar, season and climate of the locality (Scot *et al.*, 2006; Nayar, 2010). Scot *et al.*, (2006) ascertained that matured *Musa* fruits are generally 3–40 cm (1.2–16 inch) long, and 2–8 cm (0.8–3 inch) in diameter with some having an elongated, cylindrical and strongly curve shape and are seedless (Parthenocarpic).

There are several view points on the typology or grouping of edible banana. Some studies distinguish two groups of bananas (Desert and Cooking banana) while some present four groups *vis*: desert bananas (*Musa* AA and AAA), cooking bananas (*Musa* AAB, and ABB), plantains (*Musa* AAB), and East African Highland Bananas (*Musa* AAA-EA). Irrespective of the groups, different varieties/cultivars of bananas and plantains can be classified as dwarf, medium and tall/giant varieties. The dwarf varieties range from 1.5-2m and are very stable and less sensitive to wind thus, minimises pre-harvest resulting from toppling or folding. The medium varieties range from 2.5-4m in height and are sensitive to wind thus, prone to pre-harvest losses. Meanwhile, the tall varieties range from 4m and above and are very sensitive to wind thus, record most pre-harvest losses resulting from folding and toppling. One common feature of this group especially in the case of plantain cultivars is that the pseudo-stems are smaller which are sometimes outweigh by the sizes of the bunches produce resulting to folding or toppling of fruited plants. Apart of the height, the perennial nature of *Musa* spp. made them to easily accumulate pests (weevil, nematodes etc.) and diseases (panama, sigatoka, *Xanthosomonas* wilt etc.) which in turn contribute to pre-harvest losses.

Pre-harvest losses (PrHL)

Pre-harvest losses occur before the harvesting process begins and may be due to factors such as insects, weed and or diseases (Global Strategic Working Papers (GSWP), 2015; Oino *et al.*, 2017). In banana and plantain, observation shows that losses at this stage are sometimes due to poor site selection, storm, poor staking, and the variety of seedlings cultivated. Similarly, Verma *et al.* (2019), argues that the absence or inadequate participation of regional agricultural research institutes and extension services can lead to lack of adequate information and unavailability of crop varieties suitable to local conditions which is believed to affect the growth and maturity of crops. Other factors such as poor farm equipment, insufficient labour, and limited finances also contribute to pre-harvest losses. This study considers pre-harvest losses of banana as losses that occur during the life span of the crop in the field which reduces the quality and the quantity of harvest. These losses begin with site/seedlings/sucker selection and ends when harvesting begins. In a study on banana losses conducted in Cameroon by Nkwain (2022), farmers insisted that pre-harvest losses pose a higher effect on their social wellbeing most especially food availability. Chief among their rationale was that strong wind, pest and diseases as well as fire devastate their crops leaving them with little or nothing to harvest and consume on daily, weekly and monthly bases hence, threaten their food security status.

Food security

In 1996 World Food Summit, it was adopted that ‘Food security exists when all people at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life’ (FAO, 2008). Four dimensions of food security are identified in this definition. These are; availability (food available through local production or importation), accessibility (consumers able to access and pay for the food), utilisation (consumers able to consume the quality (nutritional quality) and quantity needed for a health life) and stability (being able to have the food at all times irrespective of shocks) (Maetz, 2011; Peng & Berry, 2019). It important to note that even if

all these dimensions are fulfilled without the food being culturally accepted by the consumers, food security will still not be attained (Maetz, 2011). Therefore, cultural acceptability of food may be regarded as the fifth dimension of food security. Equally, some scholars emphasised on the long term attainment of food security therefore, suggesting another dimension referred to as sustainability with attention focused on achieving the food security of the present consumers without compromising that of the future generation (Peng & Berry, 2019). Attaining just two out of these dimensions has been a challenge in Africa over the years (Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (ECA) and Africa Union Commission (AUC), 2020). The continent is still battling to attain food availability which is one of the fundamental dimensions of food security. This is in part because of the incidence of pests and diseases, insufficient improved technologies, climate change and conflicts. Therefore, food security is considered in this paper in terms of availability of food to all people at all times of the year.

3.0 The extent of pre-harvest losses of *Musa spp.* in Africa from 2002-2021

For over 20 years, few studies have been published on pre-harvest losses of *Musa spp.* in Africa to inform the stakeholders. However, the losses from the few published studies vary from one country to another and are alarming. In Central Africa, Pierrot *et al.* (2002) documents an average loss of 30% before harvest of total plantain plants initially planted by smallholders in the South West region of Cameroon while Hauser and Amougou (2010), reported 50% losses of plantains in Southern parts of Cameroon as a result of poor agronomic practices and pests and diseases. According to Dépigny *et al.* (2019), 50% of plantains are lost at pre-harvest stage in the Littoral region of Cameroon. These losses are experienced through damages to non-fruited and fruited stems and theft which, contribute to 20-30% of plantains losses. In every 100 stems of plantains planted, farmers only harvest an average of 30 bunches. Meanwhile, Western/Central Africa, Cauthen *et al.*, (2013) reported 30-50% and sometimes up to 80% banana and plantains pre-harvest losses in Nigeria, Cameroon and Ghana caused by black sigatoka.

In East Africa, African Agricultural Technology Foundation (AATF), (2009) reported that 100% of banana especially the brewing type were lost in Rubavu Nyamyumba District in Rwanda caused by banana bacterial wilt while in Tanzania in the Lake Zone region, close to 42,000 infected plants were destroyed. According to Whitney *et al.* (2016), close to 50% of bananas are lost in the field in Southwest Uganda during growth and maturity due to diseases and bad weather. Bantayehu *et al.* (2018), reported pre-harvest losses of fruits (banana inclusive) in North-western Ethiopia to be 20.7% due to improper activities. According to Okonya *et al.* (2019), in Rwanda and Burundi, banana pre-harvest losses due to pest and diseases are estimated at 29% and 48% respectively. Black sigatoka has equally been reported to cause banana yield losses of more than 50% in Kenya (SHEP PLUS, 2019). Nematodes on their own part also poses serious threat to banana cultivation in Kenya, contributing to about 70% pre-harvest losses (SHEP PLUS, 2019).

4.0 Causes of pre-harvest losses of *Musa spp.* in the region

Natural causes

Some of the natural constraints to bananas' poor yields in the region are drought, flood and strong wind (storm). In West Africa, drought, wind and flood are some of the external or natural constraints to bananas and plantains production in Nigeria, Benin and Ghana (Cauthen *et al.*, 2013; Chabi *et al.*, 2018; Fainou *et al.*, 2018). During the months of April and May, these crops are dehydrated due to the five-months-dry season experience in this region

thus, reducing the quality of yields. Equally, Ayanwale *et al.* (2016), reported that the poor production and pre-harvest losses of bananas and plantains in this zone are caused by farmers' over dependence on rain-fed system. Farmers do not practice irrigation. They depend mostly on rain for the growth of their crops which, is irregular thus, frequent drought and poor quality of fruits produced. Flood causes oxygen shortages to the roots distorting the uptake of water and other essential elements resulting to leaf yellowing. Also, the strong winds above 40km/hour experienced in this region at the onset of the rainy season leads to the folding and toppling of bananas and plantains resulting to yield losses which further translate to shortages during the months of May to August (Kainga, 2013; Olumba & Onunka, 2020).

In East Africa, especially in Rwanda, according to Rwubitse *et al.* (2018), heavy wind does not only cause *Musa* plants to fold or completely fall-off, it also scatters the leaves of standing plants thus, reducing the rate of photosynthesis and therefore, resulting to poor quality of the fruits produced. Drought and flood have equally been reported to cause yield losses in Rwanda and Burundi (Okonya *et al.*, 2019). Banana and plantain toppling due to strong wind has also been reported by Jacobsen *et al.* (2004) and Depigney *et al.* (2019) as one of the main causes of pre-harvest losses in Central Africa specifically in Cameroon.

Agronomic causes

Poor agronomic practices pose serious threat to banana and plantain yield losses. Many *Musa* small and medium scale farmers in Sub-Sahara Africa especially in the West and Central Africa practiced predominantly *Musa*-cocoa/coffee intercrop where cocoa and coffee are common. These crops are intercropped irregularly. According to Hailu *et al.* (2013), one of the important factors determining the quality of *Musa* fruits is row spacing and the associated plant population. The number of plants on a hectare usually known as plant density matters a lot especially in the case of *Musa* plants with so many leaves. Irregular and so many banana plants in a field increases plant competition and reduces the exposure of the fruits to sunlight thus, reducing the fruit quality. Also, allowing dry and yellow leaves on the plants reduces air circulation which affect the quality of bunches and fruits.

Majority of farmers in the region do not carry out de-suckering. They believe that the more the number of plants per stool, the more the anticipated bunches to be harvested. Therefore, they accumulate non-vibrant plants with weak pseudo-stems that are sensitive to the least wind. These plants end up producing small bunches and slender fingers. Equally, dry leaves, leaf sheaths and stumps are accumulated in farms which harbours weevils, insects, birds and rodents that ends up affecting *Musa* corms, pseudo-stem, fresh leaves and fruits. Leave pruning is practiced while wounding the leaf petioles of some leaves thus, exposing them to diseases and folding. Some farmers reduce the number of green leaves to 4-6 thus, reducing the rate of photosynthesis and the quality of fruits produced.

Equally, almost all the small farmers in the region depend on sword suckers of which majority are less productive and resistant to pests and diseases. These suckers are not always treated before or after planting resulting to stunted growth and poor yields. In addition to the less use of insecticides and nematicides, there is little or no used of fertilizers to maintain soil fertility and burst yields.

Biotic causes

Pest

Some of the predominant *Musa* pests reported in the region are nematodes, weevils, white grubs and mealy bugs. Majority of farmers in the region cultivate bananas and plantains in the same farms year in and year out without crop rotation. Most farms are old. In some localities like in the Western Highlands of Cameroon especially in the banana-coffee intercrop, *Musa* stools stays for 5-10 years and even more while producing suckers and extending the stool. This has resulted to accumulation of pests like nematodes and weevils which in turn prey on banana roots and corms reducing yields. The movement of infected plant material in the region is also a contributing factor of the spread of pests as well as diseases in the region (Lorenzen *et al.*, 2010). The seasonal climatic changes has equally brought about different varieties of insects (e.g. the outbreak of desert locust in East Africa in 2020) (FAO, 2020b) which either prey on the corms, leaves or fruits of *Musa* spp. causing losses. In West Africa, banana weevils and nematodes have been reported to devastate *Musa* corms in Nigeria, South Benin, Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire (Dimelu, 2015; Chabi *et al.*, 2018; Cauthen *et al.*, 2013; Traoré *et al.*, 2010) while in Central Africa, in addition to weevils and nematodes, Okolle *et al.* (2009) linked the poor yield of bananas and plantains in Cameroon to Mealy-bugs and white grubs. Meanwhile, in East Africa, banana weevils have equally been reported in Rwanda and Burundi (Okonya *et al.*, 2019).

These banana weevils, nematodes and white grubs are reported to feed on banana and plantain roots and corms while distorting the nutrients uptake and causing poor anchorage to the plants as they create tunnels on the corms and destroy the roots. This leads to stunted growth and toppling as the plants become very sensitive wind. On the other hand, according to Okolle *et al.* (2009), Mealy bugs feed on the leaves, bud and fruits of bananas and plantains while secreting honey dews which in turn form mould fungus (black soot) after fermentation. This black soot changes the green colour of the fruits to black resulting to quality reduction and refusal of the fruits in the market.

Diseases

Several diseases have been identified to affect banana and plantain output in the region. These diseases affect different parts of *Musa* plants especially the pseudo-stem, leaves and fruits causing pre-harvest losses. Among these diseases are Banana Bacteria Wilt (BXW), *Fusarium* wilt (panama), black leaf streak (black sigatoka), Banana Bunchy Top Disease (BBTD) and cigar-end rot. Other diseases are Cucumber mosaic virus, banana thrips disease and root necrosis. Banana Bacteria Wilt first discovered in African continent in Uganda in 2001, has continue to play a significant role in reducing banana losses in the region especially in East Africa (AATF, 2009). This disease which caused the wilting and drying-off of banana leaves as well as premature ripening of fruits of affected plants, wilting of the bracts of the male bud, the oozing of a yellowish substance from the stem when cut, blackening or staining of the fruit pulp and stony fruit has equally been identified to ravished farms in Tanzania, Rwanda and Burundi (AATF, 2009; Muchuruza & Melchior, 2013; Okonya *et al.*, 2019). Little has been documented about this disease in West and Central Africa. The disease seem to be common mostly in East Africa.

Reports showed that *Fusarium* wilt, a diseases that is predominant in Gros Michel banana varieties affect banana plants in Cameroon, Nigeria, Rwanda and Burundi causing the drying-off of the leaves starting from the older ones to the shoot and eventually the whole plant (Nkwain, 2022; Okonya *et al.*, 2019). Once a plant is affected with this disease, the leaves turn yellow and collapses. This continue until all the leaves hang around the plant like a skirt and eventually dry-off. Meanwhile, black sigatoka has been reported to cause significant losses to both bananas and plantains in most of the producing countries across the region

(Cauthen *et al.*, 2013; SHEP PLUS, 2019). This disease cause the development of black spots on edges of the leaves which eventually covers the surface area of the leave thus, causing a drastic reduction in the rate of photosynthesis resulting to the poor quality of the fruits produce.

Another serious threat to banana and plantain yields in the region is the Banana Bunchy Top disease. This disease is a serious threat because ones it attacks a plant, the leaves grow upright in a bundle hindering fruits production. The disease has been reported in Burundi, Rwanda, DRC, Congo Brazzaville, Malawi, Nigeria, Gabon, Benin and Cameroon (Ajambo *et al.*, 2018; Chabi *et al.*, 2018; Mikwamba, 2019; Okonya *et al.*, 2019). Among the diseases that attack bananas and plantains in the field, cigar-end rots and banana thrips disease have been identified to attack directly the fruits during development and maturation. Cigar-end rot is a fungus that invade the pistils (flowering part) of the fruits causing the fruits to starting rotting from the tip forming a black soot that look like a cigar (AAFT, 2009). This infection continue down to the pulp of the fruit causing it to rot as well. In the Western Highlands of Cameroon, the disease is common in Cavendish varieties. On the other hand, according to SHEP PLUS, banana thrips disease cause silvery patches on fruits which turns brown with time and eventually lead to cracks and rotting of the fruits. Cucumber mosaic virus transmitted by aphid, causes leave narrowing and fruit distortion (Cauthen *et al.*, 2013).

Human-induced causes

Human practices and quest for limited resources in their environment influence pre-harvest losses of bananas and plantains. Farmer-grazer conflicts are common in the region especially in the West and Central Africa. There are repeated cattle invasion of crops (bananas and plantains inclusive) in the Southern States of Nigeria and the Western highlands of Cameroon (Brottem, 2021; Bobbo & Tsi, 2020). These invasions lead to the destruction of banana and plantain suckers, fruited and non-fruited plants resulting to huge pre-harvest losses and a reduction in yields. In addition to crop destruction, farmers are equally chased out of their farms in some situations like in the case of herdsmen in Nigeria resulting to the abandonment of plants in the field (Brottem, 2021). Goats and sheep on their own part contribute to losses as they move around freely in the dry season while eating and destroying crops. *Musa* spp. are most vulnerable because they are among the few crops that remains evergreen throughout the dry season and these animals are attracted to green plants.

Equally, the extension system in the region is weak and lack specialisation. According to the Growing Africa's Agriculture (AGRA) (2019), farmers-extension ratio in the continent varies between 1:3000 and 1:10,000. This means that the chances of a farmer meeting an extension agent or an extension agent visiting a farmer in month or a year are slim. This literally means that farmers are on their own because before extension packages ever reach some farmers, their crops must have been ravished. Equally, there are limited specialised extension agents on bananas and plantains in the region to better educated and train the farmers on improved practices to improve their yields. Farmers therefore, suffer from limited and adulterated information thus, poor output. Farmers then continue to use their indigenous strategies which are equally limited. Ayanwale *et al.* (2016) blamed poor banana and plantain yields in Nigeria to little or no change in cultural practices of these crops in the past 20 years due to inadequate knowledge of production, inefficient extension service system and research.

Insufficient input/poor farm tools are also contributing factors of poor *Musa* yields in the region. Insufficient inputs is in part because of high prices of (herbicides, insecticides, nematocides, fungicides, seedlings, fertilizers, knapsack sprayers, cutlasses etc.) and

insufficient finances. Due to poor output, farmers are unable to raise enough revenue to sustain their household and still maintain the farms or buy inputs. These have led to farmers continue dependent on artisanal tools for cultivation which contribute to pre-harvest losses and poor yields.

5.0 Effect on food security

Bananas and plantains are part of the daily diet and complement of many people in Africa especially in rural areas where small and medium scale productions are mostly concentrated. Consumers are unable to meet up with the quality and quantity needed for daily, weekly, and monthly consumption because of pre-harvest losses. Even the quantity produced is expensive due to unusual scarcity in some seasons. This has reduces/stops the affordability of many consumers especially the vulnerable households thus, affecting their nutrients intake. In East Africa, according to Muchuruza and Melchior (2013), Banana *Xanthomonas* Wilt (BXW) reduces the quantity of banana bunches harvested by 16.6% in Tanzania thus, reducing the daily number of meals of several households who depended on banana from 3-2. This further resulted to the food situation of 53.8% of the producers to be very bad while 38.3% was said to be bad. Banana contribute more than 50% to the diets of 32% households in Rwanda (Nkuba *et al.*, 2015). Nkuba *et al.* (2015) reported that in 2009 and 2011, BXW reduces the bunches of banana harvested per household from 35-25% causing 14.4% of farmers to regulate their daily meals to one meal per day. This further led to a significant increase (14-64%) of households who were unable to consume their preferred meals throughout the year.

In Central Africa, according to Nkwain (2022), in 2019 and 2020, bush fire, hailstones and storm reduces the quantity of banana bunches harvested by 31.6% in Boyo Division in the North West Region of Cameroon. This resulted to food insufficiency to 81% of the producing households. Bananas in this part of the region were complemented with beans, cocoyams and potatoes to economise the consumption of these food items. It was also consumed solely especially during the lean season of beans and maize (*Zea mays*). It was equally exchange for other food crops not produce by the farmers' households in order to balance their diet. All these practices drastically reduces because of the drop in the quality and quantity of banana produced (Nkwain, 2022).

6.1 Possible ways of curbing losses caused by natural factors

a. Proper propping

Farmers should be practicing proper propping of fruited as well as non-fruited plants to minimise the effect of the storm. Propping can be done in three ways (1) supporting the tilted plants at the point of the leaf crown or under the bunch in the case of fruited plants with two criss-cross dry bamboos or poles to form a tripod, (2) staking by pinning a healthy pole (2-4m of length depending on the height of the plant) 50cm-1m away from the tilted plant or in between oppositely tilted plants and fastening a twine above the mid part of the plants to the poles and (3) fastening a twine on a stick of 15-20cm and passing it in between the leaf crown to hock on the transitional peduncle and then pulling the extended part of the twine and fastening it on a stump or tree. These methods can be applied individually or two on the same plant depending on the size of the bunch and intensity of wind in a locality.

b. Development of dwarf and resistant varieties

Banana and plantain research institutions should develop more dwarf and medium varieties/cultivars with emphasis on larger pseudo-stems and strong root systems that are less

sensitive to wind. Equally, farmers on slopes like in the case of the Western highlands of Cameroon should be advised to be cultivating short and medium varieties to minimise toppling.

c. Avoid the use of systemic herbicides

Farmers should avoid the use of systemic herbicides on their fields especially those on slopes. This is because these chemicals go right down to the roots of herbs and in the course of killing the herbs, they cause the soils to lose the cohesive bond holding its particles together. This results in losing soils contributing to easy toppling of banana plants as well as erosion which exposes banana corms and equally contribute to toppling.

d. Pruning at the onset of the seasons and speculative planting

During the onset of the dry and rainy season, *Musa* plants should be pruned. This will create space for free circulation of air as well as the strong wind that usually occurs during these periods thus, minimising the toppling and folding of the plants and leaves as a result of the wind unable to pass. Since mostly fruited plants are highly sensitive to wind, precision agriculture should be practiced where planting is done in such a way that fruiting and maturation will not coincide with the period of strong wind.

6.2 Possible ways of curbing losses caused by agronomic factors

a. Planting spacing

In the *Musa* coffee/cocoa intercrop, the *Musa* planting spacing should be at least 4m x 5m and a stool should contain at most four (4) plants, that is, the mother plant that is fruited or about to fruit, 1-2 daughter plants that are halfway or almost close to the mother plant, and a young sucker (SHEP PLUS, 2019). This will maintain the plant density and the production of healthy bunches. This spacing will equally reduce the spread of pests and diseases especially sigatoka. In a newly opened farm or in a forest system, a spacing of 3m x 4m or 4m x 4m can be adopted but should be increased as soon as other crops like cocoa, coffee and palms among others start gaining cover.

b. De-suckering

The number of suckers in a stool should be controlled because they increase competition for nutrients and thus, reduce the fruit quality. De-suckering should be practiced in situations where the number of plants per stool exceeds the recommended amount. This can be done by carefully cutting-off or off-rooting extra suckers or unhealthy plants. Off-rooting is better because it stops the regeneration of the plant but should not be carried out on plants that are about to fruit or have fruited to avoid exposing the plants to toppling.

c. Pruning and removal of leaf sheaths

Leaf pruning and sheath removal increases the exposure of fruits, stems, suckers and the floor of the farm to sunlight hence better quality of the fruits produced. It also reduces the accumulation of aphids, fruit flies, spiders and mealy bugs among others which are either vectors of disease or direct consumers of plant parts. The pruned leaves equally act as mulch reducing the growth of grass as well as water evaporation especially during the dry season. Pruning should be done carefully in order not to wound the petioles of the remaining leaves and expose them to infections or folding. The leaves should be pruned 10-15cm away from the pseudo-stem to avoid infections easily getting to the pseudo-stem from the cuts. At least 7

green leaves should be allowed per plant. Only dry leaf sheaths and those that are no longer attached to the pseudo-stem should be carefully removed at the ground level while making sure that the remaining ones are not wounded as this will create avenue for pests and diseases.

6.3 Possible ways for minimizing losses caused by biotic factors

a. Crop rotation

Crop rotation should be practiced to reduce the accumulated population of nematodes, weevils and other pests in *Musa* farms. This can be achieved by clearing-off *Musa* farms that have been existing for five or more years or farms whose yields have started dropping. Some of the ways to know that a farm is infested is when suckers are no more healthy, fruits and bunch sizes have reduced and when there is frequent toppling of plants with the least wind. The cleared farm should be allowed to fallow for at least 1-2 years or planted with crops that are not in the family of bananas and plantains. This will reduce the population of the pests as they will have no identical substrate or what to feed on.

b. Provision of improved/disease-free plantlets.

The continue spread of *Musa* diseases in the region is partly because of over dependent of farmers on sward suckers which most often are infested. Therefore, improved and disease-free planting material should be made available to farmers via extension agents so that farmers can revamp their farms. This can be achieved by decentralising or creating and funding propagation centres in every Division/State or District involved in *Musa* production. The availability of these centres and plantlets closer to the farmers will influence adoption. This will therefore, minimises the spread of pests and diseases and improved yields. Equally, disease resistant varieties especially those resistant to BXW, panama and sigatoka should be developed and recommended to farmers to plant in between their existing plants. This will help in curbing the spread of these diseases and reduce losses.

c. Cutting and throwing away of the infected leaves and plants

Infected leaves especially in the case of sigatoka should be carefully cut-off and gathered in between the plants. While plants detected with BXW, banana bunchy top disease and panama should be carefully cut-off or better still off-rooted and thrown away from the farm or chop-off and burn. Wood ash and or hot water can equally be poured on the pit to neutralise the disease. Equally, during pruning, there should be a separate cutlass and sickle or 'banana spear' reserve for the pruning of infected plants. This will minimise the spread of diseases.

d. Cleaning of farm tools

After every pruning or cutting of infected plants, the tools should be properly washed or hot water should be pour on them in the case of metal tools for disinfection. Tools begged or rented should equally be washed before any utilisation because they may have been contaminated.

e. Use of wood ash and pesticides

Three handful of sieved wood ash should be added to 15 litres of water in a bold and stir thoroughly. A tin tomato-can (40-50g) of *mocap* (nematicide) and 80-100ml of *comfu* (insecticide) should be added to the water and equally stir thoroughly (Nkwain, 2022). Corms of suckers should be clean while exposing the whitish part. The cleaned corms should be immersed in this mixture for 10-20 minutes and kept to dry before planting. This will reduce the population of nematodes and weevils. The mixture can equally be sprayed in suckers' pits before transplanting for better performance. It can also be spray round existing stools for the same purpose. In a locality where these chemicals are not available, any nematicide and insecticide can be used.

6.4 Possible ways of minimising losses caused by human-induced factors

a. Providing long lasting solutions to farmer-grazer conflicts

A long lasting solution can be provided to farmer-grazer conflicts by demarcating grazing land in every community where grazers are found and banning open grazing as in the case of Southern States of Nigeria. Equally, grazers should be trained on improved grazing systems to avoid them from moving with cattle from one place to another and causing problems. Grazing policies should be revisited, revised and properly implemented while making sure that defaulters are handled by law accordingly.

b. Improvement of extension delivery system and training of farmers on improved practices

There is a need to revisit extension information delivery systems in Africa. In the 80s and 90s, farmers use to have radio-listening groups in communities where radio sets given by agricultural organisations (e.g. World Bank) were used by these groups to follow extension programs (mass method of information delivery). By then handsets (mobile phones) as well as radio sets were not common. Today, 50-70% of farmers especially banana and plantain (cash crop) farmers or someone in their households are having at least a mobile phone which receive radio signals. This improved communication tool can be harnessed by extension systems in the continent to disseminate agricultural packages to banana and plantain farmers to make up for field absences and late visits resulting from insufficient resources and high extension-farmers ratio (NKwain & Fatty, 2019). Agricultural information dissemination through radio and newspapers should be reinforced. Imagine the level of agricultural development in Africa if agriculture, the so call backbone of Africa's development was preached the same way politics is being preached in radio channels and newspapers in the continent.

In addition to this, bananas and plantains are the cheapest and among the most farmers-dependent food and cash crop in the continent, more specialise extension agents should be trained to enhance the production of these crop. These specialists should sensitise and train farmers on improved production practices. That is, methods of suckers' selection, treatment, planting and maintenance as well as common pests and diseases affecting their crops, the signs and symptoms of these diseases, possible seasons when they are common and ways of minimising them. Trainings should equally be given on fertilisers and pesticides application to avoid the application of 'less or over dose.'

c. Subsidisation of farm inputs and equipment

The inadequate/non-use of improved inputs and farm tools in the continent is partly as a result of high prices. Therefore, if farm inputs and equipment are subsidised, farmers will be

encourage to purchase and use insecticides, herbicides, nematicides and fertilisers when needed hence, minimising pre-harvest losses. Equally, farm tools like cutlasses, spades, dig-axes as well as knapsack and engine sprayer that can be used for chemical weeding and pesticide application will easily be afforded and used.

7. Conclusion

The study has shown that pre-harvest losses of *Musa* varieties are high in East Africa especially in Uganda, Tanzania and Kenya, followed by Cameroon in Central Africa. Few studies has reported pre-harvest losses of *Musa spp.* in West Africa with Nigeria and Ghana being on the lead. Losses have been increasing over the years due to the incidents of new pest and diseases, conflicts and climate change. These losses rendered thousands of household's food insecure. The principle causes of pre-harvest losses in the Region are pests and diseases, strong wind, over depended on sward suckers and rainfall, poor input/farm tools, and weak extension system. It is therefore, recommended that proper propping, pruning and crop rotation should be practiced while *Musa* research centres should be decentralise, extension delivery systems revisited and disease-free planting materials provided to the farmers.

References

- African Agricultural Technology Foundation (AATF), (2009). Feasibility Study on Technologies for Improving Banana for Resistance Against Bacterial Wilt in Sub-Saharan Africa. Nairobi, Kenya: African Agricultural Technology Foundation, pp. 92.
- Ajambo, S., Rietveld, A., Nkengla, L.W., Niyongere, C., Dhed'a, D. B., Olaosebikan, D.O., Nitunga, E., Toengaho, J., Kumar, L. P., Hanna, R., Kankeu, R. S. & Omondi, A. (2018). Recovering banana production in bunchy top-affected areas in Sub-Saharan Africa: developing gender- responsive approaches. *Acta Horticulturae*, 1196: 1-9.
- Akinyemi, S.O.S., Aiyelaagbe, I.O.O., & Akyeampong, E. (2010). Plantain (*Musa spp.*) Cultivation in Nigeria: a Review of Its Production, Marketing and Research in the Last Two Decades. *Acta Horticulturae*, 879: 211-218
- Alabi, M.O., (2013). *Nutrition: Processing and Utilization of Selected Food Crops in Nigeria*. Gboko: Peace House Press. Pp. 186.
- Ayanwale, A.B., Fatunbi, A.O. & Ojo, M. (2016). *Innovation Opportunities in Plantain Production in Nigeria. Guide Book 1*. Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA), Accra Ghana. Pp. 40.
- Bantayehu, M., Alemayehu, M., Abera, M., & Bizuayehu, S., (2018). Determinants and Extent of Pre- and Postharvest Losses of Fruits in North-western Ethiopia. *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Research*, 5(4): 68-75.
- Bobbo, M. Y. & Tsi, A. E (2020). The influence of farmer-grazier conflicts on food security in the North West region of Cameroon: the case of Mezam Division. *International Journal of Horticulture, Agriculture and Food Science*, 4(6): 205-215.
- Brottem, L., (2021). The Growing Complexity of Farmer-Herder Conflict in West and Central Africa. *Africa Security Brief No. 39, Africa Center for Strategic Studies*.
- Cauthen, J., Jones, D., Gugerty, M. K., & Anderson, C.L., (2013). Banana and Plantain Value Chain: West Africa EPAR Brief No. 239. *Prepared for the Agricultural Policy Team of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation*, pp. 25.
- Chabi, M. C., Dassou, A. G., Dossou-Aminon, I., Ogouchoro, D., Aman, B. O. and Dansi, A., (2018). Banana and plantain production systems in Benin: ethnobotanical investigation,

- varietal diversity, pests, and implications for better production. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 14(78): 1-18.
- Dépigny, S., Wils, E. D., Tixier, P., Keng, M. N., Cilas, C., Lescot, T., & Jagore, P., (2019). Plantain productivity: Insights from Cameroonian cropping systems. *Agricultural Systems* 168: 1–10.
- Dimelu, M. U. (2015). Involvement of Farm Households in Banana and Plantain Production in Aguata Agricultural Zone of Anambra State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 19 (1): 105-116.
- Fainou, M., Ewedje, E-E. B. K., Adeoti, K., Djedatin, G. L., Affokpon, A., Baba-Moussa, F. & Toukourou, F. (2018). Diversity of local varieties of banana and plantain cultivated in Benin. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*, 10(12): 497-509.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), (2008). An introduction to the basic concepts of food security: Food Security Information for Action- Practical Guide. EC-FAO Food Security Programme, www.foodsec.org/docs/concepts_guide.pdf.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), (2018). *Banana Market Review: Preliminary results for 2018*. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations, Rome. Pp.12.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), (2019). *Trade and Market: Banana Facts and Figures*. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United State. <http://www.fao.org/economic/est/est-commodities/bananas/bananafacts/en/#.XjQQDB7TU0N>. Accessed on 31st January, 2020.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), (2020a). *Trade and Market: Banana Facts and Figures. Banana Cultivars*. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United State. <http://www.fao.org/economic/est/est-commodities/bananas/bananafacts/en/#.XjQQDB7TU0N>. Accessed on 6th November, 2020.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (ECA) and Africa Union Commission (AUC) (2020). *Africa Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition 2019*. Accra. <https://doi.org/10.4060/CA7343EN>
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), (2020b). *Desert locust upsurge – Progress report on the response in the Greater Horn of Africa and Yemen (January–April 2020)*. Rome.
- Global Strategy Working Papers (GSWP), (2015). *Improving Methods for Estimating Post-Harvest Losses: A Review of the Methods for Estimating Grain Post Harvest Losses*. Working Paper No. 2.Pp. 63.
- Growing Africa’s Agriculture (AGRA), (2019). *Extension Strategies: AGRA’s Private Sector Led-Approach to Extension*. The Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa, Kenya.
- Hailu, M., Workneh, T. S., & Belew, D., (2013). Review on postharvest technology of banana fruit. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 12(7): 635-647.
- Hauser, S. & Amougou, D. (2010). Plantain (*Musa* spp.) Cropping Systems of Southern Cameroon. *Acta Hort.*, 879: 495-508.
- Jacobsen, K., Fogain, R., Mouassom, H., & De Waele, D., (2004). *Musa*-based cropping systems of the Cameroon highlands: a case study of the West and Northwest provinces of Cameroon, with emphasis on nematodes. *Fruits*, 59 (5): 311–318.
- Kainga, P. E., (2013). Empirical Review of Problems and Prospects of Banana (*Musa Sapientum* L) and Plantain (*Musa Paradisiaca* L) Production Enterprises. *Global Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Health Sciences.*, 2(4):181-186.
- Lorenzen, J., Tenkouano, A., Bandyopadhyay, R., Vroh, B., Coyne, D., & Tripathi, L., (2010). Overview of banana and plantain (*Musa* spp.) improvement in Africa: Past and future. *Acta horticulturae*, 879(879): 595- 604.
- Maetz, M., (2011). Food Security-definition and drivers. www.hungerexplained.org. Accessed on 13th January, 2022.

- Mbide, C. M., Doutrelepont, H., Vrydaghs, L., Swennen, R. L., Swennen, R.J., Beeckam, H., Langhe, E., & Maret, P., (2005). The initial history of bananas in Africa. A reply to Jan Vansina, Azania, 2003, Azania: *Archaeological Research in Africa*, 40(1): 128-135.
- Mikwamba, K., Dessein, J. & Kambewa, D. (2019). Fighting banana bunchy top disease in Southern Malawi. The interface of knowledge systems and dynamics in a development arena. *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 10: 1-20
- Muchuruza, Y. P., & Melchior, H. R. (2013). The Effects of Banana Xanthomonas Wilt (Bxw) on Food Security and the People's Livelihood: The Case of Nshamba and Rubale Divisions in Kagera Region, Tanzania. KADETFU and CDI organizations, Tanzania. Pp. 44.
- Nayar, N. M., (2010). The Bananas: Botany, Origin, Dispersal. In Jules J. (ed). *Horticultural Reviews*, 36: 117- 164.
- Nemecková, A., Christelová, P., Cížková, J., Nyine, M., Van den houwe, I., Svacina, R., Uwimana, B., Swennen, R., Doležel, J. & Hribová, E., (2018). Molecular and Cytogenetic Study of East African Highland Banana. *Frontiers in Plant Sciences*, 9(1371): 1-13
- Nkuba, J., Tinzaara, W., Night, G., Niko, N., Jogo, W., Ndyetabula, I. . . & Karamura, E. (2015). Adverse impact of Banana Xanthomonas Wilt on farmers' livelihoods in Eastern and Central Africa. *African Journal of Plant Science*, 9(7): 279-286.
- Nkwain, K. T., (2022). Assessment of banana losses and the wellbeing of farmers in Boyo Division, Cameroon. Doctoral Thesis, Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria. pp. 296.
- Nkwain, T.K., & Fatty, K. M. L. (2019). Revisiting Radio, Newspapers and Mobile Phones as Mediums of Enhancing Agricultural Productivity: A Review. *Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies* 2(3): 1-6.
- Oino, P. G., Kareithi, J., & Sorre, B., (2017). The Effects of Pre-Harvest Practices on Food Loss in Gucha Sub County, Kisii County, Kenya. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research*, 3 (8): 26-34.
- Okolle, J. N., Fansi, G. H., Lombi, F. M., Lang, P. S., & Loubana, P. M., (2009). Banana Etomological Research in Cameroon: How Far and what next? *African Journal of Plant Science Biotechnology*, 3 (1): 1-19.
- Okonya, J. S., Ocimati, W., Nduwayezu, A., Kantungeko, D., Niko, N., Blomme, G., Legg, J. P., & Kroschel, J., (2019). Farmer Reported Pest and Disease Impacts on Root, Tuber, and Banana Crops and Livelihoods in Rwanda and Burundi. *Sustainability*, 11(1592): 1-20.
- Olumba, C.C., & Onunka, C. N., (2020). Banana and Plantain in West Africa: Production and Marketing. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition, and development*, 20(2): 15474- 15489.
- Peng, W., & Berry, E.M., (2019). The Concept of Food Security. In: Ferranti, P., Berry, E.M., Anderson, J.R. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Food Security and Sustainability*, vol. 2, pp. 1–7. Elsevier.
- Pierrot J., Achard R., Temple L., Abadie C., & Fogain R., (2002). Déterminants de la production de plantain dans le sud-ouest du Cameroun: intérêt d'un observatoire, *Fruits* 57 (2): 75–85.
- Rwubatse, B., Kitinoja, L., Mukantwali, C., Kabayiza, E., Chahine-Tsouvalaki, H., Vasanthakalam, H., & Wheeler, L., (2018). Postharvest Loss Assessment of Green Bananas in Rwanda. Feed the Future. *The U.S Government's Global Hunger & Food Security Initiative*. Pp. 73.

- Scot, C. N., Ploetz, R. C., & Kepler, A. K., (2006). *Musa* species (banana and plantain): Musaceae (banana family). Species Profiles for Pacific Island Agroforestry, pp. 33. www.traditionaltree.org. Accessed on 20th May, 2020.
- Smallholder Horticulture Empowerment & Promotion Project for Local and Up-Scaling (SHEP PLUS). (eds). (2019). *Banana Production*. MOALF/SHEP PLUS, Kenya. Pp. 31.
- Tchango, J. T., Bikoï, A., Achard, R., Escalant, J.V., & Ngalani, J.A. (1999). Plantain: Post-harvest Operations. *Centre de Recherches Regionales sur Bananiers et Plantains, Cameroon (CRBP)*, pp. 60.
- Tenkouano, A., Lamien, N., Agogbua, J., Amah, D., Swennen, R., Traore, S., & Ortiz, R., (2019). Promising High-Yielding Tetraploid Plantain-Bred Hybrids in West Africa. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2019: 1- 8.
- Traoré, S., Kobenan, K., Koné, D., Gnonhour, P., Yao, N. T., & Aké, S., (2010). Distribution of *Mycosphaerella* spp. Diseases on banana in Côte d'Ivoire. In M. Mwangi (ed), *Contributions of agricultural sciences towards achieving the Millenium Development Goals*. Nairobi, Kenya, FaCT Publishing. Pp. 1 – 160.
- UNCTAD INFOCOMM, (2016). Banana. An INFOCOMM Commodity Profile: UNCTAD Trust Fund on Market Information on Agricultural Commodities. *United Nations Conference on Trade and Development*, New York and Geneva, p.17.
- Verma, M., Plaisier, C., Wagenberg, C. P. A. V., & Achterbosch, T., (2019). A Systems Approach to Food Loss and Solutions: Understanding Practices, Causes, and Indicators. *Journal of Sustainability*, 11(579):1- 22.
- Whitney, C. W., Mukiibi, E., Nakaketo, C., Gebauer, J., Rietveld, A., & Kehlenbeck, K., (2016). Addressing Post-Harvest Losses During Traditional Banana Fermentation for Increased Food Security in Southwest Uganda. *Abstract presented at Tropentag 2016: Soliarity in a competing world-fair use of resources, September 18-21, 2016*. 1p.