

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77250
Title of the Manuscript:	Microwave assisted extraction Papaya leaf and investigation on antioxidant activity
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Take care of the title and abstract2. Sentence structure and grammar/preposition application should be taken care of.3. Discussion part should more enriched and include references of previous findings and draw an implication of the obtained results.4. Punctuations should be putted properly.	Errors in Title and abstract are corrected Grammatic errors has been rectified Discussion has been enriched and references has been added Punctuation errors are corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Over all Write up is good but more care should be given to improve the article.	It has been done

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	No ethical issues in the manuscript