



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

Jjjjj

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I noted some irregularities:</p> <p>1- The Figures (1), (2), (3) et (4) are not well represented.</p> <p>2 - On Figure (2) the curves and the equations are mixed and confused.</p> <p>4- On Figure (3) the curves are not well representative</p> <p>5- The Figures (1) and (4) are difficult to interpret.</p> <p>6 - Take back Table 2 in two Tables.</p> <p>7- Take back Table 3 all Data are not on view.</p> <p>8- The manuscript is less referenced (nine references are very little).</p> <p>9- The authors can take back all these with Matlab software.</p> <p>Please, I suggest to the authors to take into account all these remarks in order to improve their work.</p>	<p>1. The figure 1,2,3 have been represented by breaking each into two thus 1 a, 1 b, 2 a, 2 b, and 3 a and 3 b respectively for better legibility and viewing WHILE figure 4 has been remove since is not relevant with the discursion</p> <p>2. The figure 2 has been represented and the equation removed from the curves for better viewing</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4. The figure 3 has been represented</p> <p>5. Figure 4 has been removed while figure has been well presented</p> <p>6. Not necessary since it can take one page as represented</p> <p>7. They are now legible</p> <p>8. The manuscript now contains 20 references all together</p> <p>9. Not necessary since other tools can handle the plotting as shown in the corrected version</p> <p>Thanks for your constructive criticism.</p>