



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. The abstract should be properly written. it should include the purpose of the study, methodology, instrumentation and findings. t</p> <p>2. The problem statement MUST be rewritten. The issue of concern must be clearly explained with supporting empirical evidences</p> <p>3. The methodology MUST be revised. The study is quantitative in nature. Interview and FGD should be removed because there is no instrument of such in the manuscript. Sample size, sampling methods and population must be clearly defined</p> <p>4. The analysis must be explained in detail. The descriptive statistics used in the study must be mentioned and described properly. Analytical tools used in the study must be stated clearly</p> <p>5. Thorough language editing should be done as well.</p>	<p>The comments were done.</p> <p>The problems were stated in interrogative form.</p> <p>The following items in the methodology was removed: Conduct of using the survey questionnaire and observation interview; Focus group discussion to validate the result,; inputting of FGD result and presentation of the final result to the respondents and different agencies.</p> <p>The methodology was improved. The statistics employed was described. The 1st revision sent was paraphrased.</p> <p>Thank you very much for the help in improving this paper. More power. God bless.</p>