

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_76785
Title of the Manuscript:	VIEWS OF FARMERS ON THE STRUCTURE OF PRIVATE EXTENSION SERVICE ORGANIZATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Major revision suggested: 1.The research methodology in the material and method has not been explained in detail, and explicitly stated in the abstract 2.Data processed results are presented in the discussion and discussed and supported by relevant theories or research results	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision suggested : 1.Add the type of policy from the government related to private extension rules 2.Add some research that has been done and produced as a comparison and reference of this research 3.We recommend that tables be placed on each item discussed. 4.Add a references that supports the results of research placed in the discussion, other research results, policies related to self-extension	Comment accepted and considered
Optional/General comments	This research reveals the implementation of private extension in the farmer's environment, the results of the study show the need for clear rules related to the work area, visit schedule, costs needed, materials delivered so that farmers are satisfied with the existence of private extensionists, the results of this study can be recommended elsewhere.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> This research issue is exploring the existence of private extensionists who help the government in increasing agricultural production, there needs to be clear rules to facilitate the performance of private extension workers	