



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. the background and the reasons for the experiment are not stated in the abstract, This should be done</p> <p>2. The topics: aim, desin, methodology, results and conclusion should be removed from the abstract so that the sentence flow.</p> <p>3. the ages for the adolescents should be synchronized is it 12-18, 15-18, 15-19?</p> <p>4. The compositional figures for Kcal, protein, energy, fatty acids, fat, etc. are given but are they per gram or per 100 g?</p> <p>5. The grammar in some of the sentences have mistakes. The authors should correct the grammar</p> <p>6. the conclusion is very poor. It does not give the picture of what was done. it is too brief and vague.</p>	<p>Rewrite in blue lettering</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>The ages specified according to the FBDG Sri Lanka 5-10yrs –School children and 11-19yrs Adolescents</p> <p>Highlighted in blue colour</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Rewrite in blue lettering</p>