



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1- Abstract did not summarize their paper. It is too short and authors should give their aim, methodology and results shortly. Someone reading the summary should have an idea about the article. And this is similar for introduction and also conclusion.</p> <p>2- Why inspection error is important for the production process. and they should give more information about e1, e2.</p> <p>3- They have used 5 errors but they showed (e1,e2) all of them. It must use subindex (e1<sub>i</sub>,e2<sub>i</sub>) , i=1,...,5.</p> <p>4- References are too few.</p> <p>Authors should better explain their purpose, methods, and results in the article</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. I have corrected again the abstract. Please go through it.</li><li>2. I have aaded a paragraph related to inspection error and related article review.</li><li>3. I have used different values of (e1, e2) not five (e1, e2) and i made it clear thereafter.</li><li>4. Added the references</li></ol>