



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Third paragraph of introduction is not necessary, since only talk about site location, this is better to put in Material and Methods.</p> <p>2. In introduction is necessary to provide a fisheries description. Paper do not provide a description of it.</p> <p>3. First paragraph of Material and Methods in unnecessary. Instead of it, authors need to describe in detail the data source and data characteristics.</p> <p>4. In table 1 average need a deviation estimator.</p> <p>5 I suggest the addition of a map of the study area.</p> <p>6. Figures 1 to 4 can be summarized in a table.</p> <p>7. Results and discussion section is lack of a discussion, additionally for a good discussion I suggest a comparison with other similar research. I believe that 13 cites reflect a poor bibliographic revision to support paper, then I suggest to make a more broad bibliographic revision.</p>	<p>1. I added more materials in this section.</p> <p>2. I added fisheries description on second paragraph.</p> <p>3. I added more materials in this section.</p> <p>6. The summarized data already available in table 2</p> <p>7. I added more discussion</p>