



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Title of the paper does not clearly state about the study</p> <p>2. Gap Analysis of the study - not mentioned</p> <p>3. In the paper, the purposive sampling method is used, but it does not result in hypothesis formulation, and hypothesis testing was not done.</p>	<p>The title is clear enough as it reveals that we were carrying out a review of literature.</p> <p>Since our objective was to review literature, talking about gap does not arise. The study was specifically focussed on review of a standard, hence we did not anticipate to discuss anything about gap. This is more so that only few studies have so far been done on the standard</p> <p>We only sampled the study area and a case study was used to emphasis our discussion. No hypothesis was tested but rather the case study to explain our understanding of the new standard.</p>