



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The statistical results of the Study are of interest and presented well.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - However, a lot of proof-reading in terms of the English language is still required. - The Abstract has to have a better first sentence and be made more readable. -The title of the paper could possibly be: Impact of FDI in Eastern China on Local Innovation - Independent Innovation has been used a lot in the paper. Would prefer to drop the word Independent. - Importer of FDI? It can be replaced by: Recipient of FDI - Below Table 6: From the test results, we can see that $P = .05$, reject the original hypothesis, indicating that the relevant data of each variable accords with the fixed effect model. (Shouldn't it be $P < 0.05$) 	<p>(1) Abstract: Innovation is an important strategic support for China to accelerate the building of a strong science and technology nation. As the largest developing country attracting FDI, China's FDI has become an exogenous driving force for development, and the technology spillover generated by FDI plays a key role in improving China's innovation capacity.</p> <p>(2) The title of the paper: Analysis on the impact of FDI in Eastern China on Local Innovation</p> <p>(3) Drop the word Independent</p> <p>(4) Importer of FDI → Recipient of FDI</p> <p>(5) $P = .05 \rightarrow P < 0.05$</p>