



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The paper needs to be revised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regarding the title, I consider that is not necessary to mention in title "A study", it is enough to entitle the paper "Performance and Efficiency Analysis of Selected Private Sector banks in India". - Regarding a commentary of one of the reviewers "Add references to the introduction and literature review (if possible)", I am not agree with the author response " The references to introduction can not be added as it is the original work of the author". I suggest to the author to justify better the objective of the paper, from the Introduction section, using references for this purpose. - I recommend to the author to highlight better the personal contributions to existing literature, from the Introduction section. - At the end of Introduction section, I suggest to introduce a paragraph to explain how the paper is organized. - The Literature review is too sketchy. The auhor should analyze critically the paper published in the field and to present his/her opinion. And then to link the literature review with the methodology section. - The authors should correlate better their results with previous studies in the field (mentioned in the Literature review section). - In my opinion, the conclusions section is too summary. You should improve it. I do not like to see in a research paper the findings numbered, better is to present the results and to discuss it in a more fluent way. - I recommend to the authors to highlight the policy implications of their conclusions (for policy makers). - The author seems to be non-native English speakers. Professional English editing would contribute to get a better paper 	<p>The title is changed to “Performance and Efficiency Analysis of Selected Private Sector banks in India” as per the editorial comments.</p> <p>In-text Citations were inserted in the Introduction section and the foot notes for these are given</p> <p>No personal contributions to the existing literature.</p> <p>At the end of introduction, the paper structure and organization was given. (highlighted)</p> <p>The literature review was again constructed with personal opinions and also it is linked with methodology section (highlighted)</p> <p>The results were correlated in the findings section based on the literature review.</p> <p>Conclusion is improved. The findings were presented in a discussion mode rather than numbered.</p> <p>Not Applicable</p> <p>Surely consider the editor’s comments.</p>