

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJAAR_77083
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of day-length enhancement through night-breaking by artificial lighting on off-season dragon fruit production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study presented is quite well conducted, with results and conclusions supported by the experimental data. However the following issues should be addressed: LED and incandescent bulbs emission spectra should be provided The p value used for the statistical analyses should be provided Il paragraph 3.2 and table 1, the light type and intensity should be specified Il paragraph 3.3 and table 2, the light duration should be specified A discussion about costs of using an incandescent bulb versus LED light should be added	Thank you for your positive comment. However we will try to address the questions raised by the reviewer <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Spectra data was not recorded as we have not machine to record the spectra.➤ P value was added➤ Corrected➤ Corrected➤ Cost benefit analysis will be added in our next paper. In this paper, we highlighted that artificial lighting can promote flowering in dragon fruit during off season.
Minor REVISION comments	It is not necessary to give so many numerical results in the abstract	
Optional/General comments	English style and grammar needs to be improved in several points	Improved

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	