

Editor's Comment:

I have read reviewers' comments and the revised manuscript. I find it interesting but not properly written. Abstract should not contain the title of the manuscript and should be more explanatory. The authors speak about treatments but they do not present them (at first I thought it was either soil drenching or foliar application but when I read the text I saw it was seed treatment). This must be included in the abstract. The major drawback though is the absence of any discussion. The authors just state their results, no references are used and no attempt to justify the differences between treatments. I urge the authors to re-write the discussion and seek references supporting their results. I also would like a better presentation of the results, using letters to denote the significant differences, since there are many treatments and it is difficult for the reader to go through the numbers.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Peter A. Roussos
Associate Professor, Agricultural University of Athens, Greece