

**Editor's Comment:**

The aim of this study was to compare and correct the number of occlusal contacts of a centrally occluded temporary restoration using a virtual articulator and the Functionally Generated Pathway (FGP) technique.

In addition, the authors compared the number of adjustments to align the contacts of a temporary restoration made using a virtual articulator and the FGP technique in central occlusion and temporary restorations, one of which was made using a functionally generated path, and the other using a virtual articulator.

As a result, the authors concluded that the number of occlusal contacts in the prosthesis made using the virtual articulator was slightly greater than in the prosthesis made using the FGP. The work is well framed, well illustrated, all comments corrected and the number of authors added, so **I think that it can be published.**

**Editor's Details:**

Dr. Elvira Bormusov  
Retired Professor,  
The Lloyd Rigler Sleep Apnea Research Laboratory,  
Unit of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Israel.