

Editor's Comment:

1. Our CKD patients actually were on RAAS blockade, we didn't randomized expose the study subjects to these drugs we only compared effect of drugs. **For the nature the study cannot be an observational study. Maybe a retrospective study but it is a prospective study. The design should be a clinical trial, randomized and registered.**

2. The aim of the study was to compare the reno-protective effect of losartan and enalapril (antiproteinuric and slowing kidney disease progression) in children with chronic kidney disease". **The authors are compared an effect of drugs, and the design used by the authors is a mistake.**

3. Consent surely was taken from all participants and their parents before starting the study but written wrong in future and corrected in manuscript methodology page 3 highlighted with yellow color. **I will like to see a form of consent form. In the manuscript did not said anything about consent from the children**

4. No adverse events occurred to patients who used these drugs in our study (Losartan – enalapril). But the potential adverse events should describe in the consent form.

5. We followed up our patients after obtaining blood sample according to infection control measures by any complication but no complication had occurred just mild pain recovered soon without analgesic, we got rid of all samples safely according to infection control measures. **But the potential effects from the obtain the blood samples should be in the consent form**

6. Data were analyzed using Statistical Program for Social Science (SPSS) version 22.0. Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage (R).

1- Analysis of variance [ANOVA] tests (f): According to the computer program SPSS for Windows. ANOVA test was used for comparison between more than two means in quantitative data.

2- Chi-square the hypothesis that the row and column variables are independent, without indicating strength or direction of the relationship. Pearson chi-square and likelihood-ratio chi-square. Fisher's exact test and Yates' corrected chi-square are computed for 2x2 tables. It was corrected in manuscript methodology page 3 highlighted with yellow color.

I cannot approve this manuscript because the design is not adequate.

Maybe a retrospective study could be but a prospective study, as this, should be a clinical trial by ethics issue.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Nicolas Padilla-Raygoza
School of medicine, university of Celaya.