

Editor's Comment:

The manuscript might well be of some technical interest.

Yet, despite their assertion that this has been done, the Authors have, in fact, taken almost no account of the numerous and appropriate suggestions and comments of both Reviewers.

Accordingly, this "revised" version of the manuscript remains non acceptable for publication and the Authors are invited to really consider Reviewers comments.

Once again, while I agree that (some) of the suggestions of Reviewers may (as of right) not be accepted by the Authors, this imply, however, that Authors:

- 1) explicitly recognized that they duly contest (some) Reviewers demands and
- 2) that such refusal from the Authors is correctly and explicitly argued by them.

Otherwise, the Authors have to carry out the improvements duly required by the Reviewers.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Jean Beguinot
University of Burgundy, France.