

Editor's Comment:

The Review manuscript is of technical interest although remaining purely descriptive. Yet, admittedly such pure description may be well be appropriate for this particular subject, and be truly instructive for the neophyte readers.

Now, despite their assertion that this has been done, the Authors have in no way modify the text of their Abstract, as yet required by one of the Reviewers.

Once again, while I agree that (some) of the suggestions of Reviewers may (as of right) not be accepted by the Authors, this imply, however, that Authors:

- 1) explicitly recognized that they duly contest (some) Reviewers demands and
- 2) that such refusal from the Authors is correctly and explicitly argued by them.

It is then up to the editor to consider together the divergent points of view of the Reviewer and the Author, to make allowances and to finally decide which of both points of view seems to him being the more admissible.

I thus strongly invite the Authors, for the very interest of their own work, to improve their Abstract in the direction suggested by the second Reviewer.

After that has been done, the manuscript may possibly be considered for publication in IJECC.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Jean Beguinot
University of Burgundy, France.