

**Editor's Comment:**

I have looked at the original and revised copies of the manuscript and the indication is that the corrections suggested have not been addressed.

1. The aim of the study has not been incorporated in the abstract.
2. Replication used in the study is not shown as asked in the review; meaning that the results have no standard error or standard deviation, doubting their authenticity.
3. It's important to include statistical analysis to give comparative analysis of results.
4. Discussion of results as suggested by the reviewer would improve the manuscript.

I therefore suggest that the corrections need to be addressed before publication of the manuscript is considered. This will improve and strengthen the manuscript.

**Editor's Details:**

Dr. Hudson Nyambaka  
Kenyatta University, Kenya.