

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_127042
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Biochemical Parameters in Positive COVID-19 RealTime PCR Results Patients
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial as it demonstrates that COVID-19 significantly impacts glucose metabolism, liver function, kidney dynamics, and inflammatory markers, highlighting the need for careful clinical monitoring and guiding future research efforts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Mention the type of study and the City where this research study was conducted in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive, but the p values shouldn't be mentioned in the abstract section as its already been discussed in the results, tables and discussion section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study investigates biochemical changes in COVID-19 patients in Mukalla City, aiming to understand the link between these markers and disease progression. It seeks to inform diagnostic and treatment strategies tailored to local needs, addressing a research gap and supporting region-specific COVID-19 management.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add latest references from the year 2023 and 2024. The most recent cited reference is from 2022 in this manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is very much suitable. However, it needs a spell and grammar check at few positions. I have mentioned those lines in the comments of the document.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maha Qasim
Department, University & Country	Pakistan