

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129634
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Paddy Yields with Advanced Nitrogen Fertilizers: A Study of Neem-Coated Urea, Agrotain Urea and Nano Nitrogen
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study was conducted to reduce the fertilizer use in rice by evaluation the nitrogen as nano and from different sources in comparison of recommended dose of fertilizer. Fertilizer is very costly input so cost of cultivation is also one of the concern.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Need to be revised as suggessted	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to be improved by rewriting with the inclusion of corrections and comments	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Adequate, here used the various treatments related to nitrogen for evaluation for the change of recommendation of nitrogen fertilizer	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate means sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Adequate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avtar Singh Bimbraw
Department, University & Country	Punjab Agricultural University, India