

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129573
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF SOIL IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article will promote knowledge on the researches of various aspect of soil and their attributes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The Introduction section can be improved by citing recently published papers. Map of study area can be provided with GPS coordinates for detailed view. The Result section can be improved by writing the outcome of the study in a more comprehensive manner without repeating the information which is already there in the tables. The authors should discuss their findings with scientific acumen supported by citation of atleast few recently published literature. The authors are appreciated for application of statistical tools to analyze their results and bring about critical understanding of their work. Recent and relevant references should be added in the Introduction and Discussion section. The Conclusion section can be rewritten to make it more comprehensive and knowledgeable covering all attributes of the study done. The English writing of the manuscript can be improved further with English proofreading. The reference section should be enriched by adding detail list of more number of references. The manuscript may be accepted following the above recommendations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent and relevant references should be added in the Introduction and Discussion section. The reference section should be enriched by adding detail list of more number of references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English writing of the manuscript can be improved further with English proofreading.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashim Das Astapati
Department, University & Country	Gurucharan College, India