

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129446
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Characterization of Novel Cell line from Liver Tissue of Schizothorax esocinus (Heckel, 1838)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its good, Over all it's a good work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, its appropriate, Title of the manuscript is good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good and Please Add a photograph of the study area with Geo co-ordinates,	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is, The work has been illustrated nicely with tables and graphs.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the experimental site image with GEO CO-ORDINATES, Include water quality parameters of the site where the experimental animal has caught	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, I can see recent references and they are apt to the work.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It's a good work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vardhanapu Venkata Lakshmi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Dr. J Jayalithaa Fisheries University, India