

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JPRI _129181
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF DRUG UTILIZATION IN CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will be usefull in patient suffering from coronary disease in low socioeconomoc group. Provide usefull information about how the cost effective drug were selected. Which drug combination will be harmful.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	EVALUATION OF DRUG UTILIZATION IN CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE IN TERTIARY CARE CENTER: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	place and duration not mentioned properly	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	references are recent but not sufficient for this important topic	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	quality of english language is good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	quality of manuscript can be improved scientifically and gramatically.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chakrapani Kumar
Department, University & Country	IGIMS, India