

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_129177
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study To Assess The Knowledge And Attitude Regarding Optional Vaccines And Barriers To Use Among Mothers Of Under Five Children In Kheda Gujarat
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is essential and should be well known to mothers of under five children. Readers of these kind of manuscripts will get updated about difference between knowledge and attitude of mothers of under five children regarding optional vaccines. Different examples of optional vaccinations could be explained in more detail. Superscript of references is not mentioned in introduction part. Why these optional vaccinations are not included in compulsory regimen of vaccination? The reason should be explained. Whether there is any harmful effect of these vaccinations which should be known.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A descriptive study to assess the knowledge and attitude regarding optional vaccinations and barriers to use among mothers of under five children in district Kheda, Gujarat. (few changes in title)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. In introduction part, write about optional vaccinations also, not generally about vaccinations. Reliability and validity of tool can be mentioned in methodology part of abstract in one line.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient in fact they are less only. Author has not given references for discussion part also.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is okay, more scientific terms can be used to make manuscript more standardized and up to date.	
Optional/General comments	In methodology part of manuscript, the author has mentioned the tool related to organ donation and study is related to optional vaccination. (A pre-validated knowledge questionnaire tool, Likert attitude scale And Barrier Questionnaire regarding organ donation was circulated to 384 Mothers of under-five children from selected village of Kheda district)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakshi Sharma
Department, University & Country	Baba Farid university of health sciences, India