

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_128115
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of the Iran-China Strategic Partnership Agreement on facilitating Iran's foreign trade: A case study of selected goods exports
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is thought that it can contribute to the literature on international trade, political cooperation agreements and economic cooperation, the effects of non-oil product exports on domestic and international trade, and the theoretical discussions on the BRI Middle Corridor by providing data and models.  The research results are also important for further research on industrial production and technology-intensive product trade.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Article title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is considered comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The scientific nature of the research is correct in terms of causality, method and original contribution to the existing literature.  Additionally, the data submitted/specified by the author to the journal were accepted as correct and evaluated by the referee on a formal and procedural basis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Particular attention should be paid to in-text citations and references, especially for data and statistics. The references section should be formatted in accordance with the standards and journal rules.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author's English was found to be adequate.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a manuscript that can make a versatile academic contribution. It only needs to complete the formal corrections and additions in the attached file.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zafer Evmez
Department, University & Country	Türkiye