

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_129642
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of resistant enterobacteria and ESBL Escherichia coli isolated from wastewater discharged into the environment by hospitals in the Abidjan district, Ivory Coast
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a critical public health issue regarding antimicrobial resistance in wastewater, focusing on resistant Enterobacteriaceae and ESBL Escherichia coli from hospitals in Abidjan, Ivory Coast.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title appears suitable, as it clearly reflects the subject of the study: the prevalence of resistant bacteria in hospital wastewater.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should comprehensively summarize the main findings and significance of the study. If there is room for improvement, consider adding a brief mention of the methods and key results, ensuring it provides a clear overview for readers unfamiliar with the topic.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, but some details on the methodology and statistical analysis could be more explicit to ensure reproducibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient, but considering the rapid evolution of antimicrobial resistance, it might be beneficial to include the most recent studies on wastewater and antimicrobial resistance, particularly from hospitals in sub-Saharan Africa.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. However, some minor grammatical revisions may be needed to improve readability.	
Optional/General comments	Consider enhancing the discussion section to better connect the findings to existing literature, especially regarding potential public health interventions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usama Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Shenzhen University, China