

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129743
Title of the Manuscript:	DUS DESCRIPTORS BASED CHARACTERIZATION OF MAIZE (Zea mays L.) INBRED LINES
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DUS (Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability) descriptors are essential for protecting plant breeders' rights. They ensure that new maize inbred lines meet specific criteria, distinguishing them from existing varieties.</li> <li>- DUS studies provide valuable data for genetic and phenotypic analysis, supporting broader research in maize biology, genomics, and agronomy.</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Good	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Good	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Good	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	More ore less	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In the introduction you posed the problem without referring to any similar work in the literature review. Are you the first person to work on this topic? In my opinion you must refer to at least one author who has worked on a similar topic</li> <li>- you have referred to some authors without specifying the year such as Gull, Saha. Here is the syntax for citing an article for example: (Gull et al., year) or Gull et al. (year)</li> </ul>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Krou Nitale M'Balikine</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kara, Togo</b>