

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129728
Title of the Manuscript:	Nectar reoccurrence may increase visitation rates of <i>Tetragonula iridipennis</i> , in crop <i>Cucumis sativus</i>
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Effect of nectar quantity on pollinators is an interesting topic to understand. It is a very novel topic of research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In the manuscript only recurrence of nectar was discussed, but their effect on Tetragonula visits was not discussed. So, Title can be changed accordingly.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, except for the effect of nectar recurrence on Stingless bee as it was not mentioned in the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some more references can be added in the discussion.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chava Asritha
Department, University & Country	PJTAU, India