

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129130
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of horsegram genotypes for resistance against yellow mosaic disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Screening of Horsegram Genotypes for Resistance Against Yellow Mosaic Disease" is of particular value to the scientific world in research and plant pathology studies within agricultural research. Yellow mosaic disease is a major viral disease affecting horsegram, one of the most significant legume crops that is rich and nutritious, with much hardness. The identification of resistance genotypes is, therefore, important for breeding of disease-resistant cultivars in order to ensure sustainability in crop production and avoid relying on chemical controls. The findings of this study will contribute to global efforts in enhancing food security, promoting sustainable agriculture, and mitigating the impact of plant diseases on economically important crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes its suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes its comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not, references are not sufficient need to add more recent references for supporting the research data.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surendra Kumar Gautam
Department, University & Country	Shri Rawatpura Sarkar University, India