

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128658
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN AS INFLUENCED BY NITROGEN FERTILIZATION LEVEL AND CROP GEOMETRY.
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sweet corn productivity influenced by soil fertility status and quantity of applied nutrient. Excessive nitrogen usage can lead to environmental pollution while deficiency results in low plant growth while plant spacing also plays a significant role on growth, development and yield of maize. Optimal crop geometry with adequate quantity of nitrogen fertilizer can affect the interception of solar radiation, air circulation, and nutrient uptake efficiency plant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of nitrogen fertilization and crop geometry on growth and yield of sweet corn	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Too lengthy abstract has been written. Rewrite all abstract and start with introductory lines of your research, methods, highlighted research results and conclusion with recommendation	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference section is lack of recent article and too old references has been cited which should to deleted and latest 2020 onward may added	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Discussion of results may be more elaborate with supporting data. Rewrite conclusion part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preeti Chaudhary
Department, University & Country	College of Horticulture and Forestry (YSPUHF), Neri, Hamirpur, India